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The goal of modern cryptography is to design efficient constructions that simultaneously achieve some desired functionality and provable security against resource-bounded adversaries. Over the years, the realm of cryptography has expanded from basic functionalities such as encryption, decryption and key agreement, to elaborate functionalities such as zero-knowledge protocols, secure multi-party computation, and more recently verifiable computation. In many cases, these elaborate functionalities can only be achieved through cryptographic systems, in which several elementary constructions interact. As a consequence of the evolution towards more complex functionalities, cryptographic proofs have become significantly more involved, and more difficult to check. Several cryptographers have therefore advocated the use of tool-supported frameworks for building and verifying proofs; the most vivid recommendation for using computer support is elaborated in a farseeing article \cite{5} in which Shai Halevi describes a potential approach for realizing this vision.

Besides increasing confidence in cryptographic proofs, tool-supported frameworks have the potential to address another prominent difficulty with provable security: because cryptographic proofs are very complex, it is common practice to reason about algorithmic descriptions of the cryptographic constructions, rather than about implementations. As a consequence, several popular implementations of well-known provably secure constructions are vulnerable to attacks! This uncomfortable gap between provable security and cryptographic engineering is the focus of the “real world” security approach that is currently developed, among others, by Paterson and his co-workers \cite{4}. However, we believe that tool support is essential for accommodating the additional complexity introduced by dealing with implementation-level descriptions of cryptographic constructions.

Since 2006, we have been actively working on developing foundations and providing tool support for building and verifying the security of cryptographic constructions. To date, we have constructed several tools, including general frameworks \cite{3, 2} and focused ones that target one specific class of constructions \cite{1}. The talk will provide a brief account of the rationale behind their design and discuss the role of formal proofs in cryptography.

For more information, visit \url{http://www.easycrypt.info}
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