



Fuzzy Non-monotonic Logic Using Two Membership Functions Known and Unknown

Venkata Subba Reddy Poli

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid dissemination of research results and are integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

July 4, 2024

Fuzzy Non-monotonic Logic Using Two Membership Functions Known and Unknown

Poli Venkata Subba Reddy

Abstract—John McCarthy proposed non-monotonic reasoning for incomplete information in which reasoning is changed if knowledge is added to the system. Non-monotonic reasoning. Nonmonotonic Problems are undecided. An undecided problem has no solution. A method needed to solve undecided AI problems. In this paper, fuzzy modeling for non-monotonic logic is studied as method for non-monotonic reasoning. The Fuzzy non-monotonic reasoning is studied with a twofold fuzzy logic. Fuzzy truth maintenance system (FTMS) is studied for fuzzy non-monotonic reasoning. Fuzzy logic programming is given for non-monotonic reasoning some examples are discussed for fuzzy non-monotonic reasoning.

Keywords— fuzzy Sets, twofold fuzzy sets, non-monotonic reasoning, fuzzy non-monotonic reasoning, incomplete knowledge, FTMS, fuzzy logic programming

I. INTRODUCTION

AI has to deal with incomplete knowledge. If knowledge base is incomplete then the inference is also incomplete. If knowledge is added than the inference is changes in non-monotonic reasoning. Though the non-moronic rezoning is used for some incomplete AI problems, still the reasoning is in complete. Some knowledge is not sufficient for reasoning. If added some knowledge, it sufficient for reasoning in non-monotonic [4].

In non-monotonic reasoning, if additional information is added, the reasoning will be changed or jumping conclusion [4].

X is bird \wedge x has wings \wedge x is known to fly \square x can fly

Suppose

x is bird \wedge x has wings \wedge x is unknown to fly \square x can fly

or

x is bird \wedge x has wings \wedge x is unknown to fly \square x can't fly

Ozzie is bird \wedge Ozzie has wings \wedge Ozzie x is known to fly
 \square Ozzie can fly

Ozzie is bird \wedge Ozzie has wings \wedge Ozzie x is unknown to fly
 \square Ozzie can't fly

Ozzie is bird \wedge Ozzie has wings \wedge Ozzie is unknown to fly
 \square Ozzie can fly

$$\forall x P(x) \wedge \forall x Q(x) \wedge \forall x R(x) \square \forall x S(x)$$

In monotonic

$$\forall x \text{bird}(x) \wedge \forall x \text{Wings}(x) \wedge \forall x \text{known-to-fly}(x) \square \forall x \text{fly}(x)$$

In non-monotonic

$$\forall x \text{bird}(x) \wedge \forall x \text{Wings}(x) \wedge \forall x \text{unknown-to-fly}(x) \square \forall x \text{can-fly}(x) \text{ or } \forall x \text{can't-fly}(x) \text{ or}$$

The conclusion will be changed if added some knowledge in non-monotonic logic.

These problems fall under undecided. The undecided problems have no solution. But still the undecided problem has solution with fuzzy logic.

Consider the rule

x is A and x is B then x is D.

If some knowledge is added, to rule the conclusion will be changed.

“x is A and x is B and x is C then x is E.

There are many theories to deal with incomplete information like Probability, Dempster- Shaffer theory, Possibility, Plausibility etc. Zadeh [11] fuzzy logic is based on belief rather than probable (likelihood). The fuzzy logic made imprecise information in to precise.

Zadeh fuzzy logic is defined with single membership function.

Fuzzy logic with two membership functions will give more information

Two fold fuzzy logic $P=(A, B)$ for the proposition of the type “x is P”. A is supporting the knowledge and B is against the knowledge.

P may be considered as

$P=\{\text{belief, disbelief}\}, \{\text{True, false}\}, \{\text{Known, unknown}\}, \{\text{belief, disbelief}\}$ etc.

x is bird \wedge x has \square x can fly

x is bird \wedge x has wings \square x can fly

$$\mu_P(x) \wedge \mu_Q(x) \wedge \mu_R(x) \square \mu_S(x)$$

where P,Q and S are twofold fuzzy set known, known}.

The conflict of the incomplete information may be defend by fuzzy certainty factor(FCF)

$$\text{FCF } P = (A-B)$$

$$\text{FCF } P = (\text{unknown- known})$$

Where known and unknown are the fuzzy membership functions.

The fuzzy non-monotonic reasoning will bring uncertain knowledge in to certain knowledge.

$$\mu_P(x)_{(\text{unknown, known})} \wedge \mu_Q(x)_{(\text{unknown-,known})} \square$$

$$\mu_S(x)$$

where S is quasi fuzzy set i.e. $S=[0,1]$.

$$\mu_{\text{bird}}(x)_{(\text{unknown, known})} \wedge \mu_{\text{wings}}(x)_{(\text{unknown-known})} \square$$

$$\mu_{\text{fly}}(x)$$

II. FUZZY LOGIC

The possibility set may be defined for the proposition of the type “x is P” as

$$\pi_P(x) \in [0,1]$$

$$\pi_P(x) = \max \{ \mu_P(x_i) \}, x \in X$$

$$\mu_P(x) = \mu_P(x_1)/x_1 + \mu_P(x_2)/x_2 + \dots + \mu_P(x_n)/x_n$$

$$\mu_{bird}(x) = \mu_{bird}(x_1)/x_1 + \mu_{bird}(x_2)/x_2 + \dots + \mu_{bird}(x_n)/x_n$$

$$\mu_{bird}(x) = 0.0/\text{penguin} + 0.2/\text{Ozzie} + \dots + 0.6/\text{parrot} + 0.7/\text{waterfowl} + 0.9/\text{eagle}$$

Let P and Q be the fuzzy sets, and the operations on fuzzy sets are given below [10]

$$P \vee Q = \max(\mu_P(x), \mu_Q(x)) \quad \text{Disjunction}$$

$$P \wedge Q = \min(\mu_P(x), \mu_Q(x)) \quad \text{Conjunction}$$

$$P' = 1 - \mu_P(x) \quad \text{Negation}$$

$$P \times Q = \min \{ \mu_P(x), \mu_Q(x) \} \quad \text{Relation}$$

$$P \circ Q = \min \{ \mu_P(x), \mu_Q(x, x) \} \quad \text{Composition}$$

The fuzzy propositions may contain quantifiers like “very”, “more or less”. These fuzzy quantifiers may be eliminated as

$$\mu_{\text{very}}(x) = \mu_P(x)^2 \quad \text{Concentration}$$

$$\mu_{\text{more or less}}(x) = \mu_P(x)^{0.5} \quad \text{Diffusion}$$

The fuzzy rules are of the form “if <Precedent Part> then <Consequent Part>”

The Zadeh [10] fuzzy condition inference s given by

$$\text{if } x \text{ is } P_1 \text{ and } P_2 \dots X \text{ is } P_n \text{ then } Q = \min(1, (1 - \min(\mu_{P_1}(x), \mu_{P_2}(x), \dots, \mu_{P_n}(x)) + \mu_Q(x))) \quad (2.1)$$

The Mamdani [5] fuzzy condition inference s given by

$$\text{if } x \text{ is } P_1 \text{ and } P_2 \dots X \text{ is } P_n \text{ then } Q = \min \{ \mu_{P_1}(x), \mu_{P_2}(x), \dots, \mu_{P_n}(x), \mu_Q(x) \} \quad (2.2)$$

The fuzzy condition inference “Consequent Part” may be drawn from “Precedent Part” Reddy[7]

$$\text{if } x \text{ is } P_1 \text{ and } P_2 \dots x \text{ is } P_n \text{ then } Q = x \text{ is } P_1 \text{ and } P_2 \dots x \text{ is } P_n \text{ using Mamdani fuzzy conditional inference}$$

$$\text{if } x \text{ is } P_1 \text{ and } P_2 \dots x \text{ is } P_n \text{ then } x \text{ is } P_1 \text{ and } P_2 \dots x \text{ is } P_n = \min(\mu_{P_1}(x), \mu_{P_2}(x), \dots, \mu_{P_n}(x)) \quad (2.3)$$

For instance, x is bird \wedge x has wings \square x can fly
x can fly = x is bird \wedge x has wings

Quasi-fuzzy set

A quasi-fuzzy set is defined for the proposition “x is P” as

$$\mu_P(x) \in (0, 1)$$

$$\mu_{\text{fly}}(x)^{(\text{can}, \text{can't})} \in (0, 1)$$

III. THE TWO FOLD FUZZY LOGIC

Zadeh Proposed fuzzy set with single membership function. The two fold fuzzy set will give more evidence than single membership function.

The fuzzy non-monotonic set may defined with two fold membership function using unknown and known

Definition: Given some Universe of discourse X, the proposition “x is P” is defined as its two fold fuzzy membership function as

$$\mu_P(x) = \{ \mu_P^{\text{unknown}}(x), \mu_P^{\text{known}}(x) \}$$

or

$$P = \{ \mu_P^{\text{unknown}}(x), \mu_P^{\text{known}}(x) \}$$

Where P is Generalized fuzzy set and $x \in X$,

$$0 \leq \mu_P^{\text{unknown}}(x) \leq 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq \mu_P^{\text{known}}(x) \leq 1$$

$$P = \{ \mu_P^{\text{unknown}}(x_1)/x_1 + \dots + \mu_P^{\text{unknown}}(x_n)/x_n, \mu_P^{\text{known}}(x_1)/x_1 + \dots + \mu_P^{\text{known}}(x_n)/x_n, x_i \in X, \text{“+” is union} \}$$

For example ‘x will fly’, fly may be given as

Suppose P and Q is fuzzy non-monotonic sets. The operations on fuzzy sets are given below for two fold fuzzy sets.

Negation

$$P' = \{ 1 - \mu_P^{\text{unknown}}(x), 1 - \mu_P^{\text{known}}(x) \} / x$$

Disjunction

$$P \vee Q = \{ \max(\mu_P^{\text{known}}(x), \mu_P^{\text{known}}(y)), \max(\mu_Q^{\text{unknown}}(x), \mu_Q^{\text{unknown}}(y)) \} / (x, y)$$

Conjunction

$$P \wedge Q = \{ \min(\mu_P^{\text{known}}(x), \mu_P^{\text{known}}(y)), \min(\mu_Q^{\text{unknown}}(x), \mu_Q^{\text{unknown}}(y)) \} / (x, y)$$

Implication

Zadeh [10] fuzzy conditional inference

$$P \square Q = \{ \min(1, 1 - \mu_P^{\text{known}}(x) + \mu_Q^{\text{known}}(y)), \min(1, 1 - \mu_P^{\text{known}}(x) + \mu_Q^{\text{known}}(y)) \} / (x, y)$$

Mamdani [5] fuzzy conditional inference

$$P \square Q = \{ \min(\mu_P^{\text{unknown}}(x), \mu_Q^{\text{unknown}}(y)), \min(\mu_P^{\text{known}}(x), \mu_Q^{\text{known}}(y)) \} / (x, y)$$

Reddy [7] fuzzy conditional inference

$$P \square Q = \{ \min(\mu_P^{\text{unknown}}(x), \mu_P^{\text{known}}(y)) \} / (x, x)$$

Composition

$$P \circ R = \{ \min_x(\mu_P^{\text{unknown}}(x), \mu_P^{\text{unknown}}(x)), \min_x(\mu_R^{\text{known}}(x), \mu_R^{\text{known}}(x)) \} / y$$

The fuzzy propositions may contain quantifiers like “very”, “more or less”. These fuzzy quantifiers may be eliminated as

Concentration

“x is very P

$$\mu_{\text{very } P}(x) = \{ \mu_P^{\text{unknown}}(x)^2, \mu_P^{\text{known}}(x) \mu_P(x)^2 \}$$

Diffusion

“x is more or less P”

$$\mu_{\text{more or less } P}(x) = (\mu_P^{\text{unknown}}(x))^{1/2}, \mu_P^{\text{known}}(x) \mu_P(x)^{0.5}$$

For instance, consider logical operations on P and Q

$$P = \{ 0.8/x_1 + 0.9/x_2 + 0.7/x_3 + 0.6/x_4 + 0.5/x_5, \\ 0.4/x_1 + 0.3/x_2 + 0.4/x_3 + 0.7/x_4 + 0.6/x_5 \}$$

$$Q = \{ 0.9/x_1 + 0.7/x_2 + 0.8/x_3 + 0.5/x_4 + 0.6/x_5, \\ 0.4/x_1 + 0.5/x_2 + 0.6/x_3 + 0.5/x_4 + 0.7/x_5 \}$$

$$P \vee Q = \{ 0.9/x_1 + 0.9/x_2 + 0.8/x_3 + 0.6/x_4 + 0.6/x_5, \\ 0.4/x_1 + 0.5/x_2 + 0.6/x_3 + 0.7/x_4 + 0.7/x_5 \}$$

$$P \wedge Q = \{ 0.8/x_1 + 0.7/x_2 + 0.7/x_3 + 0.5/x_4 + 0.5/x_5, \\ 0.4/x_1 + 0.3/x_2 + 0.4/x_3 + 0.5/x_4 + 0.6/x_5 \}$$

$$P' = \text{not } P = \{ 0.2/x_1 + 0.1/x_2 + 0.3/x_3 + 0.4/x_4 + 0.5/x_5, \\ 0.6/x_1 + 0.7/x_2 + 0.6/x_3 + 0.3/x_4 + 0.4/x_5 \}$$

$$P \square Q = \{ 1/x_1 + 0.8/x_2 + 1/x_3 + 0.9/x_4 + 1/x_5, \\ 1/x_1 + 1/x_2 + 1/x_3 + 0.8/x_4 + 1/x_5 \}$$

$$P \circ Q = \{ 0.8/x_1 + 0.7/x_2 + 0.7/x_3 + 0.5/x_4 + 0.5/x_5, \\ 0.4/x_1 + 0.3/x_2 + 0.4/x_3 + 0.5/x_4 + 0.6/x_5 \}$$

$$\mu_{\text{very } P}(x) = \{ \mu_P^{\text{unknown}}(x)^2, \mu_P^{\text{known}}(x)\mu_P(x)^2 \} \\ = \{ 0.64/x_1 + 0.81/x_2 + 0.49/x_3 + 0.36/x_4 + 0.25/x_5, \\ 0.16/x_1 + 0.09/x_2 + 0.16/x_3 + 0.49/x_4 + 0.36/x_5 \}$$

$$\mu_{\text{more or less } P}(x) = (\mu_{\text{Unknown}}(x)^{1/2}, \mu_{\text{Known}}(x)\mu_P(x)^{1/2}) \\ = \{ 0.89/x_1 + 0.95/x_2 + 0.84/x_3 + 0.77/x_4 + 0.70/x_5, \\ 0.63/x_1 + 0.55/x_2 + 0.63/x_3 + 0.81/x_4 + 0.77/x_5 \}$$

The fuzzy certainty factor (FCF) is defined by fuzziness instead of probability for the fuzzy preposition of the type “ x is A”

$$CF[x, A] = MB[x, A] - MD[x, A],$$

The FCF is the difference between “unknown” and “known” and will eliminate conflict between “unknown” and “known” and, made as single membership function

$$\mu_A^{\text{FCF}}(x) = \mu_A^{\text{unknown}}(x) - \mu_A^{\text{known}}(x)$$

Quasi-fuzzy set

A quasi-fuzzy set is defined for the proposition “ x is P” as

$$\mu_P(x) \square (0, 1) \\ \mu_A^{\text{unknown}}(x) = 1 \\ \mu_A^{\text{FCF}}(x) = 1 - \mu_A^{\text{known}}(x)$$

where $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and α -cut is decision factor.

$$\mu_{\text{fly}}^{\text{FCF}}(x) = \{ 1 - \mu_{\text{fly}}^{\text{known}}(x) \} \\ = \{ 1.0/\text{penguin} + 1.0/\text{Ozzie} + 1.0/\text{parrot} + 1.0/\text{waterfowl} + \\ 1.0/\text{eagle} - 0.9/\text{penguin} + 0.7/\text{Ozzie} + . 0.3/\text{parrot} + \\ 0.15/\text{waterfowl} + 0.1/\text{eagle} \} \\ = \{ 0.0/\text{penguin} + 0.1/\text{Ozzie} + . 0.7/\text{parrot} + 0.8/\text{waterfowl} + \\ 0.9/\text{eagle} \}$$

For instance “ x can fly” for $\alpha \geq 0.5$

Is given as

$$\{ 0.0/\text{penguin} + 0.0/\text{Ozzie} + 1/\text{parrot} + 0.65/\text{waterfowl} + \\ 1/\text{eagle} \}$$

The inference is given by

Penguin and Ozzie can't fly

Parrot, waterfowl and eagle can fly

IV. FUZZY NON-MONOTONIC LOGIC

Since formation of the fuzzy non-monotonic logic is simply two fold fuzzy logic, the non-monotonic proposition may be represented with two fold fuzzy set

$$\mu_P(x) = \{ \mu_P^{\text{unknown}}(x), \mu_P^{\text{known}}(x) \} \\ \text{where } \mu_P^{\text{unknown}}(x) = 1$$

For instance,

$$\mu_{\text{bird}}(x) = \{ \mu_{\text{bird}}^{\text{unknown}}(x), \mu_{\text{bird}}^{\text{known}}(x) \}$$

$$\mu_{\text{bird}}^{\text{FCF}}(x) = \{ 1 - \mu_{\text{bird}}^{\text{known}}(x) \} \\ = \{ 1.0/\text{penguin} + 1.0/\text{Ozzie} + 1.0/\text{parrot} + 1.0/\text{waterfowl} + \\ 1.0/\text{eagle} - 0.9/\text{penguin} + 0.7/\text{Ozzie} + . 0.3/\text{parrot} + \\ 0.15/\text{waterfowl} + 0.1/\text{eagle} \}$$

$$= \{ 0.0/\text{penguin} + 0.1/\text{Ozzie} + . 0.7/\text{parrot} + 0.8/\text{waterfowl} + \\ 0.9/\text{eagle} \}$$

$$\mu_{\text{bird}}(x) = \{ \mu_{\text{bird}}^{\text{unknown}}(x), \mu_{\text{bird}}^{\text{known}}(x) \} \\ \mu_{\text{bird}}^{\text{FCF}}(x) = \{ 1 - \mu_{\text{bird}}^{\text{known}}(x) \}$$

$$\mu_{\text{bird}}^{\text{FCF}}(x) = \{ 1.0/\text{penguin} + 1.0/\text{Ozzie} + . 0.8/\text{parrot} + \\ 0.85/\text{waterfowl} + 0.9/\text{eagle} - 1.0/\text{penguin} + 0.1/\text{Ozzie} + . \\ 0.1/\text{parrot} + 0.5/\text{waterfowl} + 0.0/\text{eagle} \} \\ = \{ 0.0/\text{penguin} + 0.1/\text{Ozzie} + . 0.7/\text{parrot} + 0.8/\text{waterfowl} + \\ 0.9/\text{eagle} \}$$

$$\mu_{\text{wings}}(x) = \{ \mu_{\text{wings}}^{\text{unknown}}(x), \mu_{\text{wings}}^{\text{known}}(x) \} \\ \mu_{\text{wings}}(x) = \{ 1 - \mu_{\text{wings}}^{\text{known}}(x) \}$$

$$\mu_{\text{wings}}(x) = \{ 1.0/\text{penguin} + 1.0/\text{Ozzie} + 1.0/\text{parrot} + \\ 1.0/\text{waterfowl} + 1.0/\text{eagle} - 1.0/\text{penguin} + 0.9/\text{Ozzie} + . \\ 0.2/\text{parrot} + 0.1/\text{waterfowl} + 1.0/\text{eagle} \}$$

where $\mu_{\text{wings}}^{\text{unknown}}(x)$ is quasi fuzzy set.

The FCF of wings is given by

$$\mu_{\text{wings}}^{\text{FCF}}(x) = \{ 0.0/\text{penguin} + 0.1/\text{Ozzie} + 0.8/\text{parrot} + \\ 0.9/\text{waterfowl} + 1.0/\text{eagle} \}$$

x can fly may be given as using Reddy fuzzy conditional inference "consequent part “may be derived from “precedent part”.

Using (2.3), the fuzzy conditional inference is given by

$$\mu_P(x) \wedge \mu_Q(x) \square \mu_S(x) \\ \mu_S(x) = \mu_P(x) \wedge \mu_Q(x)$$

$x \text{ is bird} \wedge x \text{ has wings} \quad \square \quad x \text{ can fly}$
 $x \text{ can fly} = \min \{ x \text{ is bird}, x \text{ has wings} \}$

$$\mu_{\text{fly}}^{\text{FCF}}(x) = 0.0/\text{penguin} + 0.0/\text{Ozzie} + 0.7/\text{parrot} + 0.8/\text{waterfowl} + 0.9/\text{eagle}$$

The inference of “x can fly” for $\alpha \geq 0.5$ is given by
 $= 1/\text{parrot} + 1/\text{waterfowl} + 1/\text{eagle}$

The inference of “x can fly” for $\alpha < 0.5$ is given by
 $= 0/\text{penguin} + 0/\text{Ozzie}$

The parrot, waterfowl and eagle can fly.

The penguin and Ozzie can't fly

Here fuzzy logic made imprecise information to precise information's. Some birds can fly and some birds can't fly.

The fuzzy decision sets or quasi fuzzy set is defined by

$$R = \mu_A^R(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \mu_A^{\text{FCF}}(x) \leq \alpha \\ 0 & \mu_A^{\text{FCF}}(x) > \alpha \end{cases}$$

Where R is quasi fuzzy set

For instance, The parrot, waterfowl and eagle can fly and, penguin and Ozzie are can't fly.

V. FUZZY TRUTH MAINTENANCE SYSTEM

Doyal [3] studied truth maintenance system [TMS] for non-monotonic reasoning

The fuzzy truth maintenance system (FTMS) for fuzzy non-monotonic reasoning using fuzzy conditional inference as

if x is $x \text{ is } P_1 \text{ and } P_2 \dots \text{ and } x \text{ is } P_n$ then Q

$$= \min(\mu_{P_1}(x), \mu_{P_2}(x), \dots, \mu_{P_n}(x))$$

FTMS is having There is list of justification and conditions.

List L(IN-node, OUT-node)

IN node is unknown fuzzy information.

OUT node is known fuzzy information

Condition (consequent)

if x is bird and x has wings then x can fly

L1 bird(unknown, known)

L2 wings(unknown, known)

Condition fly

The FTMS gives usinf FCF

L1 bird(1, 0.6)

L2 wings(1, 0.7)

L1 bird(1-0.6)

L2 wings(1-0.7)

L1 bird(0.4)

L2 wings(0.3)

$$L1 \wedge L2 = 0.3$$

Condition fly=0.3

x can fly $\alpha \leq 0.4$

x can't fly > 0.4

VI. FUZZY MODULATIONS AND LOGIC PROGRAMMING

The fuzzy reasoning system(FRS) is complex reasoning system for incomplete AI problem solving. The fuzzy predicate logic (FPL) is modulating transform fuzzy facts and rules in to meta form(semantic form). These fuzzy facts and rules are modulated to represent the knowledge available to the incomplete problem.

The fuzzy modulations for Knowledge representation are type of modules for fuzzy propositions “x is A”.

“x is A” is may be represented as

$[A]R(x)$,

where A is twofold fuzzy set {unknown, known}, R is relation and x is individual in the Unversed of discourse X.

For instance

“x is bird” is modulated as

$[bird]is(x)$

The FPL is e combined with logical operators.

Let A and B be two fold fuzzy sets.

x is $\neg A$

$[\neg A]R(x)$

x is A or x is B

$[A \vee B]R(x)$

x is A and x is B

$[A \wedge B]R(x)$

if x is A then x is B

$[A \rightarrow B]R(x)$

x is bird

$[bird]is(x)$

if x is bird then x can fly

if $[bird]is(x)$ then $[fly]is(x)$

or

$[bird] \square [fly]is(x)$

if x is bird and x has wings then x can fly

“x is bird \wedge x has wings \wedge \square x can fly”

if $[bird]is(x) \wedge [wings]has[x]$ then $[fly]can(x)$

if $[bird]is(x) \wedge [wings]has[x]$ then $[fly]can(x)$

$[fly]can(x) = \{ [bird]is(x) \wedge [wings]has[x] \}$

The Logic Programming may be written in SWI-Prolog as

fuzzy(Ozzie, A,B, M) :- A<B, M is A.

fuzzy(Ozzie, A,B, M) :- A>=B, M is B.

fuzzy(C,M,F):-C<M,F is C.

fuzzy(C,M,F):-C >=M F is M.

fuzzy(X, A,B,C,F) :- fuzzy(X, A,B,M), fuzzy(C,M,F).

?-run(X,0.3,0.4,0.4.5,F).
 F=0.3
 If F <=0.4, Ozzie can fly
 ?-run(Ozzie,0.6,0.5,0.4.5,F).
 F=0.45
 If F >=0.4, Ozzie can't fly

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank to Sri Venkateswara University authorities for providing to carry out this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bochman, A Logical Theory of Non-monotonic Inference and Belief Change, Springer 2001..
- [2] Allen, J.F. *Natural Language Understanding*, Benjamin Cummings, 1987, Second Edition, 1994.
- [3] J. Doyle, A Truth Maintenance System, *Artificial Intelligence*, Vol.11, No.3, 1979.
- [4] Jhon McCarthy, Circumscription – A Form of Non-monotonic Reasoning, *Artificial Intelligence*, Vol.13, pp.27-39, 1980..
- [5] E. H. Mamdani, Application of Fuzzy Logic to Approximate Reasoning Using Linguistic Synthesis, *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, vol.C-28,issue.2, pp.1182-1191, 1977.
- [6] N. Rescher, *Many-Valued Logic*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1969.
- [7] Poli Venkata Subba Reddy, "Fuzzy Conditional Inference for Medical Diagnosis", *Second International Conference on Fuzzy Theory and Technology, Advances in Fuzzy Theory and Technology*, Vol.2, University of North-Carolina, Duke University, November13-16, 1993, USAL.
- [8] Poli Venkata Subba reddy and M. Syam Babu, "Some Methods of Reasoning for Conditional Propositions", *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vo.52,pp.229-250,1992.
- [9] Poli Venkata subba reddy, Fuzzy logic based on Belief and Disbelief membership functions, *Fuzzy Information and Engineering*, Volume 9, Issue 4, December 2017, Pages 405-422.
- [10] L.A. Zadeh, "Calculus of Fuzzy restrictions", *Fuzzy sets and their applications to cognitive and decision processes*, L.A.Zadeh,K.S.Fu,M.Shimura,Eds,New York, Academic, 1975, pp.1-39.
- [11] L.A Zadeh," Fuzzy sets", *Information Control*,.vol.8,3pp.38-353, 1965.
- [12] L.A Zadeh , A Note on Z-numbers, *Fuzzy Information Science*, vol.181, pp.2923-2932, 2011.
- [13] L.A Zadeh, fuzzy sets and Information Granularity, *Selected Papers bt L.A. Zadeh*,pp.432-448, 1979.
- [14] J. M. Won, S. Y. Park, and J. S. Lee, Parameter conditions for monotonic Takagi-Sugeno-Kang fuzzy system, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol.132, pp.135-146, 2002.
- [15] E. V. Broekhoven and B. D. Baets, Monotone Mamdani-Assilian models under mean of maxima defuzzification, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 159, pp.2819-2844, 2008.
- [16] E. V. Broekhoven and B. De Baets, Only smooth rule bases can generate monotone Mamdani-Assilian models under COG defuzzification,*IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 1157-1174, 2009.
- [17] H.Seki, H. Ishii, and M. Mizumoto, On the monotonicity of fuzzy-inference methods related to T-S inference method, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 629-634, 2010.