Patient-Specific “Safe Zones” Reduce the Rate of Dislocation after Total Hip Arthroplasty
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Abstract

INTRODUCTION: Most dislocations have been shown to occur within Lewinnek’s proposed safe zone (LSZ) for cup inclination (40°±10°) and anteversion (15°±10°). Using a functional and dynamic simulation that accounts for individual patient anatomy and variations in pelvic tilt, we created a patient-specific target orientation for the acetabular cup. The purposes of this study were to: (1) compare pre-operative acetabular cup parameters using this novel dynamic imaging sequence to the LSZ, and (2) describe rates of dislocation in patients whose pre-operative acetabular cup parameters were determined using dynamic imaging sequences.

METHODS: We retrospectively reviewed 1,500 consecutive, primary THAs that underwent dynamic sitting and standing pre-operative acetabular cup planning. Using these inputs, we modeled an optimal cup position for each patient. Inclination, anteversion, pelvic tilt, pelvic incidence, lumbar flexion angles, and rates of dislocation were analyzed.
RESULTS: Mean age of patients was 63 years. Mean pelvic tilt was 4.7° when supine, -0.3° when standing, and -0.7° when flex-seated. Mean pelvic incidence was 54° and mean lumbar flexion was 43°. Mean inclination was 40° and mean anteversion was 24°. Only 56% of the dynamically planned cups were within the LSZ (p<0.05). Mean inclination and anteversion difference between dynamic and LSZ was 1.3° and 8.9°, respectively. Only 0.5% of dynamically planned cups dislocated post-operatively, all were within LSZ.

DISCUSSION: Acetabular cup positioning in patient-specific safe zones reduces the rate of dislocation after THA. Historical target parameters for cup inclination and anteversion significantly differ to target values obtained with the use of functional imaging.

1 Introduction

The “safe zone” for acetabular inclination (40° ± 10°) and anteversion (15° ± 10°) in total hip arthroplasty (THA) was originally described by Lewinnek et al four decades ago, and has since been referred to as the “Lewinnek safe zone” (LSZ). Although the concept of LSZ is widely used in clinical practice, the majority of dislocations have been shown to occur within the proposed safe zone. Dislocations continue to be the most common cause of revision surgery within the first 2 years postoperatively. Attempts to elucidate the reason for postoperative dislocation have not been able to reproduce the original authors’ guide to predict hip stability and avoid mechanical complications.

Functional cup positioning describes the changes in cup position that occur with changes in posture. Cup inclination and anteversion measured in the coronal plane on standard pelvic x-rays (i.e. LSZ) and obtained at surgery do not represent the position of the cup during these functional activities. This is particularly true in patients with limited changes in the orientation of pelvic tilt, such as those with spinopelvic disease, which alters the ability to keep the cup in the “safe zone.”

Heckmann and colleagues described some form of spinopelvic imbalance in 90% of hip dislocations. Meanwhile, DelSole et al found that among spinal deformity patients who dislocated after THA, 80% had safe anteversion, 80% had safe inclination, and 60% had both parameters within the LSZ. As such, it can be inferred that dynamic functional cup positioning reliably accounts for variations in acetabular orientation during pelvic mobility, something that cannot be accurately described using static operative coronal positioning (LSZ).

Using a functional and dynamic simulation that accounts for individual patient anatomy and variations in pelvic tilt, we created a patient-specific target orientation for the acetabular cup. The purposes of this study were to: (1) compare pre-operative acetabular cup parameters using this novel dynamic imaging sequence to the Lewinnek safe zone, and (2) describe the rates of dislocation in patients whose pre-operative acetabular cup parameters were determined using this novel dynamic imaging sequence.

2 Methods

A retrospective review of 1,500 consecutive primary THAs at a large, urban, academic, tertiary care center was conducted. Included THA candidates underwent dynamic pre-operative acetabular cup planning utilizing pre-operative radiographic imaging.
2.1 Pre-operative Imaging

A few weeks prior to arthroplasty, each patient had three sagittal functional x-rays taken: supine, standing, and flex-seated. Additionally, all patients underwent a computerized tomography (CT) scan to capture the individual’s bony hip anatomy as well as soft tissue landmarks. Utilizing these functional images, parameters such as pelvic tilt, pelvic incidence, and lumbar flexion angles were measured to help define bony position at the limits of hip extension and flexion.

2.2 Determination of Optimal Cup Orientation

The results of the component templates were then input into a flexion/extension dynamic simulation that is guided by the aforementioned functional radiographic measurements. The hip joint reaction forces across the articulating surface throughout flexion and extension were then plotted for nine different acetabular cup orientations. These polar plots represent the cup orientation’s effect on contact mechanics across a patient-specific hip joint to calculate the targeted inclination and anteversion angles.

2.3 Data Analysis

Inclination and anteversion angles obtained through this targeted cup positioning system were compared to LSZ. Post-operative dislocations were recorded up to the patient’s latest follow-up.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

Data was collected, de-identified, and stored in Microsoft Excel Version 1710 (Microsoft, Redmond, Washington). All descriptive and inferential statistics were conducted using SPSS v23 (International Business Machines, Armonk NY) statistics software. Chi-square tests were performed to compare categorical variables and two-tailed Student’s t-tests were performed to compare means among continuous variables. All tests performed were 2-sides where a p-value <0.05 was deemed statistically significant.

3 Results

The mean age of patients was 63 years (range, 18 to 95). Pre-operative functional parameters and targeted cup orientation angles are depicted in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.
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Only 56% of the dynamically planned cups were within the LSZ (p<0.05, Figure 1). Mean inclination and anteversion differences between dynamic and LSZ are listed in Table 3. Only 0.5% of all implanted cups dislocated post-operatively. All dislocations were in acetabular cups positioned in the LSZ.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Pre-operative Functional Parameters using OPS™ Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pre-operative Parameter</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supine Pelvic Tilt (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Pelvic Tilt (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flex-Seated Pelvic Tilt (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic Incidence (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar Flexion (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ Supine-to-Stand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ Supine-to-Flex Seated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Targeted Parameters using OPS™ Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Targeted Parameter</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned Inclination Supine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned Anteversion Supine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned Inclination Referenced to APP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned Anteversion Referenced to APP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4 Discussion

Our study demonstrates that historical target parameters for cup inclination and anteversion significantly differ to target values obtained with the use of functional imaging. Only 56% of hips that underwent dynamic pre-operative acetabular cup planning were within the LSZ. Moreover, the dislocation rate of the total cohort was 0.5% when using patient-specific cup positioning, with all dislocations occurring in cups within the LSZ. As such, it appears that utilizing individual spinopelvic motion for more accurate placement of the acetabular component may further reduce the risk of dislocation, premature wear and squeaking of bearing surfaces, and improve functional outcomes.
Previous studies have attempted to improve the predictive efficacy of coronal safe zones. Originally, Elkins and colleagues proposed narrowing the coronal safe zone inclination and anteversion from $37^\circ$-$46^\circ$ and $12^\circ$-$22^\circ$, respectively. Tezuka et al reported that using this method resulted in fewer hips implanted into the narrow safe zone but no change in the number of hips in the functional safe zone. As a result, the authors believe that the size and shape of coronal safe zones are not predictive of safety. The large range of pre-operative acetabular cup parameters seen in our study further suggest that coronal safe zones do not correlate with improved stability.

Reize et al previously reported that 58% of their dislocations were within both cup inclination and anteversion safe zones. Esposito and colleagues described a dislocation rate of 2.1% in 7,040 patients with 57% of dislocated hips positioned in the LSZ. More recently, Tezuka et al used computer navigation to determine whether implanting cups within the LSZ resulted in cup placement within their defined functional safe zone. They found that 85.8% of acetabular cups implanted within the previously described LSZ were within the functional safe zone, meaning 14.2% of cups within the LSZ were not within the functional safe zone. Although the authors did not report on the proportion of patients who dislocated, their results provide insight as to why hips continue to dislocate despite having “normal” cup angles. Of the 1,500 THAs in our study, only 56% of dynamic pre-operatively planned acetabular cups were also within the LSZ, with a dislocation rate of only 0.5% for the total cohort. More important to consider is that all the dislocations occurred in cups that were within the LSZ.

Our study is not without limitations. The first limitation is that results were analyzed retrospectively and thus, may be subject to selection bias. However, all data was collected prospectively, and all measurements were performed according to a standardized protocol. Furthermore, all THAs were performed in a currently accepted surgical manner by board-certified, adult reconstruction fellowship-trained orthopaedic surgeons. The second limitation was that we did not attempt to describe the predictive value of acetabular cup position for risk the of dislocation. However, our study was not a predictive study of risk factors for dislocation. Our data elucidates the ability of functional imaging to reduce complications in THA, regardless of cup positioning within the LSZ.

In conclusion, our study demonstrates that patient-specific safe zones effectively reduce the rates of dislocation in THA, regardless of cup positioning within the LSZ. Previously described target parameters for cup inclination and anteversion significantly differ to target values obtained with the use of functional imaging. Understanding the individual spinopelvic motion for each patient allows for more accurate placement of the acetabular component, which may help to reduce complications and improve functional outcomes. THA surgeons should seek to transition away from historical target parameters (i.e. LSZ) for cup inclination and anteversion toward patient-specific functional safe zones. Future studies are needed to validate the utility of patient-specific safe zones in reducing instability.
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