

On (θ, ϕ) - Derivations on Lie Ideal of Semiprime Rings

Iman Taha, Rohaidah Binti Masri and Rawdah Adawiyah Binti Termizi

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid dissemination of research results and are integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

IMAN TAHA *, ROHAIDAH BINTI MASRI, AND RAWDAH ADAWIYAH BINTI TARMIZI

ABSTRACT. Let R be a semiprime ring of characteristic $\neq 2$. Earlier the properties of Lie rings of derivations in commutative differentially prime rings R was investigated by many authors. In recent manuscript we find the conditions on semiprime rings R, when the left (θ,φ) -derivations is acting on Lie ideal of R. In particular we prove that if A is a nonzero Lie ideal and a subring of a characteristic $\neq 2$ of a semiprime ring R and d is a (θ,φ) -derivation of R satisfailying the condition $d(ab) = d(ba) \forall a,b \in A$, then $A \subseteq Z(R)$ and $[R,R] \subseteq Z(R)$.

Date: E-mail:P20202001457@siswa.upsi.edu.my

^{*} Iman TAHA.

 $^{2000\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification:$ Primary 16W25, 16N60; Secondary 16B60

Key words: Derivation, prime and semiprime rings, Lie Ideal

1. Introduction

Let R be an associative ring with identity (with respect to the addition "+" and the multiplication ":") and

$$Z(R) = \{z \in R : zx = xz \forall x \in R\}$$
 denotes the center of R.

Recall that R is prime if aRb = 0 implies that a = 0 or b = 0. A ring R is said to be semiprime if aRa = 0 implies that a = 0. The ring R is 2-torsion free if whenever 2a = 0, with $a \in R$, then a = 0.

An additive mapp $d: R \to R$ is called a derivation of R if

$$d(ab) = d(a)b + ad(b) \forall a, b \in R.$$

The set of all derivations of R denoted by $\operatorname{Der} R$, [a,b]=ab-ba is called a Lie commutator of $a,b\in R$, [R,R] the commutator subgroup,

$$annT = \{r \in R : rT = 0 = Tr\}$$
 the annihilator of $T \subseteq R$.

An additive map d is called (θ, φ) derivation if

$$d(ab) = d(a)\theta(b) + \varphi(a)d(b) \forall a, b \in R$$

such that $\theta, \varphi : R \to R$ are two maps of R. An additive map $\delta : R \to R$ is called a left derivation if

$$\delta(ab) = a\delta(b) + b\delta(a) \forall a, b \in R.$$

In the same manner the additive maps (θ, φ) of R is called a left θ, φ -derivation if $\delta(ab) = \theta(a)\delta(b) + \varphi(b)\delta(a) \forall a, b \in R$.

All other definitions are standard and it can be found in [?, ?, ?, ?] and [?].

Recall that an additive subgroup A of R^+ is called a Lie ideal of R if $[a,r] \in A$.

In [?] H.E. Bell and L.C. Kappe proved that if d is a derivation on a semiprime ring, such that d is endomorphism or anti-endomorphism on R, then the derivation d must equal zero.

Further, if a derivation d is acting as a homomorphism or anti-homomorphism on a nonzero right ideal of a prime ring R, then the

derivation d must equal zero also. In addition, many authors have extended results of [?].

In this way Yengul and Argac [?] proved that these results is true for α -derivations of prime rings and semiprime rings and O. Golbasi and N. Aydin [?] have extended these results which such that if d is (θ, φ) -derivations which acts as a homomorphism or an anti-homomorphism on a prime ring R, then d=0 on R.

Therefore, M. Asharf [?] concering (θ, φ) -derivations d which is acting as a homomorphism or an anti-homomorphism on a nonzero ideal A of a prime ring R. Also in [?] M. Ashraf, N. Rehman studied this result for a left (θ, φ) - derivation d which is acting as a homomorphism or an anti-homomorphism on a nonzero ideal A of a prime ring R.

There are different results related to the property of commutativity of a ring and the existence specific types of derivations of a ring R.

In [?] proved for a semiprime ring R if there exists a nonzero ideal A of R and d is derivation satisfying the condition $d(ab) = d(ba) \forall a, b \in A$, then $A \subseteq Z(R)$.

Furthermore, in [?] proved that if d is (θ, φ) -derivation acting as a homomorphism or an anti-homomorphism on a nonzero left ideal A of a semiprime ring R, then d = 0.

Finally, this problem has been activety studied by many authors as [?, ?, ?, ?, ?] and others

2. Preliminaries

we will state some lemmas, which helps us to prove the main results, also we will prove that if A is a nonzero Lie ideal and a subring of a characteristic $\neq 2$ of a semiprime ring R and d is a (θ, φ) - derivation of R satisfailying the condition $d(ab) = d(ba) \forall a, b \in A$, then $A \subseteq Z(R)$ and $[R, R] \subseteq Z(R)$.

Lemma 2.1. [?]

Let R be a prime ring of a characteristic $\neq 2$. Let θ, φ be two automorphisms of R and d is a nonzero (θ, φ) -derivation of R such that $d(ab) = d(ba) \forall a, b \in R$, then R is commutative.

Lemma 2.2. [?, Lemma 2]

If $A \nsubseteq Z(R)$ is a Lie ideal of a prime ring R of a characteristic $\neq 2$ and $a, b \in R$ such that aAb = 0, then a = 0 or b = 0.

Lemma 2.3. [?, Lemma 1.3]

If R is a 2-torsion-free semiprime ring and A is a commutative Lie ideal of R, then $A \subseteq Z(R)$.

Lemma 2.4 ([?]). If R is a 2-torsion-free prime ring and A a nonzero Lie ideal of R. Assume that θ and φ are two automorphisms of R and (θ, φ) - derivation of R satisfaiying the condition $d(A) = \{0\}$, then d = 0 on R or $A \subseteq Z(R)$.

Lemma 2.5. Let R be a prime ring and A a nonzero Lie ideal of R. If $r \in R$ such that Ar = 0, then r = 0.

Proof.

Let A be an ideal of a prime ring R. and let $[a, r] \in A, \forall a \in A$ and $\forall r \in R$. Then $xar = 0 \forall a \in A, \forall r \in R$. This means that xRr = 0. Since R is a prime ring, so x = 0 or $r = 0, \forall a \in A$. Using that A is a nonzero Lie ideal of R, hence r = 0.

3. Derivation on Ideals

Lemma 3.1. [?]

Let R be a semiprime ring and A a right ideal of R, then $Z(A) \subseteq Z(R)$.

Now we can get the same result in lemma (2 -1), when we apply the condition $d(ab) = d(ba) \forall a, b \in A$ a nonzero ideal of R.

Proposition 3.2.

Let R be a semiprime ring of a characteristic $\neq 2$ and A a nonzero ideal of R. Let θ , φ be two automorphisms of R and d be a nonzero (θ, φ) -derivation of R satisfying the condition $d(ab) = d(ba) \forall a, b \in A$, then R is commutative.

Proof.

Suppose that $s \in A$ such that d(s) = 0. Now let s = [a, b], then

$$d(t)\theta(s) = \delta(ts) = d(st) = \varphi(s)\delta(t), \forall t \in A.$$

Hence

$$[d(t), s]_{\theta, \varphi} = 0 \forall t \in A. \tag{3-1}$$

From d(s) = 0 and A is an ideal of R, then by [?] [Theorem 1], we have $s \in Z(A) \forall s \in A$, since $[a, b] \in Z(A) \forall a, b \in A$, we get

$$[r, [a, b] = 0 \forall a, b, r \in A. \tag{3-2}$$

Now replacing b by ab in (3-2), we have

$$[r, [a, ab]] = [r, a][a, b] = 0 \forall a, b, r \in A.$$
 (3 – 3)

Further, replacing b by ba in (3-3), we get

$$[r, a][r, br] = [r, a]b[a, r] = 0 \forall a, b, r \in A.$$

Then

$$[r,a]A[a,r] = \{0\} \forall a,r \in A.$$

Since A is an ideal of R, we have $[r,a]RA[a,r]=\{0\}\forall a,r\in A$. Since R is prime, then [r,a]=0 or $A[a,r]=0\forall a,r\in A$.

Now if $A[a,r]=0 \forall a,r\in A$ and since A is a nonzero ideal of R, we have $[a,r]=0 \forall a,r\in A$. Hence A is commutative. Then by Lemma (3-2), we have $Z(A)\subseteq Z(R)$. So $A\subseteq Z(R)$, hence by Lemma (3-2) R is commutative.

4. Derivation on Lie Ideals

Let R be a semiprime ring of a characteristic $\neq 2$. We will extend Lemma (2 - 1) for a non zero Lie Ideal and a subring A of R.

Theorem 4.1.

Let R be a semiprime ring of a characteristic $\neq 2$, and let A be a non zero Lie ideal and subring of R. Assume that (θ, φ) are two automorphisms of R and Let $\delta : R \to R$ is (θ, φ) -derivation of R such that $\delta(ab) = \delta(ba) \forall a, b \in A$, then $A \subseteq Z(R)$ and $[R, R] \subseteq Z(R)$.

Proof.

Assume that $\delta(c) = 0, c \in A$. Now, if c = [a, b], then $\delta(a)\theta(c) = \delta(ab) = \delta(ca) = \varphi(c)\delta(a), \forall a \in A$. Then

$$[\delta(a), c]_{\theta, \varphi} = 0 \forall a \in A.$$

From $\delta(c) = 0$ and using [?, Theorem 1]], we have $c \in Z(A), \forall c \in A$. Since $[a, b] \in Z(A) \forall a, b \in A$, then for all $a, b, d \in A$ we have

$$[d, [a, b]] = 0. (4-1)$$

Further, replacing b by ab in (4 -1), we have for all $a, b, d \in A$ we have

$$[d, [a, ab] = [d, a][a, b] = 0. (4-2)$$

Now, replacing b by bd in (4-2), we have for all $a, b, d \in A$

$$[d, a][a, bd] = [d, a]b[a, d] = 0. (4-3)$$

Since for all $a, d \in A$, $[a, d] \in Z(A)$ and for all $a, d \in A$ and from (4-3) we have $b[d, a]^2 = 0$.

Further, replacing b by $[t,r], t \in A, r \in R$ then we get $\forall a,d,t \in A$ and $r \in R$.

$$[t, r][d, a]^2 = 0.$$

Then

$$0 = tr[d, a]^{2} - rt[d, a]^{2} = tr[d, a]^{2} \forall a, t, d \in A \forall r \in R.$$

Hence for all $a, d \in A$ we write $AR[d, a]^2 = 0$.

Since R is a prime ring, then $\forall a, d \in A$, we have A = 0 or $[d, a]^2 = 0$. On the other hand A is a nonzero Lie ideal of R, then $[d, a]^2 = 0$ for all $a, d \in A$. Also, since A is a semiprime, then $\forall a, d \in A$ we have [d, a] = 0, hence A is commutative. Now from Lemma (2.4) we have that $A \subseteq Z(R)$. Since $A \subseteq Z(R) \forall a \in A, r \in R$, then we have [a, r] = 0.

Further, replace a by $[a, u], \forall u \in R$, we have $\forall a \in A, r, u \in R$.

$$0 = [[a, u], r] = [au, r] - [ua, r] = a[u, r] - [u, r]a = [a, [u, r]].$$

Thus $\forall a \in A, r, u \in R$ we have

$$[a, [R, R]] = 0.$$

Hence $[R, R] \subseteq Z(R)$.

Lemma 4.2. [?]

Let R be a prime ring and A be a nonzero ideal of R. Assume that θ, φ are two automorphisms of R and $\delta: R \to R$ is a left (θ, φ) -derivation of R. Then

- 1) If δ acts as a homomorphism on A, then $\delta = 0$ on R.
- 2) If δ acts as an-antihomomorphism on A, then $\delta = 0$ on R.

Lemma 4.3. [?, Lemma 4]

Let R be a prime ring of a characteristic $\neq 2$, and if A is a Lie ideal of R such that $A \nsubseteq Z(R)$ and $a, b \in R$ such that $aAb = \{0\}$. Then a = 0 or b = 0.

Lemma 4.4. Let R be a prime ring of a characteristic $\neq 2$ and A is a Lie ideal of R such that $A \nsubseteq Z(R)$. Then there exists an ideal B of R with $[B,R] \subseteq A$, but $[B,R] \nsubseteq Z(R)$.

Proof.

Since $A \nsubseteq Z(R)$ and $charR \neq 2$, then from [?] $[A, A] \neq 0$ and we get $[B, R] \subseteq A$ where $B = R[A, A]R \neq 0$ is the ideal of R. Thus it is follows $[B, R] \subseteq Z(G)$, since if we suppose that $[B, R] \nsubseteq Z(R)$ then [B[B, R] = 0. Hence $B \subseteq Z(G)$. From $B \neq 0$ is an ideal of R,hence R = Z(R).

Lemma 4.5. Let R be a prime ring of a characteristic $\neq 2$ and A is a Lie ideal of R such that $A \subseteq Z(R)$. If cAd = 0. Then c = 0 or d = 0.

Proof.

Let B an deal of R with $[B,R] \nsubseteq Z(R)$, but $[B,R] \subseteq A$. Suppose that $a \in A, b \in B$ and $r \in R, [bca,r] \in [B,R] \subseteq A$. Then

0=c[bca,r]d=c[bc,r]ad+cbc[a,r]d=c(bcr-rbc)ad=cbcrad. Since $c[a,r]d\in cAd=0$. Hence cBcRAd=0.

If $c \neq 0$ and since R is a prime then, we have Ad = 0. Now if $t \in R$ and $a \in A$, then

$$(at - ta) \in A$$
,

whence (at - ta)d = 0. Hence atd = 0, this means aRd = 0. Since $A \neq 0$ we have d = 0.

Theorem 4.6.

Let R be a prime ring of a characteristic $\neq 2$. Let A be a nonzero Lie ideal of R such that $a^2 \in A \ \forall a \in A$.

Suppose that θ, φ are two automorphisms of R and $\delta: R \to R$ is a left (θ, φ) -derivation of R satisfies the following conditions.

- 1) If δ acts as a homomorphism on A. Then $\delta = 0$ or $A \subseteq Z(R)$.
- 2) If δ acts as an anti-homomorphism on A. Then $\delta = 0$ or $A \subseteq Z(R)$.

Proof.

1) Suppose that $A \nsubseteq Z(R)$. Now If δ acts as a homomorphism on A, then $\forall a, b \in A$ we have

$$\delta(ab) = \delta(a)\delta(b) = \theta(a)\delta(b) = \varphi(b)\delta(a). \tag{4-4}$$

Further, replacing a by 2ab in (4 - 4) and since $charR \neq 2$, then, $\forall a, b \in A$ we have

$$(\theta(a)\delta(b) + \varphi(b)\delta(a)) = \theta(a)\theta(b)\delta(b) + \varphi(b)\delta(a)\delta(b).$$

Hence, $\forall a, b \in A$ we get

$$\theta(a)\delta(a)\delta(b) = \theta(a)\theta(b)\delta(b).$$

Then $\forall a, b \in A$.

$$\theta(a)(\delta(b) - \theta(b)\delta(b)) = 0. \tag{4-5}$$

Replacing a by 2ac, where $c \in A$ in (4-5) and since $charR \neq 2$, then, $\forall a, b, c \in A$ we have

$$\theta(ac)(\delta(b) - \theta(b))\delta(b) = 0.$$

Thus $\forall a, b, c \in A$ we get

$$ac\theta^{-1}((\delta(b) - \theta(b))\delta(b)) = 0.$$

Then $\forall a, b \in A$ we have

$$aA\theta^{-1}((\delta(b) - \theta(b))\delta(b)) = 0.$$

Using Lemma (2 -2) we have $\forall a, b \in A$

$$a = 0$$
 or $(\delta(b) - \theta(b))\delta(b) = 0$.

Notice that A is a nonzero ideal of R, then $\forall b \in a$ we have

$$\delta^2(b) = \theta(b))\delta(b),$$

since δ is a left (δ, θ) -derivation of R, then $\forall b \in A$ we have

$$\theta(b)\delta(b) - \varphi(b)\delta(b) = \theta(b)\delta(b).$$

So

$$\varphi(b)\delta(b) = 0, \forall b \in A. \tag{4-6}$$

On Linearizing (4-6) we get

$$0 = \varphi(b+a)\delta(b+a)$$

$$= (\varphi(b) + \varphi(a))(\delta(b) + \delta(a))$$

$$= \varphi(b)\delta(b) + \varphi(b)\delta(a) + \varphi(a)\delta(b) + \varphi(a)\delta(a)$$

$$= \varphi(b)\delta(a) + \varphi(a)\delta(b)\forall a, b \in A. \tag{4-7}$$

Now substitute a by 2ab in (4-7) and using that $charR \neq 2$, we have

$$0 = \varphi(b)\delta(b)\delta(a) + \varphi(b)\varphi(a)\delta(b)$$

$$= \varphi(b)\varphi(a)\delta(b).$$

This means that $ba\varphi^{-1}(\delta(b)) = 0 \forall a, b \in A$. That is $bA\varphi^{-1}(\delta(b)) = 0$. By Lemma (2 -2), we get b = 0 or $\delta(b) = 0 \forall b \in A = 0$.

Since A is a nonzero Lie ideal of R, we get

$$\delta(b) = 0, \forall b \in A. \tag{4-8}$$

Now replacing b by $[b, r], r \in R$ in (4-8) we will get

$$0 = \delta([b, r]) = \delta(br - rb) = \delta(br) - \delta(rb)$$

$$= \theta(b)\delta(r) + \varphi(r)\delta(b) - \theta(r)\delta(b) - \varphi(b)\delta(r)$$

$$= \theta(b)\delta(r) - \varphi(b)\delta(r)$$

$$= (\theta(b) - \varphi(b))\delta(r).$$

So

$$(\theta(A) - \varphi(A))\delta(r) = 0, \forall r \in R.$$

Since θ, φ are two automorphisms of R and A is a nonzero Lie ideal of R, we see that $\theta(A), \varphi(A)$ are a nonzero Lie ideal of R. Then $\theta(A) - \varphi(A)$ is a nonzero Lie ideal of R. Now using Lemma (2 -1), we have $\delta(r) = 0$, this means $\delta = 0$ on R.

2) Suppose that δ acts as an anti-homomorphism on A, then for all $a, b \in A$ we have

$$\delta(ab) = \delta(b)\delta(a) = \theta(a)\delta(b) + \varphi(b)\delta(a). \tag{4-9}$$

Substituating b by 2ab in (4-9) and from that $charR \neq 2$ we have $\forall a, b \in A$, then we get

$$\delta(ab)\delta(a) = \theta(a)\delta(b)\delta(a) + \varphi(b)\delta(a)\delta(a)$$

$$= \theta(a)\delta(b)\delta(a) + \varphi(a)\varphi(b)\delta(a).$$

Then

$$\varphi(a)\delta(b)\delta(a) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)\delta(a), \forall a, b \in A. \tag{4-10}$$

Replacing in (4-10) with b by 2sb, $s \in A$ and using $charR \neq 2$, we have for all $a, b, s \in A$,

$$\varphi(s)\varphi(b)\delta(a)\delta(b) = \varphi(a)\varphi(s)\varphi(b)\delta(a). \tag{4-11}$$

Using (4 - 10) in (4 - 11) we have for all $a, b, s \in A$,

$$[\varphi(a), \varphi(s)]\varphi(b)\delta(a) = 0.$$

Thus for all $a, b, s \in A$, we get

$$[a, s]b\varphi^{-1}(\delta(a)) = 0,$$

equivalently,

$$[a, s]A\varphi^{-1}(\delta(a)) = 0.$$

From Lemma (2 -2) we have = [a, r] = 0 or $\delta(a) = 0$. Suppose that

$$B=\{a\in A, [a,s]=0 \forall s\in A\},$$

and

$$C = \{ a \in A, \delta(a) = 0 \}.$$

Then B,C are proper subgroups of A and $A=B\cup C,$ hence A=B or A=C.

Now if A = B, then for all $a, s \in A$, [a, s] = 0.

This means that A is commutative. Using lemma (2 -3) we have $A \subseteq Z(R)$ and we get a contradiction.

Then for all $a \in A, \delta(a) = 0$.

Replacing a by [a, r] we have for all $a \in A$ and $r \in R$,

$$0 = \delta([a, r]) = \delta(ar - ra)$$

$$= \theta(a)\delta(r) + \varphi(r)\delta(a) - \theta(r)\delta(a) - \varphi(a)\delta(r)$$

$$\theta(a)\delta(r) + \varphi(a)\delta(r) - (\theta(a) - \varphi(a))\delta(r).$$

Eqivalently

$$(\theta(A) - \varphi(A))\delta(r) = 0.$$

Now using the same technique as in part (1) we get the required.

REFERENCES

- [1] Al Khalaf A., Artemovych O. and Taha Iman, *Derivations in differentially prime rings*, Journal of Algebra and its Applications, 17, No. 7, (2018).
- [2] Al Khalaf A., Artemovych O. and Taha Iman, *Derivations in differentially semiprime rings*, Asian-European Journal of Mathematics(AEJM) 12, No. 5, (2019).
- [3] O.D. Artemovych and M.P. Lukashenko, *Lie and Jordan structures of differentially semiprime rings*, Algebra and Discrete Math. **20**(2015), 13–31.
- [4] Kaya K. Prime rings with α -derivation. Hacettepe Bull. Of Natural science and Engineering 16-17 63-71 1987-1988.
- [5] M. Ashraf, N. Rehman and M. A. Quadri, On (σ, τ) -derivations in certain classes of rings, Rad Math. 9 (1999),187 192.
- [6] M. Ashraf and N. Rehman, On Lie ideals and Jordan left derivation of prime rings, Arch. Math. (Brno) 36 (2000), 201 206.
- [7] M. Ashraf, On left (θ, φ) -derivations of prime rings, Archivum Mathematicum (Brno), Tomus 41 (2005), 157- 166.
- [8] N. Aydin and K. Kaya, Some generalizations in prime ring with (s,t) derivation, Doga Tr. Math. 16 (1992), 169 176.
- [9] H. E. Bell and L. C. Kappe, Rings in which derivations satisfy certain algebraic conditions, Acta Math. Hungar 53 (1989), 339 346.
- [10] H. E. Bell and M. N. Daif, On derivations and commutativity in prime rings, Acta Math. Hungar 66 (1995), 337 - 343.
- [11] H.E. Bell, On some commutativity theorems of Herstein, Archiv Math. 24 (1973), 34–38.
- [12] J. Bergen, I. N. Herstein and J. M. Keer, Lie ideals and derivations of prime ring, J. Algebra 71 (1981), 254-267.
- [13] Chng, J.C.: alpha derivation with invertible values, Bulletin of the Intitite of Math. Acad. Sinica 13, (1985).
- [14] M. N. Daif and H. E. Bell, Remarks on derivations on semiprime rings, Internet. J. Math. Sci. 15 (1992), 205-206.
- [15] O. Golbasi and N. Aydin, Some results on endomorphisms of prime ring which are (s,t) derivation, East Asian Math. J. 18 (2002), 33-41.
- [16] I.N. Herstein, Topics in Ring Theory, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago London, 1965.
- [17] I.N. Herstein, *Rings with involution*, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago London 1976.
- [18] E. C. Posner, Derivations in Prime Rings. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 1093-1100.
- [19] Yenigul and Argac, On prime and semiprime rings with a derivations, Turkish J. Math. 18 (1994), 280 284.