Analysis of the traffic behavior of emergency vehicles in a microscopic traffic simulation
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Abstract

This paper presents how emergency vehicles can be modeled and simulated in the microscopic traffic simulation SUMO (Simulation of Urban MObility). The special rights of emergency vehicles are implemented in the SUMO framework and can be switched off and on in the simulation with a blue light device. The surrounding traffic reacts accordingly to the emergency vehicle and form an emergency lane. In addition real world data from emergency vehicles are used to evaluate the driving behavior of emergency vehicles and compare it to real world and simulated vehicle characteristics. The evaluation results show that the simulated vehicles pass an intersection generally faster than in real world. For emergency vehicle a time saving of in average one second at a single intersection could be measured for right turning vehicles.

1 Introduction

Driving an emergency vehicle is a difficult task. On the one hand side, the emergency vehicle needs to reach its destination as quick as possible. On the other hand side, drivers need to take care to avoid any conflicting situations and crashes to reach the destination safely. Unfortunately, emergency vehicles are more likely to be involved in a car accident than other traffic participants. While driving with blue flashing light and siren the likelihood of being involved in a fatal crash is 4 times higher compare to normal vehicles [18].

To evaluate support strategies for emergency vehicles it can help to test and evaluate these strategies in a traffic simulation before implementing them in a real world scenario. At the moment there is only little research published about traffic simulations and models of emergency vehicles. Common microscopic traffic simulation frameworks are modeling the normal traffic behavior of personal vehicles with some limitations and extensions. This means that normally no traffic conflicts like traffic accidents are explicitly modeled. The used models try to add parameters to the model to make it more realistic in comparison to human drivers. This includes reaction times and the imperfection of the human drivers. As a matter of fact, in simulations the drivers often obey all traffic and road restrictions even if human drivers are often speeding or reacting dynamically to unclear traffic situations. Therefore, the modeling of emergency vehicles need also such modifications of the traffic model to allow the vehicles to break common road rules.
An implementation of an emergency vehicle model in CORSIM was presented in [23]. It includes a specific driving behavior algorithm at intersections e.g. the emergency vehicles can violate a red traffic light if other traffic participants are cooperating. The cooperation depends on a random factor if the drivers become aware of the emergency vehicle and how aggressive the drivers are. Furthermore, the emergency vehicles are free to turn in every direction at intersections and are allowed to drive at the opposite side of a road. The opposing driving is realized with a non-existing lane which can only be used by the emergency vehicle.

Another model of emergency vehicles was evaluated and implemented in [21]. The traffic dynamics of vehicles in SUMO were adapted to the traffic behavior of emergency vehicles via a vehicular communication application. The application includes functions to ignore a red traffic light and to force other traffic participants to change the lane to make way for the emergency vehicle.

In addition there are several research results available about the routing and prioritization of emergency vehicles without a proper simulation model of emergency vehicles. Sometimes the reason is the lack of such a simulation model [21] and sometimes the reason is that emergency vehicles have a higher risk being involved in a car crash when they are using their special rights. Therefore, the emergency vehicle support should clear the way so that the emergency vehicle do not have to use their special rights [1].

This paper is structured as follows: first a brief introduction of the used Car-following models is given. Second, the implementation of an emergency vehicle model in SUMO is presented. Third, the simulation scenario and the used traffic data for the evaluation of the vehicle model is stated. Next, the results of the evaluation are stated and discussed. Finally, a conclusion and an outlook about further works is given.

2 Traffic Simulations

Traffic simulations are often used to simulate complex traffic situation, to evaluate traffic management strategies, and to predict traffic behavior. This section is based on research which where published in [2] and is extended for this publication. There are already a large scale of traffic simulation frameworks available. For the simulation of the special driving behavior of emergency vehicles it is necessary to model each vehicle individually. Therefore, in this study only microscopic traffic models have been considered.

Many microscopic traffic simulation tools are based on car-following models, which are well studied in research [3]. They focus on the idea that the speed of a vehicle highly depends on the speed of the leading vehicle. The basic idea of the car-following theory is that the change in velocity $v$ of a vehicle $i$ depends on the velocity of the leading vehicle $i+1$ as well as the position difference (gap) and static parameters like the sensitivity or reaction time $\tau$. [10]

$$\frac{dv_i(t)}{dt} = f(v_{i+1}(t), x_{i+1}(t) - x_i(t), \tau, ...)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

2.1 Krauss Model

In the Krauss model each vehicle can have two different motion states:

1. Free motion: no leading vehicle limits the speed of the following vehicle. Its speed is bounded only to its maximum (depending on the speed limit and the drivers desired speed)
2. Interacting motion: the vehicle has to adapt the speed to the leading vehicle.

The model is without collisions, which means that no vehicle is driving faster than a safe speed $v_{safe}$. The safe velocity will be computed every time step using the following equation ([9]):

$$v_{safe}(t) = v_l(t) + \frac{g(t) - v_l(t)\tau}{b(v)} + \tau$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$t$: time step  
$v$: velocity  
$v_l(t)$: velocity of the leading vehicle in $t$  
g(t): gap between vehicle and leading vehicle $i$ in $t$  
$\tau$: reaction time of the driver (usually 1 second)  
b(v): deceleration function

In real life the acceleration of a vehicle depends on its physical ability and other effects (e.g. air resistance). To prevent that vehicles in the simulation are driving faster than possible, the desired speed $v_{des}$ is calculated. The desired speed of each vehicle $v_{des}$ is the minimum speed of the safe speed $v_{safe}$, the current speed plus the maximum acceleration $a_{max}$ and the maximum speed $v_{max}$ [9]:

$$v_{des}(t) = \min[v_{safe}(t), v(t) + a, v_{max}]$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

A value for the imperfection of the driver $\epsilon$ which is multiplied with the acceleration $a$ is subtracted from the desired speed $v_{des}$ [9]. For the velocity a random value is chosen (with the function rand) between: imperfect desired speed $v_{des}(t) - \epsilon a$ and the desired speed $v_{des}(t)$:

$$v(t) = \max[0, \text{rand}[v_{des}(t) - \epsilon a, v_{des}(t)]]$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

2.2 Intelligent Driver Model - IDM

The Intelligent Driver Model (IDM) is based on the Optimal Velocity Model (OVM) [17]:

$$\dot{v} = \frac{(v_{opt}(g) - v)}{\tau}$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

where: $\dot{v}$: acceleration function  
g: current gap to the leading vehicle  
v_{opt}(g): optimal velocity depends on gap $s$  
$\tau$: Time to adapt to the new speed

The speed of the leading vehicle is not taken into consideration by the OVM which reacts only to the distance of the leading vehicle. An extension of the OVM was modeled because it is very sensitive to accidents. The new model was the IDM which has the following equation for the acceleration [15, 16]:

$$\dot{v} = a \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{v}{v_{des}} \right)^5 - \left( \frac{g^*(v, \Delta v)}{g} \right)^2 \right]$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

where: $v$: current velocity  
v_{des}: desired velocity
The desired gap $s^*$ is calculated as follows:

$$g^*(v, \Delta v) = s_0 + \max\left[0, \left(v T + \frac{v \Delta v}{2 \sqrt{ab}}\right)\right]$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (7)

Every vehicle can have other values for the parameter of the model:
- $T$: the time headway (between 0.8 - 2 seconds)
- $g_0$: is the minimum gap (default: 2 meters)
- $a$: acceleration (between 1-2 m/s$^2$)
- $b$: deceleration (between 1-2 m/s$^2$)

### 2.3 Wiedemann

The Wiedemann Model is used in the commercial and well-known traffic simulation Vissim [12]. The basic approach of the model is a psycho-physical spacing model. If a faster vehicle is approaching a slower leading vehicle, it will start to decelerate until it reaches its individual threshold. The threshold is a function of speed difference and spacing. While human drivers are not very good in perceiving small speed differences and in keeping their speed very accurate, the model uses two different threshold for acceleration and deceleration [5]. A schematic figure of the thresholds and one example vehicle are depicted in Figure 1.

### 3 Emergency vehicle modeling

One focus of this paper is to implement the application functions of Weinert et. al. [21] and other special rights of emergency vehicles into the core simulation of SUMO. The described...
model are implemented in SUMO and can be used with a blue light device. A blue light device
can be given explicitly a vehicle or with a probability. With the blue light device the simulation
knows that the vehicle is allowed to use special rights. The device can be switched off again if
the vehicle is not on duty and the emergency vehicle should react like a normal vehicle.

In addition, a graphical shape for emergency vehicle has been implemented. With the vType-
attribute "guiShape" an emergency vehicle shape can be set for the vehicle. When setting the
attribute to "emergency" a white ambulance with the international first aid sign is displayed in
the graphical user interface of SUMO in the "real world" modus. Furthermore, a police car can
be chosen with the attribute "police" and a fire brigade with guiShape= "firebrigade". For a
better overview the parameter and the description for the emergency vehicle configuration are
listed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration File</th>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vType</td>
<td>guiShape</td>
<td>emergency</td>
<td>Emergency vehicle visualization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>vClass</td>
<td>emergency</td>
<td>Blue flashing light and special rights for driving on every lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vType</td>
<td>speedFactor</td>
<td>&gt; 1.0</td>
<td>Allows to drive faster than speed limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUMO config</td>
<td>device</td>
<td>vehicleID</td>
<td>Allows to use emergency lanes and violate red traffic lights etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>bluelight.explicit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Summary of the emergency vehicle configuration

There is also a vehicle Type implemented for emergency vehicles which is set by
vClass="emergency" in the vType definition. The vehicle class also triggers the blue flash-
ing light and the restrictions for special lanes.

In the following sub-sections different aspects of the traffic behavior of emergency vehicles
are described and how they have been implemented in SUMO:

### 3.1 Speeding

While private vehicles have to keep the local speed limits, emergency vehicle are allowed to
drive faster as long they are not jeopardize themselves or other traffic participants. This means
that even if emergency vehicles could drive with 200km/h in the city during the peak hour,
they are not allowed to because the traffic conditions are not safe to do it. Because of this
it makes sense that emergency vehicles should not be modeled in a way that they are always
driving with maximum possible speed. A more realistic way is to model a speed distribution
which allows exceeding the speed limit.

The exceeding of the speed limit is supported in SUMO via an attribute called speedFactor
in the vType definition. With a value of 1.5 the vehicles are allowed to drive with 150% of the
allowed road speed limit.

### 3.2 Using an emergency lane

When an emergency vehicle wants to use a virtual middle lane, other traffic participants have
to build an emergency lane before. For this a sub-lane model of the traffic network has to be
used. Otherwise, all traffic participants are always driving in the middle of the lane. For the
cooperative traffic behavior of other vehicles a reaction time when they react to an approaching emergency vehicle has to be estimated. Therefore, the evaluation results of the study of Golder [6] were used. Golder performed tests when the siren of an emergency vehicle could be perceived. In Figure 2 the sound pressure level of the siren is depicted. Golder also states that the sound pressure level at the location of the vehicle has to be higher than 100dB(A) if the radio inside the vehicle is switched on or people are talking with each other (this takes another 70dB(A)) and the vehicle body are normally so good isolated that they lower the sound pressure level again by 30dB(A). Thus, in average the vehicles should realize an approaching emergency vehicle within distance of 25 meters.

In the simulation all vehicles are reacting to the emergency vehicle and are forming an emergency lane by changing the sub-lanes. If the vehicle is on the left most lane it changes the sub-lanes to left and for all others to right. While the emergency vehicle is approaching all other vehicles are not allowed to use the emergency lane. After the emergency vehicle passed the vehicles they continue their normal driving. An example scenario of an emergency lane is illustrated in Figure 3.

### 3.3 Violating red traffic lights

The normal behavior of a vehicle in SUMO is reducing speed at an intersection and following the right-of-the-way rules or break at a red traffic light. With speed mode command in TraCI [20] (the interface for online interaction in SUMO) these safety checks and rules can be disregards. This also implies for an emergency vehicle with blue light device which can by default pass red traffic lights.

### 3.4 Overtaking

In SUMO emergency vehicles are always permitted to overtake on the right when the vehicle has the vClass attribute "emergency". In addition it is possible to allow overtaking at the opposing lane. But the combination of this with the sublane model is currently not working and should be implemented in further work.
4 Driving Behavior of Emergency Vehicles

To validate the emergency vehicle model an evaluation of the normal private traffic compared to real emergency vehicle drivers were done. Therefore a simulation scenario of a real world intersection was set up and simulated. The results of the simulation were compared to the real world trajectories from private cars and to the trajectories from real emergency vehicles. In the following the simulation scenario and the used traffic data are described.

4.1 Simulation scenario

For this study SUMO 0.32 was used [8] to simulate the intersection in Brunswick, see Figure 4a. The default car-following model of SUMO is the Krauss model [10, 11]. For comparison not only the default Krauss model was used in addition all simulations were also run with the IDM and Wiedemann model. The vehicle trajectories were exported as floating car data (FCD) in XML format.

4.2 Real World Traffic Data

For the real world traffic a highly frequented junction (>20,000 traffic participants per day) in Brunswick, Germany, has been selected. The trajectory data (space-time-curves) of human drivers have been recorded at this controlled intersection which includes two main roads. For the evaluation one hour from 23. January 2017 of this research intersection were used. The research intersection was set up for the test field AIM (Application Platform for Intelligent
Mobility) provides a research instrument to detect and assess the traffic behavior at a complex controlled and highly frequented intersection [7].

For the traffic monitoring several mono-cameras and multirange radar sensors are installed to detect and track traffic participants during their crossing of the intersection. Furthermore, the tracked trajectories include information about the different vehicle types (cars, motorcycles, trucks/vans, bicycles and pedestrians), their size, kinematic states (position, speed, acceleration) and headings. For more details see [7] and [14]. For the evaluation in this research the vehicle position and speeds extracted from the video cameras were used.

In addition to the camera data of all traffic participants at the single intersection, also trajectory data of emergency vehicle of the city of Brunswick were collected and analyzed for this research. The evaluation period includes the time from October 2013 until February 2018. The trajectories of the emergency vehicles at this intersection includes over 7,000 emergency
5 Results

For the evaluation in this research the trajectory data of private vehicles from real world cameras are compared with the emergency vehicle data and the simulated vehicles in SUMO. The simulation with SUMO was performed with three different car-following models: SUMO’s default car-following model Krauss, IDM and Wiedemann. A box whisker plot of the average speeds over the intersection can be seen in Figure 5.

As expected the average speed for right turning emergency vehicles is slightly higher (around 7km/h) than for private vehicles. The reason for this is probably that the speed of private vehicles while right turning are normally reduced by the interaction with other traffic participants especially pedestrians which are also crossing the intersection. In contrast to this, pedestrians are normally hearing an approaching emergency vehicle and are waiting at the pathway until the emergency vehicle passed the intersection.

Interestingly, all simulation models are over estimating the average speed the vehicle could pass an intersection. One reason for this is that there might be not enough pedestrians simulated to block the vehicles. Additionally, human drivers might drive more carefully to have more time to react to unforeseen events. The traffic simulation has full knowledge of all traffic participants and knows that no pedestrian is close, but in real world drivers have to stop or reduce their speed first to make sure that there is no other traffic participant in blind spot. Another factor is: vehicles in SUMO are not reducing their speed while driving curves in contrast to human drivers would do. This aspect can also be seen in evaluation results in Figure 5. The speed differences between human drivers and the simulation is much higher for right turning vehicles.

The passing times the vehicles needed to cross the intersection are stated in Figure 6. As expected, the results are similar to the evaluation of average speeds at the intersection. It can be seen that emergency vehicles are saving around one second while turning right at an intersection. But if the emergency vehicle just follow the main road the spreading of the data is much larger than for private vehicles. In addition, there are many outlines: some emergency vehicle needed over 60 seconds to cross the intersection while normal vehicles normally need less than 10 seconds. There could be different reasons for this:

- for example the emergency vehicles are blocked by other traffic participants. Only the trajectories of the vehicle which passed the stopping line have been evaluated here, because of the angle of the traffic camera. Therefore, it can be assumed that all vehicles detected by the traffic camera a driving while the traffic light is green. For the emergency vehicle this must not be necessary the case. Because of their special rights it could be that the emergency vehicle violates the red traffic light and has to stop because of other traffic participants block the intersection.

- Another reason could be that an accident at this intersection happened or the intersection was the destination of the emergency vehicle.
6 Conclusion and Future Work

In this research a model for emergency vehicles have been introduced. But the model still has some limitations and further research is necessary. As the evaluation results indicate, the simulated travel times over the intersection are in general faster than in real world. These results should be used to modify the current simulation models in SUMO to make them more realistic.

One general limitation of simulations is that they model the average traffic behavior and not necessarily the real human behavior of one individual traffic participant. For example modeling of the emergency lane formation presume that the surrounding traffic reacts accordingly to the approaching emergency vehicle. Lately, there have been many reports in the news about people who do not form an emergency lane properly or used the emergency lane for their own advantage [13, 19]. This misbehavior is not modeled here.
The presented paper gives an overview of an emergency vehicle model implemented in the simulation framework SUMO. The implemented model allows user to simulate their own traffic management strategies with emergency vehicles. The model still has some limitations and will be extended in further work. For example a realistic default value for speeding should be set automatically to the emergency vehicle model. In addition for the emergency vehicles the sub-lane model and the overtaking at the opposing lane function should be combined to allow both in the same simulation scenario. Furthermore, a proper calibration of the emergency vehicle model is planed.

The presented emergency vehicle model gives researchers a starting point to simulate and work on emergency vehicle models and the support for emergency vehicle drivers.
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