

Determinants of the Intention of Social Aid Beneficiaries to Use Banking Self-Service Technology (SST)

Faizatul Hiqmah

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid dissemination of research results and are integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

November 15, 2020

Determinants of the Intention of Social Aid Beneficiaries to Use Banking Self-Service Technology (SST)

Faizatul Hiqmah*

¹Management Study Program, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Perbanas Surabaya Jl.Nginden Semolo No 34-36, Surabaya 60118, Indonesia faizatul@perbanas.ac.id

Abstract

This study aims to examine the intention of social aid beneficiaries to use banking self-service technology (SST). In general, the social aid beneficiaries have limitations in accessing banking technologies. Therefore, research on the determinants of the intention of social aid beneficiaries in using banking SST is considered necessary. The independent variables used in this study are attitude, coercive pressure, normative pressure, mimetic pressure, and perceived costs, while the dependent variable is the intention to use banking SST. Respondents in this study are social aid beneficiaries who have used banking services. The research hypotheses are tested using PLS SEM analysis with WarpPLS 7. The results of this study indicate that attitude, normative pressure, mimetic pressure, and perceived costs have a significant positive effect on the intention to use banking SST, while coercive pressure has a significant negative effect on the intention to use banking SST.

Keywords: Self-Service Technology (SST); banking; social aid beneficiaries; social contagion

INTRODUCTION

Technology adoption has become a major concern for several industries, including banking, due to the fact that even though the technology is increasingly advanced and the public access to the technology is getting higher, the uptake of banking technology adoption has grown quite low (Chaouali & Hedhli, 2018). At the global level, only about 15% of bank customers use mobile devices to manage banking-related transactions, comprising 17% in North America, 22% in Latin America, 16% in Europe, 2% in Asian newly developed countries, 24% in Asian developed countries, and 11% in the Middle East and North Africa (Kantar TNS, 2016).

Banking industry is one of the leading sectors in offering self-service technology (SST). In particular, the development of SST in the banking world includes the use of

service-based technology, such as ATM machines, online banking, and mobile banking. Previous research related to the adoption of banking self-service technology (SST) tended to use behavioral perspective (Curran and Meuter, 2007; Shi et al., 2008) and trust perspective (Bock et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2013). Meanwhile, the use of social perspective in seeing the adoption of SST is still rare and fragmented (Chaouali & Hedhli 2018).

This study tries to integrate several models, such as attitude perspective, social perspective, perceived costs, and social interaction perspective in the adoption of SST. In particular, this study discusses the intention of social aid beneficiaries to use banking SST, under the title "Determinants of the intention of social aid beneficiaries to use banking self-service technology (SST)".

Theoretical Basis

Attitude

In general, attitude is defined as a psychological tendency which is expressed by evaluating certain entities with the degree of "like or dislike" (Eagly and Chaiken, 1993, p. 1). In accordance with the context conducted in this study, attitudes towards SST refer to the social aid beneficiaries' degree of "like or dislike" in using SST. Many studies in social psychology and marketing support the role of attitude in predicting the intention to behave (Fishbein and Ajzen, 1975; Ha and Stoel, 2009; Muk and Chung, 2015; Robinson et al., 2005). In addition, the relationship between attitudes and desires to adopt is also found in other areas of research (Curran and Meuter, 2007; Schierz et al., 2010).

Several studies suggest that attitudes emerge and influence behavior in a sequential process (Bagozzi, 1993). Related to the formation of attitudes and changes, some literature states that there is a transfer of attitudes from one entity to another. For example, research on

advertising shows that attitude towards advertising influence attitude towards brands (MacKenzie et al., 1986; Mitchell and Olson, 1981; Shimp, 1981).

According to Xie et al (2008), adoption is attached to attitude as one of its formers. Related to research on the adoption of new banking channels, Curran and Meuter (2007) developed a model which states that the behavior of adopting more recent channels, by those who have used SST channels before, influences the desire of new users to adopt the SST behavior. Klein and Dawar (2004) stated that the "halo effect" is very likely to occur when there is a positive evaluation of one technology channel that will affect other technology channels.

Previous research mentions the existence of channeling attitudes in a multi-channel context. Albesa (2007) conducted a study that explores the factors that influence a person's choice of banking channels. The study found that knowledge and views of channel convenience (ATM and online) strongly influence preferences for using traditional channels. A qualitative study conducted by Bobbit and Dabholkar (2001) proposed a concept that investigates how attitudes in using technology in general and attitudes towards SST channels shape attitudes in using certain SSTs. In contrast, Curran and Meuter (2007) found that attitudes towards certain bank channels determine consumer attitudes towards banks and SST as a whole, which have an impact on perceived enjoyment, utility and social acceptance. The results of research conducred by Flavian et al (2006) show that socio-demographic characteristics and perceptions of traditional bank channels affect the sustainability of online banking use. This indicates that consumers may have intention to adopt more advanced technology-based services. In accordance with the explanation above, the first hypothesis is formulated as follows:

H1: Attitude has a direct effect on the intention to adopt SST

Coercive Pressure

Coercive pressure refers to changes in individual behavior caused by the orders of stronger social actors (Grob and Benn, 2014). Communities or individuals have no other choice but to demonstrate the behavior desired by entities with more power (Shi et al., 2008). For example, banks or government agencies require people to use certain channels (mobile banking) to carry out certain activities, such as electricity payments. Therefore, someone tends to adopt a new technology as a form of obedience to entities that have more power. In this regard, the second hypothesis is formulated as follows:

H2: Coercive pressure has a direct effect on the intention to adopt SST

Normative Pressure

Normative pressure refers to changes in a person's behavior as a result of his unconscious desire to conform to social norms when certain behavior becomes behavior that is considered normal or popular among relatives or society (Sherer et al., 2016). Meanwhile, people who do not follow this behavior will experience frustration and discomfort because they are considered to be left behind by people who have adopted this new behavior (Shi et al., 2008). Most consumers are motivated by the desire to conform to the standards of behavior shown by the wider community (Sherer et al., 2016). Therefore, people generally adopt a new technology to adapt to their environment. So, the third hypothesis is formulated as follows:

H3: Normative pressure has a direct effect on the intention to adopt SST

Mimetic Pressure

Mimetic pressure refers to actions taken voluntarily and consciously to mimic the same behavior shown by those who are considered more successful and have higher status (Shi et al., 2008, p.276). The mimetic effect in the context of the adoption of innovation is a catalyst or link for the non-adopter community to believe more that the action must be done because someone else has done it (Teo et al., 20013, p.22). Research states that people have a tendency to mimic the behavior of other individuals of higher status who have adopted previous technology as a way to express themselves and improve their self-image (Walden and Browne, 2009, p. 34). People often believe that if they adopt a technology, other people will like them or they will be able to interact with other individuals (Walden and Browne, 2009, p. 34). Therefore, individuals who are driven by mimetic pressure will adopt SST when they see that those who are popular, admired, and respected are also adopting the technology (Chaouali and Hedhli, 2018). Based on the explanation above, the fourth hypothesis is formulated as follows:

H4: Mimetic pressure has a direct effect on the intention to adopt SST

Perceived Costs

One of the barriers to using new technology is the cost of obtaining the technology and for its use (Hanfizadeh, 2014). Previous research indicated that the perceived cost would be a major barrier to the adoption of certain SST channels (Dahlberg et al., 2008; Kleijnen et al., 2004). Other studies have found that there is a negative relationship between perceived costs and the intention to use m-banking (Wessels and Drennan, 2010), where the more the cost of using new technology, the lower the intention to use it. In accordance with the description above, the fifth hypothesis is formulated as follows:

H5: Perceived cost has a direct influence on the intention to use SST

RESEARCH METHOD

Conceptual Framework

Based on the hypotheses presented above, the conceptual framework is set as follows:



Figure 1 Research Framework

As seen in the conceptual model above, the variables in this study consist of 5 (five) independent variables (attitude, coercive pressure, normative pressure, mimetic pressure and perceived costs) and 1 (one) dependent variable (the intention to use SST). Each variable is measured by several items adapted from previous research. The items in this questionnaire are measured using a 5 Likert Scale ranging from Strongly Disagree (1) to Strongly Agree (5).

Operational Definition of Variables

Measurements of attitude, coercive pressure, mimetic pressure, normative pressure, and the intention to use SST channels are adapted from research conducted by Chaouali and Hedhli (2018), while the measurement of perceived costs is adapted from research conducted by Hanafizadeh (2014). The operational definition and questionnaire statement for the items developed are structured as follows:

Na	Variable	-	Ouestionnaire	1	
No	variable	Operational Definition	Questionnaire Statement	Item	Source
1	Attitudes towards banking transactions	Evaluation (like or dislike) of banking transactions carried out	In my opinion, aid distribution through banking transactions is very pleasant	ATT1 ATT2	Chaouali & Hedhli (2018)
			I like doing banking transactions	AIIZ	
2	Coercive pressure	The need to use banking services by more powerful social actors	Many of my financial matters require the use of banking transactions	COP1	Chaouali & Hedhli (2018)
			Many financial transactions can only be done through banking transactions	COP2	
			My financial interactions with work and my colleagues have forced me to do banking transactions	COP3	
3	Normative pressure	Unconscious desire to adapt to an environment that shows the use of banking transactions	I see many people who do banking transactions Many people in my surroundings do banking transactions I can easily see the use of banking	NOP1 NOP2 NOP3	Chaouali & Hedhli (2018)
			transactions in my social environment		
4	Mimetic pressure	Voluntary action to conduct banking transactions as done by people who are	People around me who do banking transactions are more authoritative than those who do not do banking transactions	MIP1	Chaouali & Hedhli (2018)
		considered to have a higher social strata	The use of banking transactions is a measure of social status in my community	MIP2	
5	Perceived costs	Perceived costs that need to be incurred to	Doing banking transactions requires a lot of fees	PC1	Hanafizad eh et al (2012)

Table 1Operational Definitions and Questionnaire Statements

		conduct banking transactions	I feel that the fees I have to pay for banking transactions are quite high	PC2	
7	The intention to use banking services	The intention to use banking SST	I think I will use banking transactions for my financial affairs in addition to withdrawing social assistance	INT1	Chaouali & Hedhli (2018)

Source: Chaouali and Hedhli (2018), Hanafizadeh et al (2012)

Data Collection

Data collection in the study is carried out by conducting direct surveys by distributing questionnaires to respondents. The respondents in this study are social aid beneficiaries who have used banking services. Of the 220 questionnaires distributed, only 200 questionnaires can be used for further hypothesis testing. The questionnaire distribution process was carried out from January 2019 to April 2019. Respondents in this study are classified according to age, latest education, length of time receiving social assistance, and the type of banking services used, as presented in the following table:

	Characteristics of Respondents					
Size		Item Number		%		
Gender		Female	200	100		
		Male	0	0		
Age		20-38 years	27			
		39-55 years	132	66		
		> 55 years	14	7		
Education		Never attended school 17		8		
		Elementary school	105	52		
		Junior high school	51	26		
		Senior high school	25	13		
		Associate's degree	2	1		
Length of time		<1 year	14	7		
receiving social		1-2 years	11	5		
assistance		>2 years	175	88		

Table 2
Characteristics of Respondents

Length of time using	<1 year	14	7
banking services	1-2 years	8	4
	>2 years	178	89

Source: Research results (2019)

Statistical Analysis

This study uses the Partial Least Square (PLS-SEM) method to test the proposed models. PLS-SEM has attracted the attention of researchers because its latent variable modeling technique is able to accommodate various dependent constructs and contains clear error sizes (Karim, 2009). The latest evidence also shows that PLS SEM is a fairly powerful method in estimating models and is considered more capable of constructing validity than CBS-SEM (Afthanorhan, 2013; Hair et al., 2014).

Model Measurement

Model evaluation in SEM-PLS is carried out using the WarpPLS 7.0 program by testing the outer model and the inner model. Evaluation of the measurement model or outer model is carried out to define the relationship between latent variables and their forming indicators. Tests conducted to evaluate the outer model are convergent validity, discriminant validity, and reliability tests.

Convergent Validity Test

The convergent validity test is used to ensure that the items used in the questionnaire effectively reflect the factors in question (Zhou, 2013). This test shows the degree to which a factor is positively correlated with other factors for the same construct (Hair et al., 2014). In SEM, convergent validity can be seen through the loading value, composite reliability (CR) value, and average variance extracted (AVE) value. In addition, the loading value of each item must also be high and statistically significant to be able to measure the construct in question. The loading value of each item is at least 0.5, while the AVE and CR values should

not be below 0.5 and 0.7 respectively. Therefore, items or indicators that have a value below 0.5 will be eliminated while those with a value above 0.5 will be maintained to obtain the desired AVE and composite reliability values in this study (Hayduk and Littavy, 2012).

Reliability test is done by looking at the composite reliability value. Composite reliability is considered to be more able to explain constructs in a reflective model than Cronbach alpha (Garson, 2016, p. 63). Cronbach alpha reliability is considered to have an over or under-estimate reliability scale (Garson, 2016, p. 3). In this regard, the composite reliability value is considered more capable of presenting a higher estimate of the reliability of measuring instruments (Garson, 2016, p. 3). For an exploratory research model, the composite reliability value must be > 0.6 (Chin, 1998; Hock and Ringle, 2006: 15). Meanwhile, for the confirmatory model, the composite reliability value is > 0.7 (Hanseler, Ringle, and Sarstedt, 2012: 269).

The results of the convergent validity test, as part of the outer model test consisting of the loading value, composite reliability value, and AVE value, are presented in Table 3 below.

	Outer Mo	odel Test Resu	lts	
Factor	Item	Loading	CR	AVE
Attitude	ATT1	0.897	0.892	0.805
	ATT2	0,897		
Coercive Pressure (COP)	COP1	0.929	0.905	0.762
	COP2	0.904		
	COP3	0.778		
Normative Pressure (NOP)	NOP1	0.913	0.941	0.841
	NOP2	0.924		
	NOP3	0.914		
Mimetic Pressure (MIP)	MIP1	0.785	0.763	0.617
	MIP2	0.785		
Perceived Costs (PC)	PC1	0.892	0.886	0.796
	PC2	0.892		
Intention to use (INT)	INT1	0.789	0.767	0.622
	INT2	0.789		

Table 3 Outer Model Test Results

Source: Research results (2019)

From the results of the Outer Model Test in Table 3 above, it can be seen that the loading value shown by each construct is > 0.5, the composite reliability value shown by each variable is > 0.7, and the AVE value is also > 0.5. It can be concluded that the constructs used in this study are reliable.

Discriminant Validity Test

Discriminant validity indicates whether the tested factors are statistically different. This test shows the degree to which one construct differs from another based on the empirical measure (Hair et al., 2014). Therefore, the items of a certain construct must have variation among them, exceeding variation with other variables. The discriminant validity test can be done using the root comparison of the average variance extracted (AVE) with the correlation between variables. The AVE construct value should be higher than the correlation between latent variables (Kock, 2018). The calculation result of WarpPLS 7.0 shows that the root value of AVE for the same variables is higher than the root value of AVE for different variables. This shows that the criteria for the discriminant validity test have been met. As shown in Table 4 below, the AVE construct value is higher than the correlation between other latent variables, so that the discriminant validity test in this study is declared fulfilled.

Discriminant valuity rest Results						
Variables	ATT	COP	NOP	MIP	PC	INT
ATT	(0.897)	0.115	0.082	-0.205	0.061	0.059
COP	0.115	(0.873)	0.459	-0.510	0.128	-0.178
NOP	0.082	0.459	(0.917)	-0.527	0.188	0.102
MIP	-0.205	-0.510	-0.527	(0.785)	-0.196	0.112
PC	0.061	0.128	0.188	-0.196	(0.892)	0.063
INT	0.059	-0.178	0.102	0.112	0.063	(0.789)

 Table 4

 Discriminant Validity Test Results

Source: Research results (2019)

Structural Model (Inner Model) and Hypothesis Testing

The structural model test is carried out by conducting path analysis using the WarpPLS 7.0 software. The path analysis model is described as follows:





The research hypotheses are tested using WarpPLS 7.0. The predictive relevance test of the model is done by looking at the R2 value. R2 is used to determine the degree of variance of endogenous variables explained by exogenous variables (Chin, 1998). The R2 value for the intention to use self-service technology (SST) in this study is indicated by a value of 0.44 which indicates that the research model is able to provide a reasoned explanation to explain the behavior of the social aid beneficiaries.

To evaluate the structural relationship between latent variables, hypothesis testing must be carried out on the path coefficient between the variables by comparing the p-value with 0.05. The amount of p-value is obtained at the output of WarpPLS 7.0. Testing all hypotheses will be analyzed based on the results obtained from data processing as shown in the following table:

	L		I est Results	
Hypotheses	Paths	Path	P Values	Conclusion
		Coefficient		
H1	ATT→ INT	0.262	< 0.001	H1 is accepted
H2	$\text{COP} \rightarrow \text{INT}$	-0.366	< 0.001	H2 is accepted
H3	$NOP \rightarrow INT$	0.125	0.036	H3 is accepted
H4	$\mathrm{MIP} \rightarrow \mathrm{INT}$	0.184	0.004	H4 is accepted
H5	$PC \rightarrow INT$	0.226	< 0.001	H5 is accepted
D	1 1 (2010)			

Table 5Path Coefficient Test Results

Source: Research results (2019)

Figure 2 and Table 5 show the results of the structural model test. Specifically, the results obtained show that (1) attitude (b = 0.262, p <0.001) has a significant positive effect on the intention to use SST, (2) coercive pressure (b = -0.366, p = < 0.001) has a significant negative effect on the intention to use SST, (3) normative pressure (b = 0.125, p = 0.036) has a significant positive effect on the intention to use SST, (4) mimetic pressure (b = 0.184, p = 0.004) has a significant positive effect on the intention to use SST, and (5) perceived cost (b = 0.226, p <0.001) has a significant positive effect on the intention to use SST. These results indicate that H1, H2, H3, H4, and H5 are confirmed to be accepted.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The main objective of this study is to conduct a critical test of the factors that influence the intention of social aid beneficiaries to use self-service technology (SST) further. In particular, this study looks at what factors that influence the intention of social aid beneficiaries to use banking services other than account ownership and use of debit cards for the aid withdrawal. The results of this study indicate that all the variables tested have a direct influence on the intention to use SST. The results of previous research conducted by Chaouali and Hedhli (2018) show that attitude, trust, and social contagion (consisting of coercive pressure, normative pressure, and mimetic pressure) have a significant positive influence on the intention to use technologybased banking services. This study also uses the same variable model to test whether attitude and social contagion experienced by social aid beneficiaries also have an influence on the intention to use banking services.

The results of this study indicate that the social aid beneficiaries' attitude toward banking services has a significant positive effect on the intention to use banking services (H1). This finding is in line with the results of research conducted by Chaouali (2018) that attitudes towards ATMs are able to predict the intention to adopt the intention to use online banking. The findings in this study also confirm that attitudes towards banking services are able to predict the intention to use other banking services (Chaouali, 2018).

Social contagion is a study which states that the individual's intention to behave is also driven by social forces consisting of coercive pressure, normative pressure, and mimetic pressure. Social contagion theory states that individuals adopt something new (products, services, technology, etc.) either compulsively because they have to adjust to the regulations of those who are considered powerful (for example: service providers, suppliers, and public authorities) or voluntarily through learning and positive reinforcement (Walden and Browne, 2009).

The results of this study indicate that coercive pressure has a significant negative effect on the intention to use banking services (H2), normative pressure has a significant positive effect on the intention to use banking services (H3), and mimetic pressure has a significant positive effect on the intention to use banking services (H4).

The first dimension of social contagion in this study is coercive pressure. Coercive pressure has a significant negative effect on the intention to use banking services (H2). The

higher the coercive pressure, the lower the intention to use banking services. The results of this study contradict the results of research conducted by Chaouali and Hedhli that coercive pressure has a significant positive effect on the intention to adopt banking self-services technology. This difference in findings is caused by the difference in research objects. In the research conducted by Chaouali and Hedhli (2018), the research objects are the people who have been familiar with banking services, although they still consider that mobile banking technology is something new, while in this study, the research objects are the social aid beneficiaries who psychographically are the middle to lower class society, or when viewed from their work, it does not require them to use banking services. The majority of respondents in this study only use banking services for the purpose of obtaining social assistance.

The second dimension of social contagion in this study is normative pressure. Normative pressure has a significant positive effect on the intention to use banking services (H3). This means that the higher the normative pressure in society, the higher the impact on the intention of social aid beneficiaries to use banking services. This finding is in accordance with the results of research conducted by Chaouali and Hedhli (2018) that normative pressure has a significant positive effect on the intention to use banking services.

The last dimension of social contagion in this study is mimetic pressure. The test result conducted by using WarpPLS shows that mimetic pressure has a significant positive effect on the intention to use banking services (H4). The result of this study is in accordance with the results of research conducted by Chaouali and Hedhli (2018) that mimetic pressure has a significant positive effect on the intention to use mobile banking services. The results obtained from the tests conducted show that the higher the value of mimetic pressure, the higher the intention to use banking services. The mimetic pressure itself in this study is expressed by the perceived social status a person gets when using banking services.

The variable of perceived costs has a significant positive effect on the intention to use banking services (H5). This means that the higher the perceived costs that must be incurred for conducting banking transactions, the higher the intention to use banking services. This is because the social aid beneficiaries think that the use of banking services does require fees, and when they have the funds, they will be willing to spend it for better banking services. However, this finding is not in accordance with the results of research conducted by Hanafizadeh et al (2012) that the higher the perceived costs involved in obtaining the latest technology, the lower the intention to use banking technology.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study indicate that attitude, normative pressure, mimetic pressure, and perceived costs have a significant positive effect on the social aid beneficiaries' intention to use banking SST, while coercive pressure has a significant negative effect on the social aid beneficiaries' intention to use banking SST. This shows that people receiving social assistance, regardless of their economic conditions, have a tendency to adopt banking service technology further. The social aid beneficiaries generally only use banking services in the form of accounts and ATMs that are withdrawn through stalls designated by the authority to carry out banking activities, in this case the withdrawal of social assistance. The results of this study indicate that the social aid beneficiaries have an intention to use other banking service technologies, apart from those they usually use to take social assistance, due to the driving factors, such as their attitude towards banking services, coercive pressure, normative pressure, mimetic pressure, as well as perceived costs they have to spend to carry out banking activities.

Theoretical Implications

This study has a significant theoretical contribution because the research subjects are the social aid beneficiaries who have rarely been studied before. The social aid beneficiaries are a fairly large group of people and have become the main target of a country's financial inclusion targets. In addition, the results of the variables tested in this study indicate that there are differences in behavior between people who are familiar with banking services and people who only use banking services as a means of obtaining social assistance only.

Practical Implications

The findings in this study have several practical implications that can be used as reference for the government, financial services authorities, and banks in particular. This study reveals several factors that have an important influence on the intention of the social aid beneficiaries to use banking services further. The results of this study can be used by the competent authorities to support decision-making, especially to encourage wider banking and financial access for the whole Indonesian people.

Research Limitations

Despite optimal efforts, there are still limitations in this study, such as the limitation of the area which only covers the research area, so that the results of this study cannot be generalized in a broader scope. In addition, it is necessary to explore the use of other variables in the model, other than those used in this research, so that it can enrich the study of the intention of the social aid beneficiaries to further use technology-based banking services.

FUNDING

This work was fully supported by Research and Community Services of STIE Perbanas Surabaya.

REFERENCES

- Afthanorhan WM. A comparison of partial least square structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) and covariance based structural equation modeling (CB-SEM) for confirmatory factor analysis. International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology. 2013 Sep;2(5):198-205.
- Albesa, J.G. (2007), "Interaction channel choice in a multichannel environment, an empirical study", International Journal of Bank Marketing, Vol. 25 No. 7, pp. 490-506.
- Bagozzi, R.P. (1993), "On the neglect of volition in consumer research: a critique and proposal", Psychology & Marketing, Vol. 10 No. 3, pp. 215-237.
- Bobbitt, L.M. and Dabholkar, P.A. (2001), "Integrating attitudinal theories to understand and predict use of technology-based self-service", International Journal of Service Industry Management, Vol. 12 No. 5, pp. 423-450.
- Chin WW. The partial least squares approach to structural equation modeling. Modern methods for business research. 1998 Jan 1;295(2):295-336.
- Chaouali W, El Hedhli K. (2019). Toward a contagion-based model of mobile banking adoption. International Journal of Bank Marketing.
- Curran JM, Meuter ML. (2007). Encouraging existing customers to switch to self-service technologies: put a little fun in their lives. Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice. Oct 1;15(4):283-98.
- Dahlberg, T., Mallat, N., Ondrus, J., Zmijewska, A., 2008. Past, present and future of mobile payments research: a literature review. Electronic Commerce Research and Applications 7 (2), 165–181.
- Eagly, A.A. and Chaiken, S. (1993), The Psychology of Attitudes, Harcourt Brace, Fort Worth, TX.
- Fishbein, M. and Ajzen, I. (1975), Belief, Attitude, Intention and Behavior: An Introduction to Theory and Research, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA.
- Flavián, C., Guinalíu, M. and Torres, E. (2006), "How bricks-and-mortar attributes affect online banking adoption", International Journal of Bank Marketing, Vol. 24 No. 6, pp. 406-423.
- Garson, G.D., 2016. Partial least square: Regression & structural equation models. Asheboro, USA: Statistical Publishing Associates
- Grob, S. and Benn, S. (2014), "Conceptualising the adoption of sustainable procurement: an institutional theory perspective", Australasian Journal of Environmental Management, Vol. 21 No. 1, pp. 11-21.
- Ha, S. and Stoel, L. (2009), "Consumer e-shopping acceptance: antecedents in a technology acceptance model", Journal of Business Research, Vol. 62 No. 5, pp. 565-571.
- Hair Jr JF, Sarstedt M, Hopkins L, Kuppelwieser VG. Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM). European business review. 2014 Mar 4.
- Hanafizadeh P, Behboudi M, Koshksaray AA, Tabar MJ. Mobile-banking adoption by Iranian bank clients. Telematics and Informatics. 2014 Feb 1;31(1):62-78.
- Hayduk LA, Littvay L. Should researchers use single indicators, best indicators, or multiple indicators in structural equation models?. BMC medical research methodology. 2012 Dec;12(1):1-7.

- Henseler J, Ringle CM, Sarstedt M. Using partial least squares path modeling in advertising research: basic concepts and recent issues. InHandbook of research on international advertising 2012 Feb 29. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Hock, C. and Ringle, C. M. (2006). Management of multi-purpose stadiums: Importance and performance measurement of service interfaces. International Journal of Services Technology and Management, 14(2), 188-207
- Kantar TNS (2016), "Money goes mobile", <u>www.tnsglobal.com/sites/default/files/</u> whitepaper/tns-mobile-life-money-goes-mobile.html (accessed on, January 20, 2019).
- Karim NS, Razi MJ, Mohamed N. Measuring employee readiness for knowledge management using intention to be involved with KM SECI processes. Business Process Management Journal. 2012 Sep 7.
- Kuan, H.-H. and Bock, G.-W. (2007), "Trust transference in brick and click retailers: an investigation of the before-online-visit phase", Information & Management, Vol. 44 No. 2, pp. 175-187
- Klein, J. and Dawar, N. (2004), "Corporate social responsibility and consumers' attributions and brand evaluations in a product–harm crisis", International Journal of Research in Marketing, Vol. 21 No. 3, pp. 203-217.
- Kleijnen, M., de Ruyter, K., Wetzels, M., 2004. Consumer adoption of wireless services: discovering the rules, while playing the game. Journal of Interactive Marketing 18 (2), 51–61.
- Kock N, Hadaya P. Minimum sample size estimation in PLS-SEM: The inverse square root and gamma-exponential methods. Information Systems Journal. 2018 Jan;28(1):227-61.
- MacKenzie, S.B., Lutz, R.J. and Belch, G.E. (1986), "The role of attitude toward the Ad as a mediator of advertising effectiveness: a test of competing explanations", Journal of Marketing Research, Vol. 23 No. 2, pp. 130-143.
- Mitchell, A.A. and Olson, J.C. (1981), "Are product attribute beliefs the only mediator of advertising effects on brand attitude?", Journal of Marketing Research, Vol. 18 No. 3, pp. 318-332.
- Muk, A. and Chung, C. (2015), "Applying the technology acceptance model in a two-country study of SMS advertising", Journal of Business Research, Vol. 68 No. 1, pp. 1-6.
- Robinson, L., Marshall, G.W. and Stamps, M.B. (2005), "Sales force use of technology: antecedents to technology acceptance", Journal of Business Research, Vol. 58 No. 12, pp. 1623-1631.
- Schierz, P.G., Schilke, O. and Wirtz, B.W. (2010), "Understanding consumer acceptance of mobile payment services: an empirical analysis", Electronic Commerce Research and Applications, Vol.9 No. 3, pp. 209-216.
- Sherer, S.A., Meyerhoefer, C.D. and Peng, L. (2016), "Applying institutional theory to the adoption of electronic health records in the US", Information & Management, Vol. 53 No. 5, pp. 570-580.
- Shi, W., Shambare, N. and Wang, J. (2008), "The adoption of internet banking: an institutional theory perspective", Journal of Financial Services Marketing, Vol. 12 No. 4, pp. 272-286.
- Shimp, T.A. (1981), "Attitude toward the ad as a mediator of consumer brand choice", Journal of Advertising, Vol. 10 No. 2, pp. 9-48.

- Teo, H.H., Wei, K.K. and Benbasat, I. (2003), "Predicting intention to adopt interorganizational linkages: an institutional perspective", MIS Quarterly, Vol. 27 No. 1, pp. 19-49.
- Wang, N., Shen, X.-L. and Sun, Y. (2013), "Transition of electronic word-of-mouth services from web to mobile context: a trust transfer perspective", Decision Support Systems, Vol. 54 No. 3, pp. 1394-1403.
- Walden, E.A. and Browne, G.J. (2009), "Sequential adoption theory: a theory for understanding herding behavior in early adoption of novel technologies", Journal of the Association for Information Systems, Vol. 10 No. 1, pp. 31-62.
- Wessels, L., Drennan, J., 2010. An investigation of consumer acceptance of M-banking. International Journal of Bank Marketing 28 (7), 547–568.
- Xie, C., Bagozzi, R.P. and Troye, S.V. (2008), "Trying to prosume: toward a theory of consumers as cocreators of value", Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, Vol. 36 No. 1, pp. 109-122.
- Zhou T. An empirical examination of continuance intention of mobile payment services. Decision support systems. 2013 Jan 1;54(2):1085-91.