



Computing the CD-Number of Strong Product of Graphs

Loganathan Praveenkumar, G Mahadevan,
Chockalingam Sivagnanam, S Anuthiya and Selvam Kaviya

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid dissemination of research results and are integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

July 5, 2022

Computing the CD-number of Strong product of graphs

L.Praveenkumar¹, G.Mahadevan², C.Sivagnanam³, S.Anuthiya⁴, S.Kaviya⁵

^{1,2,4,5}Department of Mathematics, Gandhigram Rural Institute - Deemed to be University,
Gandhigram, Tamilnadu, India.

³Department of General Requirments, University of Technology and Applied Sciences- Sur,
Sultanate of Oman

E-mail: prawinlog@gmail.com¹, drgmaha2014@gmail.com²,
choshi71@gmail.com³, anuthiya96@gmai.com⁴, kaviyaselvam3001@gmail.com⁵

Abstract

The theory of corona domination number was presented by G.Mahadevan et al. [1]. In this work, we carry over the study of corona domination in graphs for the strong product of graphs. We investigate the strong product involving paths, cycles, complete graph and complete bipartite graph.

Keywords: Domination number, corona domination number, strong product, pendant vertex, support vertex.

AMS classification number: 05C69

1 Introduction

All the graphs $G = (V(G), E(G))$ consider here are finite, simple, undirected and without isolated vertex. The neighbor of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is denoted by $N(v)$, where $N(v) = \{u \in V(G) : d(u, v) = 1\}$ and $\deg(v) = |N(v)|$ denotes the degree of the vertex v . If the degree of a vertex is one then it is called a *pendant vertex*. Let P_r denote a path of length r , C_r denotes a cycle of length r and G^c denotes the complement of G . Let G be a graph. An *induced subgraph* H is obtained from G by deleting some vertices of G . If G is said to be a *bipartite* [4]

then its vertex can be separated in to two nonempty subets Y_1 and Y_2 such that each edge of G has one end in Y_1 and other in Y_2 and is denoted by $G(Y_1, Y_2)$. A bipartite graph $G(Y_1, Y_2)$ is said to be *complete bipartite* [4] if each vertex of Y_1 is adjacent to all the vertices of Y_2 . If $G(Y_1, Y_2)$ is complete with $|Y_1| = r$ and $|Y_2| = s$ then $G(Y_1, Y_2)$ is denoted by $K_{r,s}$, where $K_{1,s}$ is a *star graph*[4]. A wheel graph $W_{1,r}$, $r \geq 3$ is obtained by joining a single vertex to all the vertices of a cycle C_r . A helm graph H_r , is obtained by joining a pendant edge to every vertex of the outer cycle of $W_{1,r}$. $L(G)$ be the *line graph* of G where $V(L(G)) = E(G)$ and two vertices in $L(G)$ are adjacent if and only if the corresponding the edges has an endvertex in common. It is clear that $L(P_r) = P_{r-1}$ and $L(C_r) = C_r$. A *dominating set* S is a set of vertices of G with the condition that every $v \in V - S$, $d(v, S) = 1$. Any dominating set D of G with minimum cardinality, then the domination number $\gamma(G) = |D|$. The *strong product* [3] of graphs H_1 and H_2 is the graph $H_1 \boxtimes H_2$ with vertex set $V(H_1) \times V(H_2)$ and any two of its vertices (v_1, u_1) and (v_2, u_2) are adjacent whenever $v_1v_2 \in E(H_1)$ and $u_1 = u_2$ or $u_1u_2 \in E(H_2)$ and $v_1 = v_2$ or $v_1v_2 \in E(H_1)$ and $u_1u_2 \in E(H_2)$. The corona domination number(CD number) [1] γ_{CD} is a minimum cardinality of the dominating set S , with the subgraph induced by S having either pendant or support vertex only. Graph domination and associated concepts have been analyzed for many years; among them, many authors studied the domination number of the various product graphs, especially for the product involving paths, cycles, etc. Motivated by the above, we determined the exact values of $\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes P_s), \gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes P_s^c), \gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes C_s), \gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes K_s), etc$. In the future, we will find the exact value of $\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes G)$ for any given graph G . Also, we see the relationship between the $CD(P_r)$ with $\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes G)$ and $CD(G)\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes G)$

Here, we have given the CD -number for some graphs. $\gamma_{CD}(P_r^c) = 2$, $r \geq 4$, $\gamma_{CD}(C_4^c) = 4$, $\gamma_{CD}(C_5^c) = 3$, $\gamma_{CD}(C_r^c) = 2$, $r \geq 6$, $\gamma_{CD}(P_2 \boxtimes K_s) = \gamma_{CD}(P_3 \boxtimes K_s) = 2$.

2 CD -number of strong product for some standard graphs

We begin this section with some observations. The CD -number of the strong product of the paths P_1 , P_2 , and P_3 with P_r is same as the CD -number of P_r and the remaining cases are given below.

Theorem 2.1. *If $s \geq 4$, then $\gamma(P_4 \boxtimes P_s) = 2\lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil$.*

Proof. Let $P_4 = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$ and $P_s = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s)$.

Then $V(P_4 \boxtimes P_s) = \{(v_i, u_j) : 1 \leq i \leq 4, 1 \leq j \leq s\}$ and $E(P_4 \boxtimes P_s) = \{(v_i, u_j)(v_i, u_{j+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq 4, 1 \leq j \leq s-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_j) : 1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq s\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_{j+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq s-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_{j-1}) : 1 \leq i \leq 3, 2 \leq j \leq s\}$. Let $S_1 = \{(v_1, u_j), (v_4, u_j) : j \equiv 2 \pmod{3}\}$. Then

$$S = \begin{cases} S_1 & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{3}, \\ S_1 \cup \{(v_1, u_s), (v_4, u_s)\} & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \end{cases} \quad \text{is a dominating set of } P_4 \boxtimes P_s.$$

Thus $\gamma(P_4 \boxtimes P_s) \leq |S| = 2\lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil$. Assume that D is a dominating set of $P_4 \boxtimes P_s$. Since $\gamma(P_s) = \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil$ and any dominating set of i^{th} row of $P_4 \boxtimes P_s$ dominating all the vertices in $(i-1)^{\text{th}}$ row and $(i+1)^{\text{th}}$ row, any dominating set of a row dominates at most three rows. Hence $|D| \geq 2\lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil$. Then $\gamma(P_4 \boxtimes P_s) \geq 2\lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil$ and hence the result follows. \square

Theorem 2.2. *If $s \geq 4$, then $\gamma_{CD}(P_4 \boxtimes P_s) = 2\lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil$.*

Proof. Let $P_4 = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$ and $P_s = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s)$.

Then $V(P_4 \boxtimes P_s) = \{(v_i, u_j) : 1 \leq i \leq 4, 1 \leq j \leq s\}$ and $E(P_4 \boxtimes P_s) = \{(v_i, u_j)(v_i, u_{j+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq 4, 1 \leq j \leq s-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_j) : 1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq s\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_{j+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq 3, 1 \leq j \leq s-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_{j-1}) : 1 \leq i \leq 3, 2 \leq j \leq s\}$.

Let $S_1 = \{(v_i, u_j) : i \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}, j \equiv 2 \pmod{3}\}$.

$$\text{Then } S = \begin{cases} S_1 & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{3}, \\ S_1 \cup \{(v_i, u_s) : i \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}\} & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$$

is a CD -set of $P_4 \boxtimes P_s$. Thus $\gamma_{CD}(P_4 \boxtimes P_s) \leq |S| = 2\lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil$. Since $\gamma(P_4 \boxtimes P_s) = 2\lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil$, the result follows. \square

Theorem 2.3. *If $r \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{4}$, $r \geq 5$ and $s \geq r$, then $\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes P_s) = \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil$.*

Proof. Let $P_r = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r)$ and $P_s = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s)$.

Then $V(P_r \boxtimes P_s) = \{(v_i, u_j) : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s\}$ and $E(P_r \boxtimes P_s) = \{(v_i, u_j)(v_i, u_{j+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_j) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_{j+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_{j-1}) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 2 \leq j \leq s\}$.

case 1: $r \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

Let $S_1 = \{(v_i, u_j) : i \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}, j \equiv 2 \pmod{3}\}$.

Then $S = \begin{cases} S_1 & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{3}, \\ S_1 \cup \{(v_i, u_s) : i \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}\} & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$ is a CD -set of $P_r \boxtimes P_s$. Thus $\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes P_s) \leq |S| = \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil$. Suppose there exists a dominating set $D_1 \subseteq V$ of cardinality at most $d_1 = \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil - 1$, then $\langle D_1 \rangle$ contains an isolated vertex. Hence $|D_1| \geq d_1 + 1 = \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil$.

case 2: $r \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

Let $S_2 = \{(v_i, u_j) : i \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}, j \equiv 2 \pmod{3}\} \cup \{(v_{r-1}, u_j) : j \equiv 2 \pmod{3}\}$.

Then $S = \begin{cases} S_2 & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{3}, \\ S_2 \cup \{(v_i, u_s) : i \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}\} \cup \{(v_{r-1}, u_s)\} & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$ is a CD -set of $P_r \boxtimes P_s$. Thus $\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes P_s) \leq |S| = \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil$. Suppose there exists a dominating set $D_2 \subseteq V$ of cardinality at most $d_2 = \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil - 1$, then $\langle D_2 \rangle$ contains an isolated vertex. Thus $|D_2| \geq d_2 + 1 = \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil$. Therefore the proof. \square

Theorem 2.4. If $r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $r \geq 10$ and $s \geq r$, then

$$\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes P_s) = \begin{cases} (\frac{r}{2} + 1) \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{3} \\ (\frac{r}{2} + 1) \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil - 4 & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $P_r = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r)$ and $P_s = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s)$.

Then $V(P_r \boxtimes P_s) = \{(v_i, u_j) : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s\}$ and $E(P_r \boxtimes P_s) = \{(v_i, u_j)(v_i, u_{j+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_j) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_{j+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_{j-1}) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 2 \leq j \leq s\}$.

Let $S_1 = \{(v_i, u_j) : i \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4}, j \equiv 2 \pmod{3}\}$, $S_2 = \{(v_i, u_j) : i \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}, j \equiv 2 \pmod{3}\} \cup \{(v_{r-1}, u_j) : j \equiv 2 \pmod{3}\} - \{(v_r, u_{s-2}), (v_{r-3}, u_{s-2})\}$

and $A = (\{(v_i, u_s) : i \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}\} \cup \{(v_{r-1}, u_{s-1})(v_{r-4}, u_{s-1})\}) - \{(v_r, u_s), (v_{r-3}, u_s), (v_{r-4}, u_s)\}$.

Then $S = \begin{cases} S_1 & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{3}, \\ S_2 \cup A & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$ is a CD -set of $P_r \boxtimes P_s$. Thus

$$\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes P_s) \leq |S| = \begin{cases} (\frac{r}{2} + 1) \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{3}, \\ (\frac{r}{2} + 1) \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil - 4 & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Suppose there exists a dominating set $D \subseteq V$ of cardinality at most

$$d = \begin{cases} (\frac{r}{2} + 1) \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil - 1 & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{3}, \\ (\frac{r}{2} + 1) \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil - 5 & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$$

then $\langle D \rangle$ contains an isolated vertex.

$$\text{Thus } |D| \geq d+1 = \begin{cases} (\frac{r}{2} + 1) \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{3}, \\ (\frac{r}{2} + 1) \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil - 4 & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \pmod{3}. \end{cases} \quad \text{Hence the theorem follows.}$$

□

Theorem 2.5. If $s \geq 6$, then $\gamma_{CD}(P_6 \boxtimes P_s) = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ s+1 & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}, \\ s+2 & \text{if } s \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$

Proof. Let $P_6 = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_6)$ and $P_s = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s)$.

Then $V(P_6 \boxtimes P_s) = \{(v_i, u_j) : 1 \leq i \leq 6, 1 \leq j \leq s\}$ and $E(P_6 \boxtimes P_s) = \{(v_i, u_j)(v_i, u_{j+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq 6, 1 \leq j \leq s-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_j) : 1 \leq i \leq 5, 1 \leq j \leq s\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_{j+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq 5, 1 \leq j \leq s-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_{j-1}) : 1 \leq i \leq 5, 2 \leq j \leq s\}$. Let $S_1 = \{(v_i, u_j) : i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, j \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}\}$.

Then $S = \begin{cases} S_1 & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}, \\ S_1 \cup \{(v_i, u_{s-1}) : i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}\} & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$ is a CD -set of

$P_6 \boxtimes P_s$. Thus $\gamma_{CD}(P_6 \boxtimes P_s) \leq |S| = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ s+1 & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}, \\ s+2 & \text{if } s \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$ Suppose there exists

a dominating set $D \subseteq V$ of cardinality at most $d = \begin{cases} s-1 & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ s & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}, \\ s+1 & \text{if } s \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$

then $\langle D \rangle$ has an isolated vertex. Thus $|D| \geq d + 1 = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ s + 1 & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}, \\ s + 2 & \text{if } s \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$

Hence the result. \square

Theorem 2.6. *If $r \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $r \geq 7$ and $s \geq r$, then*

$$\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes P_s) = \begin{cases} \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{3}, \\ \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil - 2 & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $P_r = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r)$ and $P_s = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s)$.

Then $V(P_r \boxtimes P_s) = \{(v_i, u_j) : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s\}$ and $E(P_r \boxtimes P_s) = \{(v_i, u_j)(v_i, u_{j+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_j) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_{j+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_{j-1}) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 2 \leq j \leq s\}$.

Let $S_1 = \{(v_i, u_j) : i \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}, j \equiv 2 \pmod{3}\}$ and

let $A = (\{(v_i, u_s) : i \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}\} \cup \{(v_{r-1}, u_{s-1})\}) - \{(v_r, u_s), (v_{r-1}, u_s)\}$

Then $S = \begin{cases} S_1 & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{3}, \\ (S_1 \cup A) - \{(v_r, u_{s-2})\} & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$ is a CD -set of $P_r \boxtimes P_s$.

Thus $\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes P_s) \leq |S| = \begin{cases} \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{3}, \\ \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil - 2 & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$

Suppose there exists a dominating set $D \subseteq V$ of cardinality at most

$d = \begin{cases} \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil - 1 & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{3}, \\ \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil - 3 & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$ then $\langle D \rangle$ contains an isolated vertex in

$\{(v_i, u_j) : i \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}, j \equiv 2 \pmod{3}\} \cup \{(v_{r-1}, u_j) : j \equiv 1 \pmod{3}\}$.

Thus $|D| \geq d + 1 = \begin{cases} \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil & \text{if } s \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{3}, \\ \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil \lceil \frac{s}{3} \rceil - 2 & \text{if } s \equiv 1 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$ Hence, the statement of the

theorem is established. \square

Theorem 2.7. *If $r \geq 3$ and $2 \leq s \leq 3$, then $\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes P_s^c) = \begin{cases} r + 2 & \text{if } r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ 2\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$*

Proof. Let $P_r = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r)$ and $P_s = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s)$.

Then $V(P_r \boxtimes P_s^c) = \{(v_i, u_j) : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s\}$ and $E(P_r \boxtimes P_s^c) = \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_j) :$

$1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_k) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s-2, j+2 \leq k \leq s\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_l) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 3 \leq j \leq s, 1 \leq l \leq j-2\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_i, u_k) : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s-2, j+2 \leq k \leq s\}$. Let $S_1 = \{(v_i, u_j) : i \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}, j = 1, 2\}$. Then

$$S = \begin{cases} S_1 \cup \{(v_{r-1}, u_j) : j = 1, 2\} & \text{if } r \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4}, \\ S_1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{is a } CD\text{-set of } (P_r \boxtimes P_s^c).$$

Thus $\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes P_s^c) \leq |S| = \begin{cases} r+2 & \text{if } r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ 2\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Suppose there exists a dominating D of cardinality at most

$d = \begin{cases} r+1 & \text{if } r \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4}, \\ 2\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil - 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$ then $\langle D \rangle$ has an isolated vertex. Thus

$|D| \geq d+1 = \begin{cases} r+2 & \text{if } r \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4}, \\ 2\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ Hence the theorem follows. \square

Theorem 2.8. *If $r \geq 4, s \geq 4$ and $s \geq r$, then $\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes P_s^c) = 2\lceil \frac{r}{3} \rceil$.*

Proof. Let $P_r = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r)$ and $P_s = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s)$.

Then $V(P_r \boxtimes P_s^c) = \{(v_i, u_j) : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s\}$ and $E(P_r \boxtimes P_s^c) = \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_j) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_k) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s-2, j+2 \leq k \leq s\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_l) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 3 \leq j \leq s, 1 \leq l \leq j-2\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_i, u_k) : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s-2, j+2 \leq k \leq s\}$. Let $S_1 = \{(v_i, u_1), (v_i, u_{s-1}) : i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}\}$. Then

$S = \begin{cases} S_1 & \text{if } r \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{3}. \\ S_1 \cup \{(v_r, u_1), (v_r, u_{s-1})\} & \text{if } r \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$ is a CD -set of $P_r \boxtimes P_s^c$.

Thus $\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes P_s^c) \leq |S| = 2\lceil \frac{r}{3} \rceil$.

Suppose there exists a dominating set $D \subseteq V$ of cardinality at most $d = 2\lceil \frac{r}{3} \rceil - 1$, then $\langle D \rangle$ contains an isolated vertex. Thus $|D| \geq d+1 = 2\lceil \frac{r}{3} \rceil$. Hence the theorem follows. \square

Observation 2.1.

1. If $2 \leq r \leq 3$ and $s \geq r$, then $\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes P_s^c) = 4$.
2. If $s \geq 3$, then $\gamma_{CD}(C_3 \boxtimes P_s^c) = 4$.
3. If $r, s \geq 4$, then $\gamma_{CD}(C_r \boxtimes P_s^c) = 2\lceil \frac{r}{3} \rceil$.

4. If $2 \leq r \leq 3$ and $s \geq 4$, then $\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes C_s^c) = 4$.

5. If $r, s \geq 4$, then $\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes C_s^c) = 2\lceil \frac{r}{3} \rceil$.

6. If $s \geq 3$, then $\gamma_{CD}(C_3 \boxtimes C_s^c) = 4$.

7. If $r, s \geq 4$, then $\gamma_{CD}(C_r \boxtimes C_s^c) = 2\lceil \frac{r}{3} \rceil$.

Theorem 2.9. If $r \geq 4$ and $s \geq r$, then $\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes K_s) = \begin{cases} \frac{r}{2} + 1 & \text{if } r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Proof. Let $P_r = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r)$ and $V(K_s) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s\}$.

Then $V(P_r \boxtimes K_s) = \{(v_i, u_j) : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s\}$ and $E(P_r \boxtimes K_s) = \{(v_i, u_j)(v_i, u_{j+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_i, u_k) : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s-2, j+2 \leq k \leq s\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_k) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, j+1 \leq k \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq s-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i-1}, u_k) : 2 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s-1, j+1 \leq k \leq s\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_j) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s\}$.

Let $S_1 = \{(v_i, u_1) : i \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}\}$. Then

$$S = \begin{cases} S_1 \cup \{(v_{r-1}, u_1)\} & \text{if } r \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4}, \\ S_1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{is a } CD\text{-set of } P_r \boxtimes K_s.$$

$$\text{Thus } \gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes K_s) \leq |S| = \begin{cases} \frac{r}{2} + 1 & \text{if } r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Suppose there exists a dominating set $D \subseteq V$ of cardinality at most

$$d = \begin{cases} \frac{r}{2} & \text{if } r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil - 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases},$$

$$\text{then } \langle D \rangle \text{ contains an isolated vertex. Thus } |D| \geq d+1 = \begin{cases} \frac{r}{2} + 1 & \text{if } r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore the proof. \square

Theorem 2.10. $\gamma_{CD}(C_r \boxtimes C_s) = \gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes P_s)$, $s \geq r$.

Proof. Let $C_r = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r, v_1)$ and $C_s = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s, u_1)$.

Then $V(C_r \boxtimes C_s) = \{(v_i, u_j) : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s\}$ and $E(C_r \boxtimes C_s) = \{(v_i, u_j)(v_i, u_{j+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_j) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_{j+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_{j-1}) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 2 \leq j \leq s\} \cup \{(v_i, u_1)(v_{i+1}, u_s) :$

$$1 \leq i \leq r-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_1)(v_{i-1}, u_s) : 2 \leq i \leq r\} \cup \{(v_i, u_1)(v_i, u_s) : 1 \leq i \leq r\} \cup \{(v_1, u_i)(v_r, u_i) : 1 \leq i \leq s\} \cup \{(v_1, u_1)(v_r, u_s)\} \cup \{(v_r, u_1)(v_1, u_s)\}.$$

The proof of this theorem had subdivided into many cases and each case will be explained in a identical way as in Theorem 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6. \square

Observation 2.2.

1. If $r \geq 3$ and $s \geq r$, then $\gamma_{CD}(C_r \boxtimes K_s) = \gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes K_s)$.

2. $\gamma_{CD}(P_1 \boxtimes K_s) = \gamma_{CD}(P_1^c \boxtimes K_s) = 2$.

3. If $2 \leq r \leq 3$, then $\gamma_{CD}(P_r^c \boxtimes K_s) = 4$.

4. $\gamma_{CD}(P_r^c \boxtimes P_s^c)$ does not exists if $r \leq 2$.

5. $\gamma_{CD}(P_3^c \boxtimes P_3^c)$ does not exists.

6. If $r \geq 3$ and $s \geq 4$, then $\gamma_{CD}(P_r^c \boxtimes P_s^c) = 4$.

Theorem 2.11. If $r \leq 3$ and $s \geq 3$, then $\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes C_s) = \begin{cases} \frac{s}{2} + 1 & \text{if } s \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Proof. Let $P_r = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r)$ and $C_s = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s, u_1)$.

Then $V(P_r \boxtimes P_s) = \{(v_i, u_j) : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s\}$ and $E(P_r \boxtimes P_s) = \{(v_i, u_j)(v_i, u_{j+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_j) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_{j+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_{j-1}) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 2 \leq j \leq s\} \cup \{(v_i, u_1)(v_{i+1}, u_s) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_1)(v_{i-1}, u_s) : 2 \leq i \leq r\} \cup \{(v_i, u_1)(v_i, u_s) : 1 \leq i \leq r\} \cup \{(v_1, u_i)(v_r, u_i) : 1 \leq i \leq s\} \cup \{(v_i, u_1)(v_{i+1}, u_s) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_1)(v_{i-1}, u_s) : 2 \leq i \leq r\} \cup \{(v_i, u_1)(v_i, u_s) : 1 \leq i \leq r\}.$

Let $S_1 = (v_2, u_i) : i \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}$. Then $S = \begin{cases} S_1 \cup \{(v_2, u_{s-1})\} & \text{if } s \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ S_1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$

is a CD -set of $P_r \boxtimes C_s$.

Thus $\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes C_s) \leq |S| = \begin{cases} \frac{s}{2} + 1 & \text{if } s \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ Suppose there exists a

dominating set $D \subseteq V$ of cardinality at most $d = \begin{cases} \frac{s}{2} & \text{if } s \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil - 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$,

then $\langle D \rangle$ contains an isolated vertex. Thus $|D| \geq d+1 = \begin{cases} \frac{s}{2} + 1 & \text{if } s \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Therefore the proof. \square

Theorem 2.12. *If $r, s \geq 4$, then $\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes C_s) = \gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes P_s)$.*

Proof. Let $P_r = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r)$ and $C_s = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s, u_1)$.

Then $V(P_r \boxtimes P_s) = \{(v_i, u_j) : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s\}$ and $E(P_r \boxtimes P_s) = \{(v_i, u_j)(v_i, u_{j+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_j) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_{j+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_{j-1}) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 2 \leq j \leq s\} \cup \{(v_i, u_1)(v_{i+1}, u_s) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_1)(v_{i-1}, u_s) : 2 \leq i \leq r\} \cup \{(v_i, u_1)(v_i, u_s) : 1 \leq i \leq r\} \cup \{(v_1, u_i)(v_r, u_i) : 1 \leq i \leq s\} \cup \{(v_i, u_1)(v_{i+1}, u_s) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_1)(v_{i-1}, u_s) : 2 \leq i \leq r\} \cup \{(v_i, u_1)(v_i, u_s) : 1 \leq i \leq r\}$. The proof of this theorem had subdivided into many cases and each case will be explained in a identical way as in Theorem 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6. \square

Theorem 2.13. *For any non trivial path P_r and $K_{t,s}, t \geq 1$, $\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes K_{t,s}) = 2\lceil \frac{r}{3} \rceil$.*

Proof. Let $P_r = (v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_r)$ and $V(K_{t,s}) = (V_1, V_2)$, where $V_1 = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_t)$ and $V_2 = (y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_s)$. Then $V(P_r \boxtimes K_{t,s}) = \{(v_i, x_j), (v_i, y_k) : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq t, 1 \leq k \leq s\}$ and $E(P_r \boxtimes K_{t,s}) = \{(v_i, x_j)(v_{i+1}, x_j) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq t\} \cup \{(v_i, y_j)(v_{i+1}, y_j) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq t\} \cup \{(v_i, x_j)(v_i, y_k) : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq t, 1 \leq k \leq s\} \cup \{(v_i, x_j)(v_{i+1}, y_k) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq t, 1 \leq k \leq s\} \cup \{(v_i, x_j)(v_{i-1}, y_k) : 2 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq t, 1 \leq k \leq s\}$.

Let $S_1 = \{(v_i, u_1), (v_i, u_{s-1}) : i \equiv 2 \pmod{3}\}$. Then

$$S = \begin{cases} S_1 & \text{if } r \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{3}. \\ S_2 \cup \{(v_r, u_1), (v_r, u_{s-1})\} & \text{if } r \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \end{cases} \text{ is a } CD\text{-set of } P_r \boxtimes K_{t,s}.$$

Thus $\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes P_s^c) \leq |S| = 2\lceil \frac{r}{3} \rceil$. Suppose there exists a dominating set $D \subseteq V$ of cardinality at most $d = 2\lceil \frac{r}{3} \rceil - 1$, then $\langle D \rangle$ contains an isolated vertex. Thus $|D| \geq d+1 = 2\lceil \frac{r}{3} \rceil$.

Hence the theorem follows. \square

Theorem 2.14. *If $r \geq 2$ and $s \geq 3$, then $\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes H_s) = \begin{cases} \frac{(s+1)(r+2)}{2} & \text{if } s \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ (s+1)\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$*

Proof. Let $P_r = (v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_r)$ and $V(H_s) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_s, u'_1, u'_2, u'_3, \dots, u'_s, u\}$, where $\Delta(H_s) = \deg(u), \deg(u'_i) = 1$ and the vertices $u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_s$ form a cycle. Then $V(P_r \boxtimes H_s) = \{(v_i, u_j)(v_i, u'_j)(v_i, u) : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s\}$ and $E(P_r \boxtimes H_s) = \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_j), (v_i, u'_j)(v_{i+1}, u'_j), (v_i, u)(v_{i+1}, u) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_j), (v_i, u_1)(v_i, u_s) : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_i, u'_j), (v_i, u_j)(v_i, u) : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u_{j+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s-1\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u'_j) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s\} \cup \{(v_i, u_j)(v_{i+1}, u) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s\} \cup \{(v_{i+1}, u_j)(v_i, u_{j+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 2 \leq j \leq s-1\} \cup \{(v_{i+1}, u_j)(v_i, u'_j) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s\} \cup \{(v_{i+1}, u_j)(v_i, u) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s\}$. Let $S_1 = \{(v_i, u'_j), (v_i, u) : i \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}, 1 \leq j \leq s\}$. Then $S = \begin{cases} S_1 \cup \{(v_{r-1}, u'_j), (v_{r-1}, u) : 1 \leq j \leq s\} & \text{if } r \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4}, \\ S_1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$ is a CD -set of $P_r \boxtimes H_s$.

Thus $\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes H_s) \leq |S| = \gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes H_s) = \begin{cases} \frac{(s+1)(r+2)}{2} & \text{if } s \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ (s+1)\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ Suppose

there exists a D dominating of cardinality at most $d = \begin{cases} \frac{(s+1)(r+2)}{2} - 1 & \text{if } s \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ (s+1)\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil - 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$

then $\langle D \rangle$ has an isolated vertex. Thus $|D| \geq d+1 = \begin{cases} \frac{(s+1)(r+2)}{2} & \text{if } s \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ (s+1)\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Hence the theorem. \square

Observation 2.3.

1. Let G be a totally disconnected graph of order s , then

$$\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes G) = \begin{cases} \frac{s(r+2)}{2} & \text{if } s \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ s\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$2. \gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes W_{1,s}) = \gamma_{CD}(P_r) = \begin{cases} \frac{r}{2} + 1 & \text{if } s \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$3. \gamma_{CD}(L(P_r) \boxtimes L(P_s)) = \gamma_{CD}(P_{r-1} \boxtimes P_{s-1}).$$

$$4. \gamma_{CD}(L(P_r) \boxtimes P_s) = \gamma_{CD}(P_{r-1} \boxtimes P_s).$$

$$5. \gamma_{CD}(L(C_r) \boxtimes L(C_s)) = \gamma_{CD}(C_r \boxtimes C_s).$$

Conclusion

Finding the CD -number for a general graph is an NP-complete problem. In this paper we find out the exact value of $\gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes P_s), \gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes P_s^c), \gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes C_s), \gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes K_s), \gamma_{CD}(P_r \boxtimes W_{1,s}), \gamma_{CD}(L(P_r) \boxtimes P_s), etc.$ Also we have given the minimum CD -set for the above noticed graph and the comparison of this parameter with other dominating parameter will be described in the successive paper.

References

- [1] G.MAHADEVAN, M.VIMALA SUGANTHI AND C.SIVAGNANAM: *Corona domination of graphs*, Mathematical Modelling and Computational Intelligence Techniques, Springer proceedings in Mathematics and Statistic, **376** (2021) 255-265.
- [2] GRAVIER, S, AND KHELLADI, A : *On the domination number of cross products of graphs*, Discrete mathematics, **145(1-3)**, 273-277 (1995).
- [3] HAMMACK, R. H., IMRICH, W., KLAVŽAR, S., IMRICH, W., AND KLAVŽAR, S. (2011): *Handbook of product graphs* . (Vol. 2). Boca Raton: CRC press.
- [4] HARARY F: *Graph Theory*, Addison Wesley Reading Mass, (1972).
- [5] HAYNES T.W, S.T.HEDETNIEMI AND P.J.SLATER: *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York (1998).