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Abstract: In recent years, many applications of voice wake-up technology have entered peoples field of vision. The key technology is Keyword Spotting. The system needs to detect the ambient voice waiting for a wake-up at any time, so it requires a low hardware energy and high recognition accuracy. This paper aims at real-time speech keyword detection applications. Based on Googles open source speech commands dataset and Librispeech dataset, combined with various fault-tolerant calculations, a deep neural network that suitable for low-power integrated circuits are constructed and trained. The main structure of the network is the Depthwise Convolution Network (DSC). The energy consumption and resource overhead of the model in hardware implementation is reduced by combining various fault-tolerant calculation methods such as approximation addition, quantification, and binarization. The fault tolerance of the model is improved through retraining method. We proved that the fault-tolerant calculation method of quantization with approximation addition has great potential in small-footprint keyword spotting neural network.
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1 Introduction

The goal of keyword spotting is to detect a relatively small set of voice commands. It is commonly used in mobile phones and smart hardware. Keyword detection on such devices typically has two main uses. One is to identify common command word recognitions such as "on" and "off" and other common words such as "yes" and "no". Second, keyword detection can be used to identify wake words, such as "Hi Siri." This gives the device a clear indication of the opening.

The online speech recognition systems need to be called from the cloud to call the model on the device, which brings some user privacy leakage during the networking process. In addition, the application devices are mostly power-consuming, performance-sensitive devices, and the keyword wake-up system usually needs to maintain a normally open state, thus not allowing the system to have large power consumption and memory usage. So keyword spotting task requires a low power offline model.

In recent years, neural networks have been proven to provide an effective solution for low-power keyword wake-up systems. The biggest difference between the KWS keyword wake-up system based on deep neural network and the traditional hidden Markov model (HMM)[12] is that the deep keyword wake-up system does not need to separately distinguish the phonemes of the speech, but an end-to-end model.

In this work, we focus on convolutional neural networks (CNNs), which have also been proven to be suitable for keyword wake-up systems in recent years[13]. We use the neural network similar to MobileNet[11], combined with various fault-tolerant calculation methods such as approximation addition,
quantization and binarization, etc. to reduce the amount of parameters and calculation of the model.

We proved that the method of quantization with approximation addition can reduce storage and computational complexity at the same time with an acceptable decline of performance, which is better than the method only use quantization.

We describe our model and fault-tolerant calculation methods used in section2. Experimental environment and training methods are elaborated in section3. Results and some discussion follow in Section4. Section5 makes a summary.

2 System design

The general process of end-to-end keyword wake-up system can be divided into several parts is shown in Figure 1. First, feature extraction of the original audio data is performed to obtain a highly representative feature vector. The feature vector is then sent to the deep neural network, which outputs the probability that the audio belongs to a certain type of word. The most important part of KWS system this paper talk about is feature extraction and neural network model.

2.1 Feature Extraction

The feature extraction method is the Mel Frequency Cepstrum Coefficient(MFCC), which is common to related work[9][19]. The frame window length is 36ms and step length is 16ms. The first 10 Mel frequency filters are used for each frame. The word duration of Speech commands dataset is 1000ms but we use 512ms to reduce power consumption. The output of MFCC module is a 300-D feature vector, corresponds to 30 frames of 512ms audio clip(10 filters/frames). Actually, the MFCC features mainly distributed between -64 and 63, as shown in Figure 2. So we quantify the input value to 8bit.

2.2 Neural network model structure

Recently, depthwise convolution(DSC) has been proposed to replace the standard 3-D convolution operation[10] and has been used in the field of computer vision to implement compact network architectures[11][15]. The DSC first convolves each channel in the input feature map with a separate 2-D convolution kernel and then combines the outputs using point-by-point convolution (ie, 1x1 convolution). By decomposing standard 3D volume into 2D convolution, depthwise convolution is more efficient in terms of the number of parameters and operations, which allows more complex networks to migrate to hardware-sensitive applications such as mobile. The baseline network structure we use is similar to the structure in[4]. As shown in the Figure 3, the input MFCC feature values are first processed by a traditional 2-D convolution layer. Then the output is connected with several DS-Conv convolution modules and finally flattened and connected to the fully connected output layer. Each DS-Conv module consists of a 3x3 Depthwise Conv, a 1x1 point-by-point convolution, and a number of Batch Normalizations[16]. The details of model parameter and operator number are listed in
m and n represent the time and frequency span of the convolution kernel. The kernel can stride by a non-zero amount p in time and s in frequency. n represent the output channel number of convolution kernel. The last three columns count the amount of model parameters and the number of multiplications and additions. The initial parameters are all 8 bit numbers.

2.3 Fault-tolerant calculation method

Since the neural network itself has certain fault tolerance, especially through the retraining method, the negative impact of the erroneous operation on the network recognition rate can be weakened or even offset. This article focuses on several methods of approximate calculation: (i) approximate addition, (ii) quantification, and (iii) binarization.

2.3.1 Approximate addition

Approximate addition has been studied in related papers[20][21] this year. The approximate adder used in this paper is shown in fig 4 and is divided into two parts. One part is the precision adder and the other part is the approximate adder. Assuming that two n bits data are added, setting the exact number of bits to m, the exact adder calculates the sum of the first m bits of the n bits data, and the remaining n − m bits are replaced with logical 'OR' operations. In fact, the use of 'OR' operations eliminates the carry compared to the exact addition, so the amount of computation can be reduced to some extent.

2.3.2 Quantification

Quantification, also known as fixed point. Refers to quantizing the weights and outputs in the network to a fixed number of bits. In the training of neural networks, data of full precision(32 bits) is generally used. In fact, too high data precision is redundant. Therefore, the main idea of the quantification method is to lower the weight and output value of the original high bit width by a certain bit width. Some related work[3][4] change the network data from 32bit to 8bit, which usually has little impact on the accuracy of the network. The quantification method used in this paper is shown in Algorithm1. Suppose the input is a 32-bit floating point number. First round it to an integer, then limit its maximum and minimum values to \((-2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1}−1\), where n represents the quantization data width.

\[\text{Algorithm 1 : Quantize input float data } x \text{ to integer } y, \text{ given a target bit width } m,\]

\[n \leftarrow \text{bit\_width}(x), \quad b \leftarrow 2^{n-1}, \quad x' \leftarrow \text{round}(x), \quad y \leftarrow \text{clip}(x', −b, b − 1)\]

2.3.3 Binarization

Binarization method uses the two values for the weight or activation value. The method of binarization was first proposed by Bengio et al. in 2016 [5][17]. They use the values +1 and -1 to binarize both the weights
and activations. Many forward multiply operations can be converted to ‘XOR’ operations during forward propagation[18], greatly simplifying the design of hardware circuit. The binarization method used in this paper is based on the results of Bengio et al., using the symbolic function \text{sign}() for weights or activation values.

3 Experimental

3.1 Dataset

Our training set consists of two parts of the data. The first set is Google’s Speech Commands dataset[1], which contains 30 kinds of keywords and several background noise. The second set is Librispeech[2], which is one of the world’s largest ASR dataset. The wake-up keywords this paper use are Happy and Dog. Speech Commands dataset contains around 1700 clips of each word. To extend the data set, we cut out the keywords audio clips from Librispeech dataset with Deepspeech model[6], which can transform speech to text(STT). Finally, dataset contains about 4000 clips of each keyword and the remaining 60,000 audios are set as filler(unknown word) words. The dataset is split into training, validation, and test with a ratio of 8:1:1.

3.2 Train

All models are trained in the same framework(Google Tensorflow framework[7]), using the cross-entropy loss and Adam optimizer[8]. Most fault-tolerant calculation functions have no continuous derivatives. So the model with fault-tolerant methods are trained by straight-through estimator(STE)[14], which simply replaces the derivative of each fault-tolerant functions with with the identity function. Models are trained with a mini-batch of 100 and iterate 15k times. The initial learning rate is $5 \times 10^{-3}$, which is reduced to $1 \times 10^{-4}$ after 12k iterations. During the training process, background noise from Speech commands dataset is randomly mixed into the audio.

4 Results and Discussion

4.1 Training results

The baseline model is the 8bits version of DSC model mentioned in Section 2. We first compare the baseline model with traditional DNN[9] and CNN[4] model in terms of parameter number, calculation amount and test set accuracy. The result can be seen in Table 1. Obviously, the baseline model trades a larger amount of calculation for a relatively small amount of parameters and a high test set accuracy.

4.1.1 Quantification results

To reduce the amount of calculation without changing the model structure, the calculation process needs to be streamlined. There are roughly two ways to reduce the amount of calculations. The most straightforward method is to quantify network parameters and activations. The other way is to simplify the multiply and add calculation. Table 2 shows the result of quantify parameters of baseline model with less bits. In order to compare the quantized network calculations, we introduce the bit operations(bOps):

$$b\text{Ops} = \text{Bit width} \times \text{Operations}$$

For example, the baseline model’s bOps is $8 \times 6.2M = 49.6MbOps$. The most extreme quantification is to quantify the parameters to 1bit, which equivalent to binarized neural network(BNN) as shown in the last row of the table 2. Figure 5 is the modified receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves of quantized models, where we use false reject rate on Y-axis instead of true positive rate. Assume the maximum probability of model output is $P_{max}$ and the threshold of output is $T$. If $P_{max} > T$, the prediction label of the model is the one corresponding to $P_{max}$. Otherwise, the prediction label will be considered as ‘filler’. When sweeping the threshold $T$, model’s prediction will change, so that different false reject rate and false alarm rate can be caculated. Each group of false alarm rate (X axis) and false reject rate (Y axis) corresponds to one point on ROC. The closer the ROC is to the coordinate axis, the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Par. /8bits</th>
<th>Ops (Mul. and Add.)</th>
<th>Test accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DNN[9]</td>
<td>81.2k</td>
<td>195k</td>
<td>98.921%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNN[4]</td>
<td>45.1k</td>
<td>5.1M</td>
<td>99.442%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSCNN</td>
<td>24.7k</td>
<td>6.2M</td>
<td>99.586%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Comparison of baseline model with traditional DNN[9] and CNN[4] model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>bOps</th>
<th>Test accuracy</th>
<th>AUC (10^{-3})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline(8bits)</td>
<td>49.6M</td>
<td>99.586%</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quantized-7bits</td>
<td>43.4M</td>
<td>99.628%</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quantized-6bits</td>
<td>37.2M</td>
<td>99.598%</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quantized-5bits</td>
<td>31.0M</td>
<td>99.572%</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quantized-4bits</td>
<td>24.8M</td>
<td>99.172%</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quantized-1bits(BNN)</td>
<td>6.2M</td>
<td>98.187%</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Quantized network comparison
better the model is. The last column of table 2 is AUC, which is the under area of ROC curve. Smaller AUCs is better. It can be seen from the table 2 and Figure 5 that when the quantization bit width is gradually reduced from 8 to 5, the difference between the test set accuracy rate and the AUC value of the model is small, and when it is reduced to 4 bits, the accuracy of the model and the AUC value have a large decline. The model that was quantized to 1 bit (BNN) performed the worst, which is also in line with expectations.

4.1.2 Approximate addition result

Quantization only changes the calculated bit width of the model, which reduces the amount of calculation to some extent. The approximate addition greatly reduces the computational complexity from the addition operation. Based on the quantized model we replace the addition operation in the framework with approximate adder mentioned in Section 2. On a model quantized to 7-bit, 6-bit, and 5-bit, about half of the number of bits is approximately added. The result is shown in the Table 3 and Figure 6. \( Q_x.A_y \) means the parameters of the model are quantized to \( x \) bits, where \( y \) bits are approximated. From the perspective of model accuracy and AUC values, the model that quantized to 5-bit with 2-bit approximate adder achieves better results. By quantifying to 5 bits and accumulating approximately 3 bits, the computational complexity of the model can be minimized under the premise of obtaining higher model accuracy and AUC values.

5 Conclusions

We tested a variety of fault-tolerant calculation methods on our DSC baseline model. For the quantization model, we find that the model still maintains high accuracy and AUC value when quantization bit is reduced from 8 bits to 5 bits. The model accuracy begins to drop sharply while the number of quantization bits continues to decrease from 4 bits to 1 bit (BNN). Compared to the baseline 8-bit version, the 5-bit quantized model can achieve a \( \times 37.5\% \) reduction in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Test accuracy</th>
<th>AUC (10^{-3})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q7_A4</td>
<td>98.900%</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7_A3</td>
<td>99.171%</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6_A3</td>
<td>99.286%</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5_A3</td>
<td>99.305%</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5_A2</td>
<td>99.357%</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Quantized network with approximate addition
bOps. In addition, we found that the 5-bit quantization with 3 bits approximate adder model can further reduce the computational complexity of the model and sacrifices only $0.26\%$ accuracy rate and $0.47 \times 10^{-3}$ AUC relative to the 5-bit quantization model. It can be seen that the fault-tolerant calculation method of quantization with approximation addition can reduce storage and computational complexity at the same time with an acceptable decline of performance, which has great potential in small-footprint keyword spotting neural network.
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