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#### Abstract

In mathematics, the Riemann Hypothesis is a conjecture that the Riemann zeta function has its zeros only at the negative even integers and complex numbers with real part $\frac{1}{2}$. Many consider it to be the most important unsolved problem in pure mathematics. It is one of the seven Millennium Prize Problems selected by the Clay Mathematics Institute to carry a US 1,000,000 prize for the first correct solution. In 1915, Ramanujan proved that under the assumption of the Riemann Hypothesis, the inequality $\sigma(n)<e^{\gamma} \times n \times \log \log n$ holds for all sufficiently large $n$, where $\sigma(n)$ is the sum-ofdivisors function and $\gamma \approx 0.57721$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. In 1984, Guy Robin proved that the inequality is true for all $n>5040$ if and only if the Riemann Hypothesis is true. In 2002, Lagarias proved that if the inequality $\sigma(n) \leq H_{n}+\exp \left(H_{n}\right) \times \log H_{n}$ holds for all $n \geq 1$, then the Riemann Hypothesis is true, where $H_{n}$ is the $n^{t h}$ harmonic number. In this work, we show certain properties of these both inequalities that leave us to a proof of the Riemann Hypothesis which could be checked by computer.


## 1 Introduction

As usual $\sigma(n)$ is the sum-of-divisors function of $n[1]$ :

$$
\sum_{d \mid n} d .
$$

Define $f(n)$ to be $\frac{\sigma(n)}{n}$. Say Robins $(n)$ holds provided

$$
f(n)<e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n
$$

The constant $\gamma \approx 0.57721$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant, and log is the natural logarithm. Let $H_{n}$ be $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j}$. Say Lagarias $(n)$ holds provided

$$
\sigma(n) \leq H_{n}+\exp \left(H_{n}\right) \times \log H_{n}
$$

The importance of these properties is:
Theorem 1.1 If Robins( $n$ ) holds for all $n>5040$, then the Riemann Hypothesis is true [4]. If Lagarias $(n)$ holds for all $n \geq 1$, then the Riemann Hypothesis is true [4].

It is known that Robins $(n)$ and Lagarias $(n)$ hold for many classes of numbers $n$. We know this:

Lemma 1.2 If Robins $(n)$ holds for some $n>5040$, then Lagarias $(n)$ holds [4].

[^0]We recall that an integer $n$ is said to be square free if for every prime divisor $q$ of $n$ we have $q^{2} \nmid n[1]$. Robins $(n)$ holds for all $n>5040$ that are square free [1]. Let core $(n)$ denotes the square free kernel of a natural number $n$ [1]. We can show this:

Theorem 1.3 Let $\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \times \log \log \operatorname{core}(n) \leq \log \log n$ for some $n>5040$. Then Robins $(n)$ holds.

Moreover, we prove our main theorems:
Theorem 1.4 Robins( $n$ ) holds for all $n>5040$ when a prime number $q_{m} \nmid n$ for $q_{m} \leq 113$.

Theorem 1.5 Let $n>5040$ and $n=r \times q_{m}$, where $q_{m} \geq 113$ denotes the largest prime factor of $n$. We have checked by computer, if Lagarias(r) holds, then Lagarias( $n$ ) holds.

In this way, we finally conclude that
Theorem 1.6 Lagarias( $n$ ) holds for all $n \geq 1$ and thus, the Riemann Hypothesis is true.

Proof Every possible counterexample in Lagarias ( $n$ ) for $n>5040$ must have that its greatest prime factor $q_{m}$ complies with $q_{m} \geq 113$ because of lemma 1.2 and theorem 1.4. In addition, Lagarias $(n)$ has been checked for all $n \leq 5040$ by computer. Moreover, for all $n>5040$ we have that Lagarias ( $n$ ) has been recursively verified when its greatest prime factor $q_{m}$ complies with $q_{m} \geq 113$ due to theorems 1.4 and 1.5. In conclusion, we show that Lagarias( $n$ ) holds for all $n \geq 1$ and therefore, the Riemann Hypothesis is true.

## 2 Known Results

We use that the following are known:
Lemma 2.1 From the reference [1]:

$$
f(n)<\prod_{p \mid n} \frac{p}{p-1}
$$

Lemma 2.2 From the reference [2]:

$$
\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{q_{k}^{2}}}=\zeta(2)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}
$$

Lemma 2.3 From the reference [4]:

$$
\log \left(e^{\gamma} \times(n+1)\right) \geq H_{n} \geq \log \left(e^{\gamma} \times n\right)
$$

## 3 A Central Lemma

Lemma 3.1 Given a natural number

$$
n=q_{1}^{a_{1}} \times q_{2}^{a_{2}} \times \cdots \times q_{m}^{a_{m}}
$$

such that $q_{1}, q_{2}, \cdots, q_{m}$ are prime numbers and $a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{m}$ are natural numbers, then we obtain the following inequality

$$
f(n)<\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_{i}+1}{q_{i}} .
$$

Proof From the lemma 2.1, we know

$$
f(n)<\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_{i}}{q_{i}-1}
$$

We can easily prove

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_{i}}{q_{i}-1}=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1-q_{i}^{-2}} \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_{i}+1}{q_{i}}
$$

However, we know

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{1-q_{i}^{-2}}<\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q_{j}^{-2}}
$$

where $q_{j}$ is the $j^{\text {th }}$ prime number and

$$
\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q_{j}^{-2}}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}
$$

as a consequence of lemma 2.2. Consequently, we obtain

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_{i}}{q_{i}-1}<\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_{i}+1}{q_{i}}
$$

and thus,

$$
f(n)<\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_{i}+1}{q_{i}}
$$

## 4 A Particular Case

We prove the Robin's inequality for this specific case:
Lemma 4.1 Given a natural number

$$
n=2^{a_{1}} \times 3^{a_{2}} \times 5^{a_{3}} \times 7^{a_{4}}>5040
$$

such that $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4} \geq 0$ are integers, then Robins( $n$ ) holds for $n>5040$.

Proof Given a natural number $n=q_{1}^{a_{1}} \times q_{2}^{a_{2}} \times \cdots \times q_{m}^{a_{m}}>5040$ such that $q_{1}, q_{2}, \cdots, q_{m}$ are prime numbers and $a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{m}$ are natural numbers, we need to prove

$$
f(n)<e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n
$$

that is true when

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_{i}}{q_{i}-1} \leq e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n
$$

according to the lemma 2.1. Given a natural number $n=2^{a_{1}} \times 3^{a_{2}} \times 5^{a_{3}}>5040$ such that $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \geq 0$ are integers, we have

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_{i}}{q_{i}-1} \leq \frac{2 \times 3 \times 5}{1 \times 2 \times 4}=3.75<e^{\gamma} \times \log \log (5040) \approx 3.81
$$

However, we know for $n>5040$

$$
e^{\gamma} \times \log \log (5040)<e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n
$$

and therefore, the proof is completed for that case. Hence, we only need to prove the Robin's inequality is true for every natural number $n=2^{a_{1}} \times 3^{a_{2}} \times$ $5^{a_{3}} \times 7^{a_{4}}>5040$ such that $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \geq 0$ and $a_{4} \geq 1$ are integers. In addition, we know the Robin's inequality is true for every natural number $n>5040$ such that $7^{k} \mid n$ and $7^{7} \nmid n$ for some integer $1 \leq k \leq 6$ [3]. Therefore, we need to prove this case for those natural numbers $n>5040$ such that $7^{7} \mid n$. In this way, we have

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_{i}}{q_{i}-1} \leq \frac{2 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7}{1 \times 2 \times 4 \times 6}=4.375<e^{\gamma} \times \log \log \left(7^{7}\right) \approx 4.65
$$

However, we know for $n>5040$ and $7^{7} \mid n$ such that

$$
e^{\gamma} \times \log \log \left(7^{7}\right) \leq e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n
$$

and as a consequence, the proof is completed.

## 5 A Condition on core $(n)$

Theorem 5.1 Let $\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \times \log \log \operatorname{core}(n) \leq \log \log n$ for some $n>5040$. Then Robins( $n$ ) holds.

Proof We will check the Robin's inequality for a natural number $n=$ $q_{1}^{a_{1}} \times q_{2}^{a_{2}} \times \cdots \times q_{m}^{a_{m}}>5040$ such that $q_{1}, q_{2}, \cdots, q_{m}$ are prime numbers and $a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{m}$ are natural numbers. We need to prove

$$
f(n)<e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n
$$

that is true when

$$
\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_{i}+1}{q_{i}} \leq e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n
$$

according to the lemma 3.1. We obtain that will be equivalent to

$$
\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \times \frac{\sigma\left(n^{\prime}\right)}{n^{\prime}} \leq e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n
$$

where $n^{\prime}=q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m}$ is the core $(n)$ [1]. However, Robins $\left(n^{\prime}\right)$ has been proved for all the square free integers $n^{\prime} \notin\{2,3,5,6,10,30\}[1]$. In addition, due to the lemma 4.1, we know Robins( $n$ ) holds for all $n>5040$ when $\operatorname{core}(n) \in$ $\{2,3,5,6,10,30\}$. In this way, we have

$$
\frac{\sigma\left(n^{\prime}\right)}{n^{\prime}}<e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n^{\prime}
$$

and therefore, it is enough to prove

$$
\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \times e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n^{\prime} \leq e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n
$$

which is the same as

$$
\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \times \log \log n^{\prime} \leq \log \log n
$$

and thus, the proof is completed.

## 6 A Better Upper Bound

Lemma 6.1 For $x \geq 11$, we have

$$
\sum_{q \leq x} \frac{1}{q}<\log \log x+\gamma-0.12
$$

where $q \leq x$ means all the primes lesser than or equal to $x$.
Proof For $x>1$, we have

$$
\sum_{q \leq x} \frac{1}{q}<\log \log x+B+\frac{1}{\log ^{2} x}
$$

where

$$
B=0.2614972128 \cdots
$$

is the (Meissel-)Mertens constant, since this is a proven result from the article reference [5]. This is the same as

$$
\sum_{q \leq x} \frac{1}{q}<\log \log x+\gamma-\left(C-\frac{1}{\log ^{2} x}\right)
$$

where $\gamma-B=C>0.31$, because of $\gamma>B$. If we analyze $\left(C-\frac{1}{\log ^{2} x}\right)$, then this complies with

$$
\left(C-\frac{1}{\log ^{2} x}\right)>\left(0.31-\frac{1}{\log ^{2} 11}\right)>0.12
$$

for $x \geq 11$ and thus, we finally prove

$$
\sum_{q \leq x} \frac{1}{q}<\log \log x+\gamma-\left(C-\frac{1}{\log ^{2} x}\right)<\log \log x+\gamma-0.12
$$

## 7 On a Square Free Number

Theorem 7.1 Given a square free number

$$
n=q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m}
$$

such that $q_{1}, q_{2}, \cdots, q_{m}$ are odd prime numbers, the greatest prime divisor of $n$ is greater than 7 and $3 \nmid n$, then we obtain the following inequality

$$
\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times \sigma(n) \leq e^{\gamma} \times n \times \log \log \left(2^{19} \times n\right)
$$

Proof This proof is very similar with the demonstration in theorem 1.1 from the article reference [1]. By induction with respect to $\omega(n)$, that is the number of distinct prime factors of $n$ [1]. Put $\omega(n)=m$ [1]. We need to prove the assertion for those integers with $m=1$. From a square free number $n$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(n)=\left(q_{1}+1\right) \times\left(q_{2}+1\right) \times \cdots \times\left(q_{m}+1\right) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $n=q_{1} \times q_{2} \times \cdots \times q_{m}$ [1]. In this way, for every prime number $q_{i} \geq 11$, then we need to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times\left(1+\frac{1}{q_{i}}\right) \leq e^{\gamma} \times \log \log \left(2^{19} \times q_{i}\right) \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $q_{i}=11$, we have

$$
\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times\left(1+\frac{1}{11}\right) \leq e^{\gamma} \times \log \log \left(2^{19} \times 11\right)
$$

is actually true. For another prime number $q_{i}>11$, we have

$$
\left(1+\frac{1}{q_{i}}\right)<\left(1+\frac{1}{11}\right)
$$

and

$$
\log \log \left(2^{19} \times 11\right)<\log \log \left(2^{19} \times q_{i}\right)
$$

which clearly implies that the inequality (7.2) is true for every prime number $q_{i} \geq 11$. Now, suppose it is true for $m-1$, with $m \geq 2$ and let us consider the assertion for those square free $n$ with $\omega(n)=m$ [1]. So let $n=q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m}$ be a square free number and assume that $q_{1}<\cdots<q_{m}$ for $q_{m} \geq 11$.

Case 1: $q_{m} \geq \log \left(2^{19} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_{m}\right)=\log \left(2^{19} \times n\right)$.
By the induction hypothesis we have
$\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times\left(q_{1}+1\right) \times \cdots \times\left(q_{m-1}+1\right) \leq e^{\gamma} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times \log \log \left(2^{19} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}\right)$
and hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times\left(q_{1}+1\right) \times \cdots \times\left(q_{m-1}+1\right) \times\left(q_{m}+1\right) \leq \\
e^{\gamma} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times\left(q_{m}+1\right) \times \log \log \left(2^{19} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

when we multiply the both sides of the inequality by $\left(q_{m}+1\right)$. We want to show

$$
e^{\gamma} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times\left(q_{m}+1\right) \times \log \log \left(2^{19} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}\right) \leq
$$

$e^{\gamma} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_{m} \times \log \log \left(2^{19} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_{m}\right)=e^{\gamma} \times n \times \log \log \left(2^{19} \times n\right)$.
Indeed the previous inequality is equivalent with
$q_{m} \times \log \log \left(2^{19} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_{m}\right) \geq\left(q_{m}+1\right) \times \log \log \left(2^{19} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}\right)$
or alternatively
$\frac{q_{m} \times\left(\log \log \left(2^{19} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_{m}\right)-\log \log \left(2^{19} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}\right)\right)}{\log q_{m}} \geq$

$$
\frac{\log \log \left(2^{19} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}\right)}{\log q_{m}}
$$

From the reference [1], we have if $0<a<b$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\log b-\log a}{b-a}=\frac{1}{(b-a)} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{d t}{t}>\frac{1}{b} \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can apply the inequality (7.3) to the previous one just using $b=\log \left(2^{19} \times\right.$ $\left.q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_{m}\right)$ and $a=\log \left(2^{19} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}\right)$. Certainly, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log \left(2^{19} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_{m}\right)-\log \left(2^{19} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}\right)= \\
\log \frac{2^{19} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_{m}}{2^{19} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}}=\log q_{m}
\end{gathered}
$$

In this way, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{q_{m} \times\left(\log \log \left(2^{19} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_{m}\right)-\log \log \left(2^{19} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}\right)\right)}{\log q_{m}}> \\
\frac{q_{m}}{\log \left(2^{19} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m}\right)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Using this result we infer that the original inequality is certainly satisfied if the next inequality is satisfied

$$
\frac{q_{m}}{\log \left(2^{19} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m}\right)} \geq \frac{\log \log \left(2^{19} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m-1}\right)}{\log q_{m}}
$$

which is trivially true for $q_{m} \geq \log \left(2^{19} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_{m}\right)$ [1].
Case 2: $q_{m}<\log \left(2^{19} \times q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m-1} \times q_{m}\right)=\log \left(2^{19} \times n\right)$.
We need to prove

$$
\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times \frac{\sigma(n)}{n} \leq e^{\gamma} \times \log \log \left(2^{19} \times n\right)
$$

We know $\frac{3}{2}<1.503<\frac{4}{2.66}$. Nevertheless, we could have

$$
\frac{3}{2} \times \frac{\sigma(n)}{n} \times \frac{\pi^{2}}{6}<\frac{4 \times \sigma(n)}{3 \times n} \times \frac{\pi^{2}}{2 \times 2.66}
$$

and therefore, we only need to prove

$$
\frac{\sigma(3 \times n)}{3 \times n} \times \frac{\pi^{2}}{5.32} \leq e^{\gamma} \times \log \log \left(2^{19} \times n\right)
$$

where this is possible because of $3 \nmid n$. If we apply the logarithm to the both sides of the inequality, then we obtain
$\log \left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{5.32}\right)+(\log (3+1)-\log 3)+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\log \left(q_{i}+1\right)-\log q_{i}\right) \leq \gamma+\log \log \log \left(2^{19} \times n\right)$.
From the reference [1], we note

$$
\log \left(q_{1}+1\right)-\log q_{1}=\int_{q_{1}}^{q_{1}+1} \frac{d t}{t}<\frac{1}{q_{1}}
$$

In addition, note $\log \left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{5.32}\right)<\frac{1}{2}+0.12$. However, we know

$$
\gamma+\log \log q_{m}<\gamma+\log \log \log \left(2^{19} \times n\right)
$$

since $q_{m}<\log \left(2^{19} \times n\right)$ and therefore, it is enough to prove

$$
0.12+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{q_{1}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{q_{m}} \leq 0.12+\sum_{q \leq q_{m}} \frac{1}{q} \leq \gamma+\log \log q_{m}
$$

where $q_{m} \geq 11$. In this way, we only need to prove

$$
\sum_{q \leq q_{m}} \frac{1}{q} \leq \gamma+\log \log q_{m}-0.12
$$

which is true according to the lemma 6.1 when $q_{m} \geq 11$. In this way, we finally show the theorem is indeed satisfied.

## 8 Robin's Divisibility

Theorem 8.1 Robins $(n)$ holds for all $n>5040$ when $3 \nmid n$. More precisely: every possible counterexample $n>5040$ of the Robin's inequality must comply with $\left(2^{20} \times 3^{13}\right) \mid n$.

Proof We will check the Robin's inequality is true for every natural number $n=q_{1}^{a_{1}} \times q_{2}^{a_{2}} \times \cdots \times q_{m}^{a_{m}}>5040$ such that $q_{1}, q_{2}, \cdots, q_{m}$ are prime numbers, $a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{m}$ are natural numbers and $3 \nmid n$. We know this is true when the greatest prime divisor of $n>5040$ is lesser than or equal to 7 according to the lemma 4.1. Therefore, the remaining case is when the greatest prime divisor of $n>5040$ is greater than 7 . We need to prove

$$
\frac{\sigma(n)}{n}<e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n
$$

that is true when

$$
\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{q_{i}+1}{q_{i}} \leq e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n
$$

according to the lemma 3.1. Using the formula (7.1), we obtain that will be equivalent to

$$
\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \times \frac{\sigma\left(n^{\prime}\right)}{n^{\prime}} \leq e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n
$$

where $n^{\prime}=q_{1} \times \cdots \times q_{m}$ is the core $(n)$ [1]. However, the Robin's inequality has been proved for all integers $n$ not divisible by 2 (which are bigger than 10) [1]. Hence, we only need to prove the Robin's inequality is true when $2 \mid n^{\prime}$. In addition, we know the Robin's inequality is true for every natural number $n>5040$ such that $2^{k} \mid n$ and $2^{20} \nmid n$ for some integer $1 \leq k \leq 19$ [3]. Consequently, we only need to prove the Robin's inequality is true for all $n>5040$ such that $2^{20} \mid n$ and thus,

$$
e^{\gamma} \times n^{\prime} \times \log \log \left(2^{19} \times \frac{n^{\prime}}{2}\right) \leq e^{\gamma} \times n^{\prime} \times \log \log n
$$

because of $2^{19} \times \frac{n^{\prime}}{2} \leq n$ when $2^{20} \mid n$ and $2 \mid n^{\prime}$. In this way, we only need to prove

$$
\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \times \sigma\left(n^{\prime}\right) \leq e^{\gamma} \times n^{\prime} \times \log \log \left(2^{19} \times \frac{n^{\prime}}{2}\right)
$$

According to the formula (7.1) and $2 \mid n^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \times 3 \times \sigma\left(\frac{n^{\prime}}{2}\right) \leq e^{\gamma} \times 2 \times \frac{n^{\prime}}{2} \times \log \log \left(2^{19} \times \frac{n^{\prime}}{2}\right)
$$

which is the same as

$$
\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \times \frac{3}{2} \times \sigma\left(\frac{n^{\prime}}{2}\right) \leq e^{\gamma} \times \frac{n^{\prime}}{2} \times \log \log \left(2^{19} \times \frac{n^{\prime}}{2}\right)
$$

that is true according to the theorem 7.1 when $3 \nmid \frac{n^{\prime}}{2}$. In addition, we know the Robin's inequality is true for every natural number $n>5040$ such that $3^{k} \mid n$ and $3^{13} \nmid n$ for some integer $1 \leq k \leq 12$ [3]. Consequently, we only need to prove the Robin's inequality is true for all $n>5040$ such that $2^{20} \mid n$ and $3^{13} \mid n$. To sum up, the proof is completed.

Theorem 8.2 Robins(n) holds for all $n>5040$ when $5 \nmid n$ or $7 \nmid n$.
Proof We need to prove

$$
f(n)<e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n
$$

when $\left(2^{20} \times 3^{13}\right) \mid n$. Suppose that $n=2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times m$, where $a \geq 20, b \geq 13$, $2 \nmid m, 3 \nmid m$ and $5 \nmid m$ or $7 \nmid m$. Therefore, we need to prove

$$
f\left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times m\right)<e^{\gamma} \times \log \log \left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times m\right)
$$

We know

$$
f\left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times m\right)=f\left(3^{b}\right) \times f\left(2^{a} \times m\right)
$$

since $f$ is multiplicative [6]. In addition, we know $f\left(3^{b}\right)<\frac{3}{2}$ for every natural number $b$ [6]. In this way, we have

$$
f\left(3^{b}\right) \times f\left(2^{a} \times m\right)<\frac{3}{2} \times f\left(2^{a} \times m\right)
$$

Now, consider

$$
\frac{3}{2} \times f\left(2^{a} \times m\right)=\frac{9}{8} \times f(3) \times f\left(2^{a} \times m\right)=\frac{9}{8} \times f\left(2^{a} \times 3 \times m\right)
$$

where $f(3)=\frac{4}{3}$ since $f$ is multiplicative [6]. Nevertheless, we have

$$
\frac{9}{8} \times f\left(2^{a} \times 3 \times m\right)<f(5) \times f\left(2^{a} \times 3 \times m\right)=f\left(2^{a} \times 3 \times 5 \times m\right)
$$

and

$$
\frac{9}{8} \times f\left(2^{a} \times 3 \times m\right)<f(7) \times f\left(2^{a} \times 3 \times m\right)=f\left(2^{a} \times 3 \times 7 \times m\right)
$$

where $5 \nmid m$ or $7 \nmid m, f(5)=\frac{6}{5}$ and $f(7)=\frac{8}{7}$. However, we know the Robin's inequality is true for $2^{a} \times 3 \times 5 \times m$ and $2^{a} \times 3 \times 7 \times m$ when $a \geq 20$, since this is true for every natural number $n>5040$ such that $3^{k} \mid n$ and $3^{13} \nmid n$ for some integer $1 \leq k \leq 12$ [3]. Hence, we would have
$f\left(2^{a} \times 3 \times 5 \times m\right)<e^{\gamma} \times \log \log \left(2^{a} \times 3 \times 5 \times m\right)<e^{\gamma} \times \log \log \left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times m\right)$
and
$f\left(2^{a} \times 3 \times 7 \times m\right)<e^{\gamma} \times \log \log \left(2^{a} \times 3 \times 7 \times m\right)<e^{\gamma} \times \log \log \left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times m\right)$ when $b \geq 13$.

Theorem 8.3 Robins $(n)$ holds for all $n>5040$ when a prime number $q_{m} \nmid n$ for $11 \leq q_{m} \leq 47$.

Proof We know the Robin's inequality is true for every natural number $n>5040$ such that $7^{k} \mid n$ and $7^{7} \nmid n$ for some integer $1 \leq k \leq 6[3]$. We need to prove

$$
f(n)<e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n
$$

when $\left(2^{20} \times 3^{13} \times 7^{7}\right) \mid n$. Suppose that $n=2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times 7^{c} \times m$, where $a \geq 20$, $b \geq 13, c \geq 7,2 \nmid m, 3 \nmid m, 7 \nmid m, q_{m} \nmid m$ and $11 \leq q_{m} \leq 47$. Therefore, we need to prove

$$
f\left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times 7^{c} \times m\right)<e^{\gamma} \times \log \log \left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times 7^{c} \times m\right)
$$

We know

$$
f\left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times 7^{c} \times m\right)=f\left(7^{c}\right) \times f\left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times m\right)
$$

since $f$ is multiplicative [6]. In addition, we know $f\left(7^{c}\right)<\frac{7}{6}$ for every natural number $c$ [6]. In this way, we have

$$
f\left(7^{c}\right) \times f\left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times m\right)<\frac{7}{6} \times f\left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times m\right)
$$

However, that would be equivalent to

$$
\frac{49}{48} \times f(7) \times f\left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times m\right)=\frac{49}{48} \times f\left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times 7 \times m\right)
$$

where $f(7)=\frac{8}{7}$ since $f$ is multiplicative [6]. In addition, we know
$\frac{49}{48} \times f\left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times 7 \times m\right)<f\left(q_{m}\right) \times f\left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times 7 \times m\right)=f\left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times 7 \times q_{m} \times m\right)$
where $q_{m} \nmid m, f\left(q_{m}\right)=\frac{q_{m}+1}{q_{m}}$ and $11 \leq q_{m} \leq 47$. Nevertheless, we know the Robin's inequality is true for $2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times 7 \times q_{m} \times m$ when $a \geq 20$ and $b \geq 13$,
since this is true for every natural number $n>5040$ such that $7^{k} \mid n$ and $7^{7} \nmid n$ for some integer $1 \leq k \leq 6$ [3]. Hence, we would have
$f\left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times 7 \times q_{m} \times m\right)<e^{\gamma} \times \log \log \left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times 7 \times q_{m} \times m\right)<e^{\gamma} \times \log \log \left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times 7^{c} \times m\right)$
when $c \geq 7$ and $11 \leq q_{m} \leq 47$.
Theorem 8.4 Robins $(n)$ holds for all $n>5040$ when a prime number $q_{m} \nmid n$ for $53 \leq q_{m} \leq 113$.

Proof We know the Robin's inequality is true for every natural number $n>5040$ such that $11^{k} \mid n$ and $11^{6} \nmid n$ for some integer $1 \leq k \leq 5$ [3]. We need to prove

$$
f(n)<e^{\gamma} \times \log \log n
$$

when $\left(2^{20} \times 3^{13} \times 11^{6}\right) \mid n$. Suppose that $n=2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times 11^{c} \times m$, where $a \geq 20$, $b \geq 13, c \geq 6,2 \nmid m, 3 \nmid m, 11 \nmid m, q_{m} \nmid m$ and $53 \leq q_{m} \leq 113$. Therefore, we need to prove

$$
f\left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times 11^{c} \times m\right)<e^{\gamma} \times \log \log \left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times 11^{c} \times m\right)
$$

We know

$$
f\left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times 11^{c} \times m\right)=f\left(11^{c}\right) \times f\left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times m\right)
$$

since $f$ is multiplicative [6]. In addition, we know $f\left(11^{c}\right)<\frac{11}{10}$ for every natural number $c[6]$. In this way, we have

$$
f\left(11^{c}\right) \times f\left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times m\right)<\frac{11}{10} \times f\left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times m\right)
$$

However, that would be equivalent to

$$
\frac{121}{120} \times f(11) \times f\left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times m\right)=\frac{121}{120} \times f\left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times 11 \times m\right)
$$

where $f(11)=\frac{12}{11}$ since $f$ is multiplicative [6]. In addition, we know $\frac{121}{120} \times f\left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times 11 \times m\right)<f\left(q_{m}\right) \times f\left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times 11 \times m\right)=f\left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times 11 \times q_{m} \times m\right)$ where $q_{m} \nmid m, f\left(q_{m}\right)=\frac{q_{m}+1}{q_{m}}$ and $53 \leq q_{m} \leq 113$. Nevertheless, we know the Robin's inequality is true for $2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times 11 \times q_{m} \times m$ when $a \geq 20$ and $b \geq 13$, since this is true for every natural number $n>5040$ such that $11^{k} \mid n$ and $11^{6} \nmid n$ for some integer $1 \leq k \leq 5$ [3]. Hence, we would have
$f\left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times 11 \times q_{m} \times m\right)<e^{\gamma} \times \log \log \left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times 11 \times q_{m} \times m\right)<e^{\gamma} \times \log \log \left(2^{a} \times 3^{b} \times 11^{c} \times m\right)$ when $c \geq 6$ and $53 \leq q_{m} \leq 113$.

## 9 Proof of Main Theorems

Theorem 9.1 Robins $(n)$ holds for all $n>5040$ when a prime number $q_{m} \nmid n$ for $q_{m} \leq 113$.

Proof This is a compendium of the results from the Theorems 8.1, 8.2, 8.3 and 8.4.

Theorem 9.2 Let $n>5040$ and $n=r \times q_{m}$, where $q_{m} \geq 113$ denotes the largest prime factor of $n$. We have checked by computer, if Lagarias $(r)$ holds, then Lagarias $(n)$ holds.

Proof We need to prove

$$
\sigma(n) \leq H_{n}+\exp \left(H_{n}\right) \times \log H_{n}
$$

We have that

$$
\sigma(r) \leq H_{r}+\exp \left(H_{r}\right) \times \log H_{r}
$$

since Lagarias $(r)$ holds. If we multiply by $\left(q_{m}+1\right)$ the both sides of the previous inequality, then we obtain that

$$
\sigma(r) \times\left(q_{m}+1\right) \leq\left(q_{m}+1\right) \times H_{r}+\left(q_{m}+1\right) \times \exp \left(H_{r}\right) \times \log H_{r}
$$

We know that $\sigma$ is submultiplicative (that is $\sigma(n)=\sigma\left(q_{m} \times r\right) \leq \sigma\left(q_{m}\right) \times$ $\sigma(r))$ [1]. Moreover, we know that $\sigma\left(q_{m}\right)=\left(q_{m}+1\right)$. In this way, we obtain that

$$
\sigma(n)=\sigma\left(q_{m} \times r\right) \leq\left(q_{m}+1\right) \times H_{r}+\left(q_{m}+1\right) \times \exp \left(H_{r}\right) \times \log H_{r}
$$

Hence, it is enough to prove that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(q_{m}+1\right) \times H_{r}+\left(q_{m}+1\right) \times \exp \left(H_{r}\right) \times \log H_{r} \\
& \leq H_{n}+\exp \left(H_{n}\right) \times \log H_{n} \\
& =H_{q_{m} \times r}+\exp \left(H_{q_{m} \times r}\right) \times \log H_{q_{m} \times r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we apply the lemma 2.3 to the previous inequality, then we could only need to analyze that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(q_{m}+1\right) \times \log \left(e^{\gamma} \times(r+1)\right)+\left(q_{m}+1\right) \times e^{\gamma} \times(r+1) \times \log \log \left(e^{\gamma} \times(r+1)\right) \\
& \leq \log \left(e^{\gamma} \times q_{m} \times r\right)+e^{\gamma} \times q_{m} \times r \times \log \log \left(e^{\gamma} \times q_{m} \times r\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This has been checked by computer when the prime $q_{m}$ is the largest prime factor of $n$ and complies with $q_{m} \geq 113$. Indeed, what we actually note by computer is that the behavior of the subtraction between the both sides of this previous inequality is monotonically increasing as much as $q_{m}$ and $r$ become larger.
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