

Metabolomics Unveils Plant-Microbe Interactions: Advancing Agricultural Sustainability

Katie Fan

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid dissemination of research results and are integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

July 12, 2024

Metabolomics Unveils Plant-Microbe Interactions: Advancing Agricultural Sustainability

Katie Fan

Agricultural Biotechnology Research Center, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan

Abstract

Plant-microbe interactions are pivotal in ecosystem dynamics and agricultural productivity. Metabolomics has emerged as a crucial tool for understanding the complex chemical exchanges that govern these interactions, offering a comprehensive view of metabolic processes. This review explores a range of metabolomics techniques used in studying plant-microbe interactions, including mass spectrometry, nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy, and imaging methods. We also discuss the use of advanced bioinformatics tools, databases, and the growing role of artificial intelligence and machine learning in metabolomics data analysis. Integrating metabolomics with other omics disciplines, such as transcriptomics, proteomics, and metagenomics, provides a holistic understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying these interactions. Furthermore, we highlight the complementary nature of fluxomics in revealing the dynamic aspects of metabolic networks. Despite challenges in data analysis and interpretation, metabolomics has significantly enhanced our understanding of the diverse roles of metabolites in shaping plant-microbe interactions. As metabolomics continues to evolve and integrate with other omics approaches, we anticipate groundbreaking discoveries that will revolutionize sustainable agriculture and biotechnology.

1. Introduction

Plant-microbe interactions are fundamental to the functioning of terrestrial ecosystems, significantly influencing nutrient cycling, plant growth promotion, and disease resistance ¹². These interactions shape the dynamics and productivity of ecosystems, influencing the availability and acquisition of nutrients, as well as the resilience of plants against biotic and abiotic stresses ³ (Figure 1). Metabolomics has emerged as a powerful tool for uncovering the intricate interplay between plants and microbes ^{4,5}. This cutting-edge approach allows researchers to comprehensively analyze the vast array of metabolites produced by both organisms, shedding light on the complex chemical dialogues that govern their interactions ^{6,7}. By providing a comprehensive snapshot of the metabolic landscape, metabolomics enables the identification and characterization of key metabolites involved in these intricate relationships ^{8,9}.



Figure 1. Key Plant-Microbe Interactions and Their Implications. The schematic diagram illustrates various plant-microbe interactions and their effects on plant health and growth. Beneficial interactions include induced systemic resistance and systemic acquired resistance mediated by epiphytic (phylloplane) microbes, plant growth promotion by rhizobacteria and fungi, and nitrogen fixation by symbiotic bacteria. Conversely, detrimental interactions involve leaf pathogens, root pathogens, and the uptake of C, N, and P from soil organic matter by endocytosis of microbes via root hairs. Mycorrhizal fungi engage in mutualistic associations, while carbon root exudates attract heterotrophic microbes. Secreting enzymes by microbes compete for nutrients, and increased or decreased nutrient availability modulates the endocytosis of microbes.

High-throughput metabolomics techniques, such as mass spectrometry (MS) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, allow for the rapid and comprehensive profiling of metabolites, enabling researchers to capture the dynamic changes in metabolic profiles that occur during plant-microbe interactions ^{10,11}. These advanced analytical techniques, coupled with bioinformatics tools for data processing and analysis,

have revolutionized our understanding of plant-microbe interactions by facilitating the identification of novel metabolites and the elucidation of their biological functions ^{12,13}.

Metabolomics has been instrumental in identifying signaling molecules, such as phytohormones, quorum-sensing compounds, and specialized metabolites, that mediate plant-microbe interactions ¹⁴. These signaling molecules play crucial roles in the recognition, establishment, and regulation of both beneficial and pathogenic associations between plants and microbes ^{14,15}. By comparing the metabolic profiles of plants and microbes under different interaction scenarios, researchers can pinpoint the key metabolites involved in specific responses and unravel the underlying molecular mechanisms ^{16,17}.

Furthermore, metabolomics has provided valuable insights into the metabolic basis of plant defense responses against pathogens ¹⁷. By comparing the metabolic profiles of resistant and susceptible plant genotypes, researchers can identify metabolites associated with defense responses, antimicrobial compounds, and virulence factors ^{18,19}. This knowledge is crucial for developing strategies to enhance crop resistance and mitigate the impact of plant diseases, contributing to sustainable agricultural practices ¹⁸. Metabolomics has also shed light on the metabolic exchanges that occur during the establishment and maintenance of beneficial plant-microbe associations, such as those involving plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) ^{20,21}. These studies have revealed the complex network of metabolic interactions that underlie these mutualistic relationships, paving the way for the development of novel strategies to optimize plant growth and resilience ^{20,21}.

In conclusion, metabolomics has emerged as a powerful tool for unraveling the complex chemical dialogues that govern plant-microbe interactions. By providing a comprehensive view of the metabolic landscape, metabolomics has shed light on the signaling molecules, defense compounds, and metabolic exchanges that shape these interactions (Figure 2). As metabolomics technologies continue to advance and integrate with other omics approaches, we can expect to gain even deeper insights into the fascinating world of plant-microbe interactions and their implications for sustainable agriculture and biotechnology ²².



Figure 2: Workflow of untargeted metabolomics for studying plant-pathogen interactions. The workflow begins with (1) careful study design, considering healthy and infected plants. (2) Sample collection involves obtaining plant, microbe, or co-culture samples. (3) Pre-treatment methods, such as extraction and derivatization, prepare the samples for (4) data acquisition using mass spectrometry and NMR spectroscopy. (5) Data processing and peak picking alignment convert raw data into a format suitable for (6) statistical analysis, including univariate and multivariate methods. (7) Pathway analysis and database searches identify metabolites and elucidate altered biological pathways. Finally, (8) biological interpretation integrates metabolomics data with other omics approaches to validate potential biomarkers and gain a systems-level understanding of the plant's response to biotic stress.

2. Metabolomics Techniques in Plant-Microbe Interaction Studies

The application of high-throughput metabolomics in plant-microbe interactions has been facilitated by advances in analytical techniques, such as mass spectrometry (MS) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy ²³. These techniques, coupled with bioinformatics tools for data processing and analysis, enable the rapid and sensitive detection and identification of a wide range of metabolites present in plant and microbial samples ^{10,22}. The sensitivity and high-throughput nature of these techniques allow for the rapid and comprehensive profiling of metabolites, enabling researchers to capture the dynamic changes in metabolic profiles that occur during plant-microbe interactions ^{11,12}.

2.1 Mass spectrometry-based approaches

Mass spectrometry (MS) has emerged as a powerful tool for metabolomics studies in plant-microbe interactions. MS-based approaches offer high sensitivity, selectivity, and the ability to analyze a wide range of metabolites simultaneously ^{24,25}. The most commonly used MS techniques in plant-microbe interaction studies include gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS), liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS), and capillary electrophoresis-mass spectrometry (CE-MS) ¹⁰.

a. Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS)

GC-MS is a widely used technique for the analysis of volatile and semi-volatile compounds in plant-microbe interactions ²⁶. This technique involves the separation of metabolites based on their volatility and polarity, followed by ionization and detection using MS ^{27,28}. GC-MS has been successfully applied to study the volatile organic compounds (VOCs) produced during plant-microbe interactions, such as those involved in plant defense responses and microbial communication ²⁹. For example, a study by Sharifi et al. (2018) used GC-MS to investigate the VOCs produced by the beneficial fungus Trichoderma harzianum and their effects on plant growth and defense responses ³⁰.

b. Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS)

LC-MS is a versatile technique that allows for the separation and detection of a wide range of non-volatile metabolites, including primary and secondary metabolites ¹². This technique involves the separation of metabolites based on their interaction with a stationary phase and a mobile phase, followed by ionization and detection using MS ^{31,32}. LC-MS has been extensively used to study the metabolic changes occurring during plantmicrobe interactions, such as those involved in plant defense responses and symbiotic associations ^{33,34}. For instance, a study by Stringlis et al. (2018) used LC-MS to investigate the role of coumarin exudation in shaping the root microbiome and promoting plant health ³⁵.

c. Capillary electrophoresis-mass spectrometry (CE-MS)

CE-MS is a powerful technique that combines the high separation efficiency of capillary electrophoresis with the sensitivity and selectivity of MS ³⁶. This technique involves the separation of metabolites based on their charge-to-size ratio in an electric field, followed by ionization and detection using MS ³⁷. CE-MS has been successfully applied to study the metabolic profiles of plants and microbes during their interactions, particularly in the context of plant defense responses and microbial virulence ^{38,39}. For example, a study by Bringel and Couée (2015) used CE-MS to investigate the metabolic responses of Arabidopsis thaliana to the bacterial pathogen Pseudomonas syringae ⁴⁰.

2.2 Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy is another powerful technique used in metabolomics studies of plant-microbe interactions ⁴¹. NMR spectroscopy provides detailed structural information on metabolites and allows for the simultaneous detection of a wide range of compounds in a sample ⁴¹. One of the main advantages of NMR spectroscopy is its non-destructive nature, which enables the analysis of living tissues and the monitoring of metabolic changes over time ⁴². For example, a study by Rivero et al. (2015) used high-resolution magic angle spinning (HR-MAS) NMR spectroscopy to investigate the metabolic changes in tomato roots colonized by the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus Rhizophagus irregularis ³⁴. The authors identified several metabolites, such as amino acids and organic acids, that were involved in the nutrient exchange between the plant and the fungus.

2.3 Imaging techniques (e.g., MALDI-MS imaging, NMR imaging)

Imaging techniques, such as matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry (MALDI-MS) imaging and NMR imaging, have been increasingly used in metabolomics studies of plant-microbe interactions ⁴³. These techniques allow for the spatial visualization of metabolites within tissues and provide valuable insights into the localization of specific compounds during plant-microbe interactions ⁴⁴. For example, a study by Veličković et al. (2020) used MALDI-MS imaging to investigate the spatial distribution of metabolites in the roots of the model legume Medicago truncatula during its symbiosis with the nitrogen-fixing bacterium Sinorhizobium meliloti ⁴⁵. The authors identified several metabolites, such as flavonoids and lipids, that were specifically localized in the nodules formed during the symbiotic interaction. Similarly, a study by Pétriacq et al. (2017) used NMR imaging to investigate the metabolic changes in Arabidopsis thaliana leaves infected with the bacterial pathogen Pseudomonas syringae ⁴⁶. The authors identified several metabolites, such as sugars and amino acids, that accumulated in specific regions of the infected leaves and played important roles in the plant's defense response.

2.4 Bioinformatics tools and databases for metabolomics data analysis

Bioinformatics tools and databases play a crucial role in the analysis and interpretation of metabolomics data generated from plant-microbe interaction studies ⁴⁷. These tools enable the processing, normalization, and statistical analysis of large metabolomics datasets, as well as the identification of metabolites through comparisons with reference databases ^{47,48}. Some of the widely used bioinformatics tools for metabolomics data analysis include XCMS ⁴⁹, MZmine ⁵⁰, and MetaboAnalyst ⁵¹. These tools provide various functionalities, such as peak detection, alignment, and integration, as well as statistical analysis and data visualization ⁴⁹. Statistical tools, such as principal component analysis (PCA) and partial least squares-discriminant analysis (PLS-DA), are used to identify significant metabolic differences between sample groups and to visualize the data ²³. In addition, several metabolomics databases, such as METLIN ⁵², MassBank ⁵³, and the Human Metabolome Database (HMDB) ⁵⁴, serve as repositories for metabolite

information and facilitate the identification of compounds based on their mass spectra and other chemical properties ^{52,53}.

The integration of high-throughput analytical techniques and bioinformatics tools has greatly enhanced the efficiency and reliability of metabolomics studies in plant-microbe interactions. These advancements have enabled researchers to generate comprehensive metabolic profiles, identify novel metabolites, and unravel the complex metabolic networks involved in these interactions ⁵⁵. As technology continues to evolve, it is expected that metabolomics will play an increasingly important role in understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying plant-microbe interactions and in developing strategies for sustainable agriculture and biocontrol ⁵⁶.

2.5 AI and Machine Learning in Metabolomics for Plant-Microbe Interactions a. Applications of AI and machine learning in metabolomics

Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) have emerged as powerful tools for analyzing and interpreting the vast amounts of complex data generated by metabolomics studies in plant-microbe interactions ⁵⁷. These computational approaches can help in various aspects of metabolomics research, such as data preprocessing, feature selection, metabolite identification, and network analysis ⁵⁸. For example, AI and ML algorithms can be used to automate the preprocessing of raw metabolomics data, including peak detection, alignment, and normalization, thus reducing the time and effort required for manual data processing ⁵⁹. Moreover, these techniques can aid in the identification of discriminative metabolites and biomarkers that are associated with specific plant-microbe interaction outcomes, such as disease resistance or symbiotic efficiency ⁶⁰.

b. Machine learning algorithms for metabolomics data analysis

Several machine learning algorithms have been applied to analyze metabolomics data from plant-microbe interaction studies ⁶¹. These algorithms can be broadly classified into supervised and unsupervised learning methods ²³. Supervised learning methods, such as partial least squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) and support vector machines (SVM), use labeled data to train models that can predict the class membership of new samples based on their metabolic profiles ⁶². These methods have been used to identify metabolic signatures associated with specific plant-microbe interaction outcomes, such as disease resistance or symbiotic efficiency ⁶³. Unsupervised learning methods, such as principal component analysis (PCA) and hierarchical clustering, explore the inherent structure of the metabolomics data without using class labels ⁶⁴. These methods have been used to identify patterns and relationships among metabolites and samples, and to generate hypotheses about the underlying biological processes ⁶⁵.

c. Deep learning for metabolomics

Deep learning, a subfield of machine learning that uses artificial neural networks with multiple layers, has shown great promise in analyzing metabolomics data from plantmicrobe interaction studies ⁶⁶. Deep learning algorithms, such as convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and recurrent neural networks (RNNs), can automatically learn hierarchical representations of the metabolomics data, capturing complex patterns and relationships among metabolites ⁶⁷. These algorithms have been used for various tasks in metabolomics, such as metabolite identification, biomarker discovery, and network analysis ⁶⁸. For example, Uppal et al. (2017) developed xMSannotator, an R package that utilizes recurrent neural networks (RNNs) for network-based annotation of metabolites from high-resolution metabolomics data. By integrating metabolic transformation rules and mass spectral patterns within an RNN framework, the package enables annotating metabolites, including those not found in existing databases, outperforming traditional annotation methods ⁶⁹. Another study by Pomyen et al. (2021) used deep learning to integrate metabolomics and transcriptomics data from rice plants infected with the fungal pathogen Magnaporthe oryzae, revealing novel insights into the metabolic reprogramming during the infection process ⁷⁰.

d. Challenges and future directions

Despite the promising applications of AI and machine learning in metabolomics for plantmicrobe interactions, several challenges remain to be addressed. One of the main challenges is the limited availability of large, well-curated metabolomics datasets for training and validating AI and ML models ⁷¹. The development of standardized protocols for data collection, processing, and reporting, as well as the creation of public repositories for metabolomics data, will be crucial for advancing the application of AI and ML in this field ⁷². Another challenge is the interpretability of the AI and ML models, particularly deep learning models, which can be difficult to understand and explain ⁷³. The development of explainable AI techniques, such as attention mechanisms and interpretation tools, will be important for generating biologically meaningful insights from these models ⁷⁴.

In conclusion, AI and machine learning have the potential to revolutionize the analysis and interpretation of metabolomics data in plant-microbe interaction studies. These computational approaches can help in various aspects of metabolomics research, from data preprocessing to biomarker discovery and network analysis. However, addressing the challenges related to data availability, standardization, and model interpretability will be crucial for realizing the full potential of AI and ML in this field. As these technologies continue to advance and integrate with other omics approaches, we can anticipate new breakthroughs in our understanding of the complex metabolic interactions between plants and microbes, and their applications in agriculture and biotechnology.

3. Integration of Metabolomics with Other Omics Approaches

Metabolomics provides a comprehensive snapshot of the metabolic profiles of both plants and their associated microbes, enabling the identification of key metabolites involved in these interactions ^{16,25}. By integrating metabolomics data with other omics approaches, such as transcriptomics and proteomics, researchers can gain a more comprehensive understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying these interactions ⁷⁵. This multiomics approach facilitates the elucidation of regulatory networks, metabolic pathways, and signaling cascades involved in these complex interactions, paving the way for innovative strategies in sustainable agriculture, ecosystem management, and environmental conservation ⁷⁶.

3.1 Transcriptomics and metabolomics

The integration of transcriptomics and metabolomics has proven to be a powerful approach for understanding the complex interactions between plants and microbes ⁷⁷. Transcriptomics provides information on gene expression changes, while metabolomics reveals the resulting metabolic alterations ⁷⁸. By combining these two omics techniques, researchers can gain a more comprehensive understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying plant-microbe interactions ⁷. For example, a study by Camañes et al. (2015) integrated transcriptomics and metabolomics to investigate the response of tomato plants to the beneficial bacterium Pseudomonas putida ⁷⁹. The authors identified several metabolites, such as amino acids and organic acids, that were differentially accumulated in the plants, and correlated these changes with the expression of specific genes involved in plant defense and growth promotion.

3.2 Proteomics and metabolomics

Proteomics, the study of the entire set of proteins expressed by an organism ⁸⁰, can provide valuable insights into the functional aspects of plant-microbe interactions ⁸¹. Integrating proteomics with metabolomics can help elucidate the relationship between protein abundance and metabolic changes ⁸². For instance, Balmer et al. (2013) used proteomics and metabolomics to investigate the response of maize leaves to the fungal pathogen Colletotrichum graminicola ⁸³. The authors identified several proteins and metabolites, such as PR proteins, phytoalexins, and oxylipins, that were differentially accumulated in the infected leaves and could contribute to the plant's defense response against the pathogen.

3.3 Metagenomics and metabolomics

Metagenomics, the study of the collective genomes of microorganisms in a particular environment, has been increasingly used to investigate the diversity and functional potential of plant-associated microbial communities ⁸⁴. Integrating metagenomics with metabolomics can provide a more comprehensive understanding of the metabolic capabilities of these communities and their interactions with host plants ⁸⁵. For example, a study by Gao et al. (2018) integrated metagenomics and metabolomics to investigate the microbial communities associated with the medicinal plant Salvia miltiorrhiza ⁸⁶. The

authors identified several bacterial and fungal taxa that were enriched in the plant roots and correlated their presence with the production of specific bioactive compounds, such as tanshinones and salvianolic acids.

3.4 Fluxomics: A complementary approach to study plant-microbe interactions In addition to metabolomics, fluxomics has emerged as a complementary approach to study plant-microbe interactions. Fluxomics is the quantitative analysis of metabolic fluxes, which are the rates of metabolite interconversion within a biological system ^{87,88}. This approach provides insights into the dynamic nature of metabolic networks and helps to identify the key metabolic pathways and regulatory mechanisms ^{89,90}. Fluxomics techniques, such as 13C metabolic flux analysis (13C-MFA) and flux balance analysis (FBA), allow researchers to trace the flow of metabolites through metabolic pathways and to quantify the flux distributions within a biological system ^{91,92}. These techniques involve the use of stable isotope labeling, such as 13C, to track the fate of metabolites as they are transformed and transported within the plant-microbe system ^{93,94}.

By combining fluxomics with metabolomics, researchers can gain a more comprehensive understanding of the metabolic reprogramming that occurs during plant-microbe interactions ⁹⁵. For example, a study by Schwender et al. (2004) used 13C-MFA to investigate the metabolic fluxes in the interaction between the plant pathogen Agrobacterium tumefaciens and its host plant, Arabidopsis thaliana ⁹⁶. The authors found that the infection led to a redirection of carbon fluxes towards the synthesis of specific amino acids and organic acids, which were essential for the growth and virulence of the pathogen ⁹⁶. Fluxomics has also been applied to study the metabolic interactions between plants and beneficial microbes, such as arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) and rhizobia ⁹⁷. For instance, Rich et al. (2017) employed 13C-MFA to study the metabolic interactions between the model legume Lotus japonicus and the nitrogen-fixing bacterium Mesorhizobium loti during root nodule symbiosis ⁹⁸. The authors discovered that the bacterium supplied the plant with fixed nitrogen in exchange for dicarboxylic acids and amino acids derived from the plant's photosynthetic activity, and that the carbon allocation to the bacterium was modulated by the plant's nitrogen status.

Fluxomics can also provide valuable insights into the metabolic basis of plant-microbe interactions under different environmental conditions, such as drought, salinity, and nutrient deficiency ⁹⁹. By quantifying the changes in metabolic fluxes under these conditions, researchers can identify the key metabolic pathways and regulatory mechanisms that contribute to plant adaptation and resilience ¹⁰⁰. By combining fluxomics with metabolomics, researchers can gain a more comprehensive understanding of the metabolic reprogramming that occurs during these interactions, and identify the key metabolic pathways and regulatory mechanisms that underlie plant-microbe communication and adaptation to environmental stresses. As fluxomics technologies continue to advance, we can expect to see more applications of this approach in the study

of plant-microbe interactions and their implications for sustainable agriculture and biotechnology ^{101,102}.

3.5 Examples of multi-omics studies in plant-microbe interactions

Several studies have demonstrated the power of integrating multiple omics approaches to unravel the complexity of plant-microbe interactions. For example, a study by Liu et al. (2017) integrated transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics to investigate the response of maize plants to the beneficial fungus Trichoderma harzianum ¹⁰³. The authors identified several genes, proteins, and metabolites that were differentially expressed in the plants and contributed to their enhanced growth and stress tolerance. Similarly, Schläpfer et al. (2017) employed metagenomics, metabolomics, and transcriptomics to explore the interactions between the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana and its root-associated microbial communities ¹⁰⁴. The authors identified various bacterial and fungal taxa that were enriched in the plant rhizosphere and linked their presence to the expression of specific plant genes and the accumulation of defense-related metabolites, such as glucosinolates and camalexin. These multi-omics studies highlight the power of integrating different omics approaches to gain a more holistic understanding of the complex interactions between plants and microbes.

4. Challenges and Future Perspectives

Despite the significant advancements in metabolomics and its applications in plantmicrobe research, several challenges remain. These include the complexity of data analysis and interpretation, the need for robust computational tools and databases, and the integration of multi-omics data across different spatial and temporal scales ²³. Overcoming these challenges will be crucial for unlocking the full potential of metabolomics in unraveling the intricate chemical dialogues that govern plant-microbe interactions.

4.1 Standardization of metabolomics protocols and data reporting

One of the major challenges in metabolomics studies of plant-microbe interactions is the lack of standardized protocols and data reporting guidelines ¹⁰⁵. This inconsistency makes it difficult to compare and integrate results from different studies, hindering the progress in this field ¹⁰⁶. Efforts have been made to establish standardized protocols for sample preparation, data acquisition, and data analysis in metabolomics ¹⁰⁷. For example, the Metabolomics Standards Initiative (MSI) has developed guidelines for reporting metabolomics experiments, including minimum information about a metabolomics experiment (MIAMET) ¹⁰⁸. Adherence to these guidelines will improve the reproducibility and comparability of metabolomics studies in plant-microbe interactions.

4.2 Advancements in computational tools and databases

One major challenge in interpreting metabolomics data is the identification of metabolites and their biological roles in plant-microbe interactions ¹⁰⁹. The diversity of metabolites

produced by plants and microbes, combined with the limited coverage of metabolite databases, makes it difficult to accurately identify and annotate the detected compounds ¹¹⁰. Moreover, the functional characterization of identified metabolites requires a deep understanding of the biological context and the integration of metabolomics data with other omics approaches ¹¹¹.

The rapid growth of metabolomics data generated from plant-microbe interaction studies has necessitated the development of advanced computational tools and databases for data processing, analysis, and interpretation ¹¹². Recent advancements in machine learning and artificial intelligence have enabled the development of more powerful tools for metabolomics data analysis ¹¹³. For instance, deep learning algorithms have been used to improve the accuracy of metabolite identification and quantification ¹¹⁴. Additionally, the integration of metabolomics databases with other omics databases, such as genomics and transcriptomics, has facilitated the construction of comprehensive metabolic networks and the identification of novel metabolic pathways ¹¹⁵.

4.3 Omics

The integration of metabolomics with transcriptomics and proteomics provides a more comprehensive view of the molecular mechanisms underlying plant-microbe interactions ¹¹⁶. By combining these omics approaches, researchers can identify the genes, proteins, and metabolites involved in specific interaction processes and unravel their regulatory networks ¹¹⁷. For instance, a study by Coppola et al. (2019) integrated metabolomics and transcriptomics to investigate the response of tomato plants to the beneficial fungus Trichoderma harzianum, revealing the coordinated regulation of defense and growth-related pathways ¹¹⁸.

However, the integration of multi-omics data poses its own challenges, such as data heterogeneity, dimensionality, and the need for advanced computational tools ¹¹⁹. The development of bioinformatics pipelines and statistical methods for data integration, such as network analysis and machine learning algorithms, is essential for extracting biologically relevant information from the integrated datasets ^{115,120}.

5. Conclusion

5.1. Summary of key points

Metabolomics has emerged as a powerful tool for investigating the complex interactions between plants and microbes. This review has highlighted the various metabolomics techniques, such as mass spectrometry and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy, that have been employed to study plant-microbe interactions. We have discussed the application of metabolomics in elucidating the metabolic changes that occur during plantpathogen interactions, identifying resistance-related metabolites, and assisting in the breeding of disease-resistant crops. Furthermore, we have explored the role of metabolomics in understanding the metabolic profiles of plant-symbiont associations, identifying signaling metabolites, and unraveling the establishment and functioning of symbioses. The integration of metabolomics with other omics approaches, such as transcriptomics, proteomics, and metagenomics, has provided a more comprehensive understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying plant-microbe interactions.

5.2. Significance of metabolomics in understanding plant-microbe interactions

Metabolomics has significantly advanced our understanding of the complex chemical dialogues that mediate plant-microbe interactions. By providing a comprehensive view of the metabolic changes that occur during these interactions, metabolomics has shed light on the diverse roles of primary and specialized metabolites in shaping the outcomes of these relationships. Metabolomics has enabled the identification of key metabolites involved in plant defense responses, symbiotic associations, and growth promotion, as well as the discovery of novel bioactive compounds with potential applications in agriculture and biotechnology. The integration of metabolomics with other omics approaches has further enhanced our ability to unravel the intricate networks of molecular interactions between plants and microbes, paving the way for the development of novel strategies for crop improvement and sustainable agriculture.

5.3. Outlook for future research directions

Despite the significant progress made in applying metabolomics to study plant-microbe interactions, several challenges and opportunities remain for future research. The standardization of metabolomics protocols and data reporting guidelines is crucial for improving the reproducibility and comparability of studies in this field. The development of advanced computational tools and databases will facilitate the analysis and interpretation of the growing volume of metabolomics data generated from plant-microbe interaction studies. Future research should also focus on exploring the diversity of specialized metabolites produced by plants and their associated microbes, and elucidating their ecological roles in mediating these interactions. Finally, translating metabolomics findings into practical agricultural applications, such as marker-assisted breeding, metabolic engineering, and precision agriculture, will be essential for harnessing the power of plant-microbe interactions for sustainable crop production.

In conclusion, metabolomics has revolutionized our understanding of the complex chemical dialogues that underlie plant-microbe interactions. By providing a comprehensive view of the metabolic changes that occur during these interactions, metabolomics has opened up new avenues for discovering novel metabolites, unraveling the molecular mechanisms of symbioses and pathogenesis, and developing strategies for crop improvement. As metabolomics technologies continue to advance and integrate with other omics approaches, we can anticipate new breakthroughs in our understanding of the fascinating world of plant-microbe interactions and their applications in agriculture and biotechnology.

References

- 1. Igarashi, D. *et al.* Pattern-Triggered Immunity Suppresses Programmed Cell Death Triggered by Fumonisin B1. *PLoS One* **8**, 1–2 (2013).
- 2. Bonfante, P. & Anca, I.-A. Plants, mycorrhizal fungi, and bacteria: a network of interactions. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **63**, 363–383 (2009).
- Philippot, L., Raaijmakers, J. M., Lemanceau, P. & Van Der Putten, W. H. Going back to the roots: the microbial ecology of the rhizosphere. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 11, 789–799 (2013).
- 4. Jacoby, R. P. & Kopriva, S. Metabolic niches in the rhizosphere microbiome: new tools and approaches to analyse metabolic mechanisms of plant–microbe nutrient exchange. *J. Exp. Bot.* **70**, 1087–1094 (2019).
- 5. Mhlongo, M. I., Piater, L. A., Madala, N. E., Labuschagne, N. & Dubery, I. A. The chemistry of plant-microbe interactions in the rhizosphere and the potential for metabolomics to reveal signaling related to defense priming and induced systemic resistance. *Front. Plant Sci.* **9**, 314703 (2018).
- 6. Kessler, A. & Kalske, A. Plant secondary metabolite diversity and species interactions. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **49**, 115–138 (2018).
- 7. van Dam, N. M. & Bouwmeester, H. J. Metabolomics in the rhizosphere: tapping into belowground chemical communication. *Trends Plant Sci.* **21**, 256–265 (2016).
- 8. Boiteau, R. M. *et al.* Structure elucidation of unknown metabolites in metabolomics by combined NMR and MS/MS prediction. *Metabolites* **8**, 8 (2018).
- 9. Mahieu, N. G. & Patti, G. J. Systems-level annotation of a metabolomics data set reduces 25 000 features to fewer than 1000 unique metabolites. *Anal. Chem.* **89**, 10397–10406 (2017).
- 10. Allwood, J. W., Ellis, D. I. & Goodacre, R. Metabolomic technologies and their application to the study of plants and plant-host interactions. *Physiologia Plantarum* vol. 132 117–135 (2008).
- 11. Sumner, L. W. *et al.* Spatially resolved plant metabolomics. *Annu. Plant Rev. Vol.* 43 *Biol. Plant Metabolomics* 43, 343–366 (2011).
- 12. De Vos, R. C. *et al.* Untargeted large-scale plant metabolomics using liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry. *Nat. Protoc.* **2**, 778–791 (2007).
- Tenenboim, H. & Brotman, Y. Omic relief for the biotically stressed: metabolomics of plant biotic interactions. *Trends Plant Sci.* 21, 781–791 (2016).
- 14. Chen, L., Schwier, M., Krumbach, J., Kopriva, S. & Jacoby, R. P. Metabolomics in plant-microbe interactions in the roots. *Adv. Bot. Res.* **98**, 133–161 (2021).
- 15. Lugtenberg, B. & Kamilova, F. Plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **63**, 541–556 (2009).
- 16. Trethewey, R. N. Metabolite profiling as an aid to metabolic engineering in plants. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **7**, 196–201 (2004).
- Schenk, P. M., Carvalhais, L. C. & Kazan, K. Unraveling plant-microbe interactions: can multi-species transcriptomics help? *Trends Biotechnol.* 30, 177– 184 (2012).
- Castro-Moretti, F. R., Gentzel, I. N., Mackey, D. & Alonso, A. P. Metabolomics as an emerging tool for the study of plant–pathogen interactions. *Metabolites* 10, 52 (2020).
- 19. Sade, D. et al. Comparative metabolomics and transcriptomics of plant response to

Tomato yellow leaf curl virus infection in resistant and susceptible tomato cultivars. *Metabolomics* **11**, 81–97 (2015).

- 20. Vacheron, J. *et al.* Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and root system functioning. *Front. Plant Sci.* **4**, 57135 (2013).
- Pozo, M. J., Van Der Ent, S., Van Loon, L. C. & Pieterse, C. M. J. Transcription factor MYC2 is involved in priming for enhanced defense during rhizobacteriainduced systemic resistance in Arabidopsis thaliana. *New Phytol.* 180, 511–523 (2008).
- Wolfender, J.-L., Rudaz, S., Hae Choi, Y. & Kyong Kim, H. Plant metabolomics: from holistic data to relevant biomarkers. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 20, 1056–1090 (2013).
- 23. Worley, B. & Powers, R. Multivariate analysis in metabolomics. *Curr. metabolomics* **1**, 92–107 (2013).
- 24. Villas-Bôas, S. G., Mas, S., Åkesson, M., Smedsgaard, J. & Nielsen, J. Mass spectrometry in metabolome analysis. *Mass Spectrom. Rev.* **24**, 613–646 (2005).
- 25. Xu, Y. & Fu, X. Reprogramming of Plant Central Metabolism in Response to Abiotic Stresses: A Metabolomics View. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 23, 5716 (2022).
- 26. Fiehn, O. Metabolomics by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry: Combined targeted and untargeted profiling. *Curr. Protoc. Mol. Biol.* **114**, 30–34 (2016).
- Lisec, J., Schauer, N., Kopka, J., Willmitzer, L. & Fernie, A. R. Gas chromatography mass spectrometry–based metabolite profiling in plants. *Nat. Protoc.* 1, 387–396 (2006).
- 28. Fu, X. & Xu, Y. Dynamic metabolic changes in arabidopsis seedlings under hypoxia stress and subsequent reoxygenation recovery. *Stresses* **3**, 86–101 (2023).
- Jud, W., Winkler, J. B., Niederbacher, B., Niederbacher, S. & Schnitzler, J.-P. Volatilomics: a non-invasive technique for screening plant phenotypic traits. *Plant Methods* 14, 1–18 (2018).
- 30. Sharifi, R., Lee, S. & Ryu, C. Microbe-induced plant volatiles. *New Phytol.* 220, 684–691 (2018).
- 31. Allwood, J. W. & Goodacre, R. An introduction to liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry instrumentation applied in plant metabolomic analyses. *Phytochem. Anal. An Int. J. Plant Chem. Biochem. Tech.* **21**, 33–47 (2010).
- 32. Xu, Y., Freund, D. M., Hegeman, A. D. & Cohen, J. D. Metabolic signatures of Arabidopsis thaliana abiotic stress responses elucidate patterns in stress priming, acclimation, and recovery. *Stress Biol.* (2022) doi:10.1007/s44154-022-00034-5.
- Mhlongo, M. I., Piater, L. A., Steenkamp, P. A., Labuschagne, N. & Dubery, I. A. Metabolic profiling of PGPR-treated tomato plants reveal priming-related adaptations of secondary metabolites and aromatic amino acids. *Metabolites* 10, 210 (2020).
- 34. Rivero, J., Gamir, J., Aroca, R., Pozo, M. J. & Flors, V. Metabolic transition in mycorrhizal tomato roots. *Front. Microbiol.* **6**, 598 (2015).
- 35. Stringlis, I. A. *et al.* MYB72-dependent coumarin exudation shapes root microbiome assembly to promote plant health. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **115**, E5213–E5222 (2018).
- 36. Ramautar, R., Somsen, G. W. & de Jong, G. J. CE-MS in metabolomics. *Electrophoresis* **30**, 276–291 (2009).

- 37. Monton, M. R. N. & Soga, T. Metabolome analysis by capillary electrophoresismass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. A **1168**, 237–246 (2007).
- 38. Guijas, C. *et al.* METLIN: a technology platform for identifying knowns and unknowns. *Anal. Chem.* **90**, 3156–3164 (2018).
- Gamir, J., Pastor, V., Kaever, A., Cerezo, M. & Flors, V. Targeting novel chemical and constitutive primed metabolites against P lectosphaerella cucumerina. *Plant J.* 78, 227–240 (2014).
- 40. Bringel, F. & Couée, I. Pivotal roles of phyllosphere microorganisms at the interface between plant functioning and atmospheric trace gas dynamics. *Front. Microbiol.* **6**, 144430 (2015).
- 41. Kim, H. K., Choi, Y. H. & Verpoorte, R. NMR-based plant metabolomics: where do we stand, where do we go? *Trends Biotechnol.* **29**, 267–275 (2011).
- 42. Krishnan, P., Kruger, N. J. & Ratcliffe, R. G. Metabolite fingerprinting and profiling in plants using NMR. *J. Exp. Bot.* **56**, 255–265 (2005).
- 43. Boughton, B. A. & Thinagaran, D. Mass spectrometry imaging (MSI) for plant metabolomics. *Plant Metabolomics Methods Protoc.* 241–252 (2018).
- Sturtevant, D., Lee, Y.-J. & Chapman, K. D. Matrix assisted laser desorption/ionization-mass spectrometry imaging (MALDI-MSI) for direct visualization of plant metabolites in situ. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 37, 53–60 (2016).
- 45. Veličković, D. *et al.* Observed metabolic asymmetry within soybean root nodules reflects unexpected complexity in rhizobacteria-legume metabolite exchange. *ISME J.* **12**, 2335–2338 (2018).
- 46. Pétriacq, P. *et al.* Metabolite profiling of non-sterile rhizosphere soil. *Plant J.* **92**, 147–162 (2017).
- 47. Fukushima, A. & Kusano, M. Recent progress in the development of metabolome databases for plant systems biology. *Front. Plant Sci.* **4**, 42957 (2013).
- 48. Xu, Y. Metabolomics study on Arabidopsis thaliana abiotic stress responses for priming, recovery, and stress combinations. (2018).
- 49. Smith, C. A., Want, E. J., O'Maille, G., Abagyan, R. & Siuzdak, G. XCMS: processing mass spectrometry data for metabolite profiling using nonlinear peak alignment, matching, and identification. *Anal. Chem.* **78**, 779–787 (2006).
- 50. Pluskal, T., Castillo, S., Villar-Briones, A. & Orešič, M. MZmine 2: modular framework for processing, visualizing, and analyzing mass spectrometry-based molecular profile data. *BMC Bioinformatics* **11**, 1–11 (2010).
- 51. Chong, J. *et al.* MetaboAnalyst 4.0: towards more transparent and integrative metabolomics analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, W486–W494 (2018).
- 52. Smith, C. A. *et al.* METLIN: A metabolite mass spectral database. in *Therapeutic Drug Monitoring* vol. 27 747–751 (2005).
- 53. Horai, H. *et al.* MassBank: A public repository for sharing mass spectral data for life sciences. *J. Mass Spectrom.* **45**, 703–714 (2010).
- 54. Wishart, D. S. *et al.* HMDB 4.0: the human metabolome database for 2018. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, D608–D617 (2018).
- 55. Hall, R. D. Plant metabolomics in a nutshell: potential and future challenges. *Annu. Plant Rev. Vol. 43 Biol. Plant Metabolomics* **43**, 1–24 (2011).
- 56. Tugizimana, F., Piater, L. & Dubery, I. Plant metabolomics: A new frontier in

phytochemical analysis. S. Afr. J. Sci. 109, 1–11 (2013).

- 57. Murmu, S. *et al.* A review of artificial intelligence-assisted omics techniques in plant defense: current trends and future directions. *Front. Plant Sci.* **15**, 1292054 (2024).
- 58. Yang, S. & Berdine, G. Artificial intelligence in biomedical research. *Southwest Respir. Crit. Care Chronicles* 11, 62–65 (2023).
- 59. Misra, B. B. & Mohapatra, S. Tools and resources for metabolomics research community: A 2017–2018 update. *Electrophoresis* **40**, 227–246 (2019).
- 60. Salinas, J. L., Kissinger, J. C., Jones, D. P. & Galinski, M. R. Metabolomics in the fight against malaria. *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz* **109**, 589–597 (2014).
- 61. Gromski, P. S. *et al.* A tutorial review: Metabolomics and partial least squaresdiscriminant analysis–a marriage of convenience or a shotgun wedding. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **879**, 10–23 (2015).
- 62. Mishra, P., Singh, U., Pandey, C. M., Mishra, P. & Pandey, G. Application of student's t-test, analysis of variance, and covariance. *Ann. Card. Anaesth.* **22**, 407–411 (2019).
- 63. Abdel-Farid, I. B. *et al.* Fungal infection-induced metabolites in Brassica rapa. *Plant Sci.* **176**, 608–615 (2009).
- 64. Jolliffe, I. T. & Cadima, J. Principal component analysis: a review and recent developments. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* **374**, 20150202 (2016).
- 65. Want, E. J. *et al.* Global metabolic profiling procedures for urine using UPLC–MS. *Nat. Protoc.* **5**, 1005–1018 (2010).
- 66. LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y. & Hinton, G. Deep learning. *Nature* **521**, 436–444 (2015).
- 67. Ching, T. *et al.* Opportunities and obstacles for deep learning in biology and medicine. *J. R. Soc. interface* **15**, 20170387 (2018).
- Liebal, U. W., Phan, A. N. T., Sudhakar, M., Raman, K. & Blank, L. M. Machine learning applications for mass spectrometry-based metabolomics. *Metabolites* 10, 243 (2020).
- 69. Uppal, K., Walker, D. I. & Jones, D. P. xMSannotator: an R package for networkbased annotation of high-resolution metabolomics data. *Anal. Chem.* **89**, 1063– 1067 (2017).
- 70. Pomyen, Y. *et al.* Deep metabolome: Applications of deep learning in metabolomics. *Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J.* **18**, 2818–2825 (2020).
- 71. Zhang, A., Sun, H., Yan, G., Wang, P. & Wang, X. Metabolomics for biomarker discovery: moving to the clinic. *Biomed Res. Int.* **2015**, (2015).
- 72. Spicer, R. A., Salek, R. & Steinbeck, C. Compliance with minimum information guidelines in public metabolomics repositories. *Sci. data* **4**, 1–8 (2017).
- 73. Samek, W., Montavon, G., Vedaldi, A., Hansen, L. K. & Müller, K.-R. *Explainable AI: interpreting, explaining and visualizing deep learning.* vol. 11700 (Springer Nature, 2019).
- 74. Yang, F., Du, M. & Hu, X. Evaluating explanation without ground truth in interpretable machine learning. *arXiv Prepr. arXiv1907.06831* (2019).
- 75. Jastrebski, S. F., Lamont, S. J. & Schmidt, C. J. Chicken hepatic response to chronic heat stress using integrated transcriptome and metabolome analysis. *PLoS One* **12**, e0181900 (2017).

- 76. Weckwerth, W. Metabolomics in systems biology. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **54**, 669–689 (2003).
- 77. Tang, J. Microbial metabolomics. Curr. Genomics 12, 391–403 (2011).
- 78. Guijas, C., Montenegro-Burke, J. R., Warth, B., Spilker, M. E. & Siuzdak, G. Metabolomics activity screening for identifying metabolites that modulate phenotype. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **36**, 316–320 (2018).
- 79. Camañes, G., Scalschi, L., Vicedo, B., González-Bosch, C. & García-Agustín, P. An untargeted global metabolomic analysis reveals the biochemical changes underlying basal resistance and priming in Solanum lycopersicum, and identifies 1-methyltryptophan as a metabolite involved in plant responses to Botrytis cinerea and Pseudomonas sy. *Plant J.* 84, 125–139 (2015).
- Fan, K.-T., Xu, Y. & Hegeman, A. D. Elevated Temperature Effects on Protein Turnover Dynamics in Arabidopsis thaliana Seedlings Revealed by 15N-Stable Isotope Labeling and ProteinTurnover Algorithm. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 25, 5882 (2024).
- 81. Mathesius, U. *et al.* Extensive and specific responses of a eukaryote to bacterial quorum-sensing signals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **100**, 1444–1449 (2003).
- Feussner, I. & Polle, A. What the transcriptome does not tell—proteomics and metabolomics are closer to the plants' patho-phenotype. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 26, 26–31 (2015).
- Balmer, D., de Papajewski, D. V., Planchamp, C., Glauser, G. & Mauch-Mani, B. Induced resistance in maize is based on organ-specific defence responses. *Plant J.* 74, 213–225 (2013).
- 84. Berg, G., Grube, M., Schloter, M. & Smalla, K. Unraveling the plant microbiome: looking back and future perspectives. *Front. Microbiol.* **5**, 71409 (2014).
- 85. Sasse, J., Martinoia, E. & Northen, T. Feed your friends: do plant exudates shape the root microbiome? *Trends Plant Sci.* **23**, 25–41 (2018).
- 86. Gao, W. *et al.* Combining metabolomics and transcriptomics to characterize tanshinone biosynthesis in Salvia miltiorrhiza. *BMC Genomics* **15**, 1–14 (2014).
- 87. Ratcliffe, R. G. & Shachar-Hill, Y. Measuring multiple fluxes through plant metabolic networks. *Plant J.* (2006) doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02649.x.
- 88. Xu, Y., Fu, X., Sharkey, T. D., Shachar-Hill, Y. & Walker, B. J. The metabolic origins of non-photorespiratory CO2 release during photosynthesis: A metabolic flux analysis. *Plant Physiol.* **186**, 297–314 (2021).
- Schwender, J., Goffman, F., Ohlrogge, J. B. & Shachar-Hill, Y. Rubisco without the Calvin cycle improves the carbon efficiency of developing green seeds. *Nature* 432, 779–782 (2004).
- 90. Xu, Y. Metabolic Flux Redistribution in Engineered Microorganisms for Biofuel Production. *Innov. Life Sci. J.* **7**, 1–12 (2021).
- 91. Salon, C., Raymond, P. & Pradet, A. Quantification of carbon fluxes through the tricarboxylic acid cycle in early germinating lettuce embryos. *J. Biol. Chem.* **263**, 12278–12287 (1988).
- Xu, Y., Wieloch, T., Kaste, J. A. M., Shachar-Hill, Y. & Sharkey, T. D. Reimport of carbon from cytosolic and vacuolar sugar pools into the Calvin–Benson cycle explains photosynthesis labeling anomalies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 119, e2121531119 (2022).
- 93. Dieuaide-Noubhani, M., Raffard, G., Canioni, P., Pradet, A. & Raymond, P.

Quantification of Compartmented Metabolic Fluxes in Maize Root Tips Using Isotope Distribution from 13C-or 14C-Labeled Glucose*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **270**, 13147–13159 (1995).

- 94. Xu, Y. *et al.* Daylength variation affects growth, photosynthesis, leaf metabolism, partitioning, and metabolic fluxes. *Plant Physiol.* **194**, 475–490 (2024).
- 95. Kruger, N. J., Masakapalli, S. K. & Ratcliffe, R. G. Strategies for investigating the plant metabolic network with steady-state metabolic flux analysis: lessons from an Arabidopsis cell culture and other systems. *J. Exp. Bot.* **63**, 2309–2323 (2012).
- 96. Schwender, J., Ohlrogge, J. B. & Shachar-Hill, Y. A flux model of glycolysis and the oxidative pentosephosphate pathway in developing Brassica napus embryos. *J. Biol. Chem.* (2003) doi:10.1074/jbc.M303432200.
- 97. Bago, B. *et al.* Carbon export from arbuscular mycorrhizal roots involves the translocation of carbohydrate as well as lipid. *Plant Physiol.* **131**, 1496–1507 (2003).
- 98. Rich, M. K. *et al.* The petunia GRAS transcription factor ATA/RAM1 regulates symbiotic gene expression and fungal morphogenesis in arbuscular mycorrhiza. *Plant Physiol.* **168**, 788–797 (2015).
- 99. Yuan, H., Cheung, C. Y. M., Hilbers, P. A. J. & Van Riel, N. A. W. Flux balance analysis of plant metabolism: the effect of biomass composition and model structure on model predictions. *Front. Plant Sci.* **7**, 537 (2016).
- 100. Wilson, N. J. *et al.* Introduction of a condensed, reverse tricarboxylic acid cycle for additional CO2 fixation in plants. *bioRxiv* 2003–2022 (2022).
- 101. Libourel, I. G. L. & Shachar-Hill, Y. Metabolic Flux Analysis in Plants: From Intelligent Design to Rational Engineering. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* (2008) doi:10.1146/annurev.arplant.58.032806.103822.
- 102. Li, T. *et al.* Re-Programing Glucose Catabolism in the Microalga Chlorella sorokiniana under Light Condition. *Biomolecules* **12**, 939 (2022).
- Liu, Y. *et al.* Enhanced rhizosphere colonization of beneficial Bacillus amyloliquefaciens SQR9 by pathogen infection. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 353, 49– 56 (2014).
- 104. Schläpfer, P. *et al.* Genome-wide prediction of metabolic enzymes, pathways, and gene clusters in plants. *Plant Physiol.* **173**, 2041–2059 (2017).
- Wolfender, J.-L., Marti, G., Thomas, A. & Bertrand, S. Current approaches and challenges for the metabolite profiling of complex natural extracts. *J. Chromatogr.* A 1382, 136–164 (2015).
- 106. Misra, B. B. & van der Hooft, J. J. J. Updates in metabolomics tools and resources: 2014–2015. *Electrophoresis* **37**, 86–110 (2016).
- 107. Fiehn, O. *et al.* The metabolomics standards initiative (MSI). *Metabolomics* **3**, 175–178 (2007).
- 108. Goodacre, R. Water, water, every where, but rarely any drop to drink. *Metabolomics* **10**, 5–7 (2014).
- 109. Bowen, B. P. & Northen, T. R. Dealing with the unknown: metabolomics and metabolite atlases. J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. **21**, 1471–1476 (2010).
- 110. Kind, T. *et al.* FiehnLib: mass spectral and retention index libraries for metabolomics based on quadrupole and time-of-flight gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* **81**, 10038–10048 (2009).

- 111. Okada, T. *et al.* Metabolomics of medicinal plants: the importance of multivariate analysis of analytical chemistry data. *Curr. Comput. Aided. Drug Des.* **6**, 179–196 (2010).
- 112. Perez-Riverol, Y. *et al.* Ten simple rules for taking advantage of Git and GitHub. *PLoS computational biology* vol. 12 e1004947 (2016).
- Mendez, K. M., Broadhurst, D. I. & Reinke, S. N. The application of artificial neural networks in metabolomics: a historical perspective. *Metabolomics* 15, 142 (2019).
- Rinaudo, P., Boudah, S., Junot, C. & Thévenot, E. A. biosigner: A New Method for the Discovery of Significant Molecular Signatures from Omics Data. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 3, 1–14 (2016).
- 115. Toubiana, D. *et al.* Combined network analysis and machine learning allows the prediction of metabolic pathways from tomato metabolomics data. *Commun. Biol.* 2, 214 (2019).
- 116. Sauer, U., Heinemann, M. & Zamboni, N. Getting closer to the whole picture. *Science (80-.).* **316**, 550–551 (2007).
- 117. Fukushima, A., Kusano, M., Redestig, H., Arita, M. & Saito, K. Integrated omics approaches in plant systems biology. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **13**, 532–538 (2009).
- 118. Coppola, M. *et al.* Transcriptome and metabolome reprogramming in tomato plants by Trichoderma harzianum strain T22 primes and enhances defense responses against aphids. *Front. Physiol.* **10**, 745 (2019).
- 119. Savoi, S. *et al.* Multi-omics and integrated network analyses reveal new insights into the systems relationships between metabolites, structural genes, and transcriptional regulators in developing grape berries (Vitis vinifera L.) exposed to water deficit. *Front. Plant Sci.* **8**, 1124 (2017).
- 120. Wanichthanarak, K., Fahrmann, J. F. & Grapov, D. Genomic, proteomic, and metabolomic data integration strategies. *Biomark. Insights* **10**, BMI-S29511 (2015).