Doppler radar data assimilation for mesoscale numerical rainfall prediction
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Abstract

Hydrological prediction needs high-resolution and accurate rainfall information, which can be provided by mesoscale Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) models. However, the predicted rainfall is not always satisfactory for hydrological use. The assimilation of Doppler radar observations is found to be an effective method through correcting the initial and lateral boundary conditions of the NWP model. The aim of this study is to explore an efficient way of Doppler radar data assimilation from different height layers for mesoscale numerical rainfall prediction. The Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model is applied to the Zijingguan catchment located in semi-humid and semi-arid area of Northern China. Three-dimensional variational data assimilation (3-DVar) technique is adopted to assimilate the Doppler radar data. Radar reflectivity and radial velocity are assimilated separately and jointly. Each type of radar data are divided into seven data sets according to the observation heights: (1) <500m; (2) <1000m; (3) <2000m; (4) 500~1000m; (5) 1000~2000m; (6) >2000m; (7) all heights. Results show that the assimilation of radar reflectivity leads to better results than radial velocity. The accuracy of the predicted rainfall deteriorates as the rise of the observation height of the assimilated radar data. Conclusions of this study provide a reference for efficient utilisation of the Doppler radar data in numerical rainfall prediction for hydrological use.

1 Introduction

Uncertainty is one of the most crucial problems in many natural sciences, especially in meteorology and hydrology, which makes the prediction of storms and floods worthy of study for meteorologists and hydrologists. NWP systems have been increasingly widely used for high resolution Quantitative Precipitation Forecast (QPF) due to the rapid development of computer
technology and atmospheric physics (Shrestha, Robertson, Wang, Pagano, & Hapuarachchi, 2013). Though NWP systems perform well for some meteorological elements, such as temperature, the low-quality initial conditions often cause poor and unreliable rainfall forecasts (Collischonn, Haas, Andreolli, & Tucci, 2005; Bruno, Cocchi, Greco, & Scardovi, 2014).

The assimilation of appropriate observations into the NWP system can help improve the initial conditions and even initialize convective-scale events (Sokol, 2009; Liu, Bray, & Han, 2013). Many research articles indicated that the assimilation of radar data can improve the rainfall forecasts not only for rainfall accumulation but also for rainfall distribution in space and time (Zupanski, Zhang, Zupanski, Hou, & Cheung, 2011; Sokol, 2011). However, the efficient utilisation of the information provided by weather radar (especially Doppler radar) has not been fully investigated. Radar reflectivity and radial velocity contain different information about the atmosphere. Specifically, reflectivity reflects the amount of cloud water and rain drops per unit volume, while the radial velocity is mainly related to vertical atmospheric motion (Maiello, Ferretti, Gentile, Montopoli, Picciotti, et al., 2014). The question of whether radar reflectivity or radial velocity assimilation has a more significant effect on rainfall forecasts or whether the assimilation of both produces the best results is an interesting issue to explore. In addition, the assimilation of too much data without controlling the data quality and redundancy may cause a variable conflict in the WRF model and lead to non-convergence or deterioration of the rainfall products. In this case, the assimilation of all obtainable radar reflectivity and radial velocity data from all scan elevations does not guarantee the best assimilation results.

In this study, radar reflectivity and radial velocity from Doppler radar are assimilated separately and jointly into the WRF model via the 3-DVar technique to examine the improvements in the rainfall forecast. Radar observations from different scan elevations are grouped into 7 data sets according to their observation heights: (1) data below 500 m, (2) data below 1000 m, (3) data below 2000 m, (4) data between 500 m and 1000 m, (5) data between 1000 m and 2000 m, (6) data above 2000 m, and (7) data from all scan elevations. A storm event that occurred on 21 July 2012 over the “Jing-Jin-Ji” (Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei) Region of Northern China received a widespread attention due to its high rain intensity and substantial flood damage. During the storm, rainfall accumulated in a small upstream mountainous catchment, named Zijingguan, which is selected to be forecasted in the study. Radar reflectivity and radial velocity from different observation heights are assimilated separately or jointly to investigate the most efficient utilisation of the radar-detected information for numerical rainfall forecast.

2 Material and methods

2.1 WRF model setup and 3-DVar data assimilation

The WRF model is used to downscale the Global Forecast System (GFS) forecast data with resolution of 1×1° to finer horizontal resolutions. Two nested domains are used, with the grid spacing of the inner domain set to 3 km and the downscaling ratio set to 1:3. The domain sizes are 1260×1260 km² and 450×360 km² for the outer and the inner domain. With the top level set at 50 hPa, the two domains are comprised of 40 vertical pressure levels. The most extensively used parameterizations that perform generally well in Northern China are adopted: WSM6 for microphysics, RRTM for long-wave radiation, Dudhia for short-wave radiation, Noah for LSM, MYJ for PBL, and KF for cumulus physics (Di, Duan, Gong, Wang, Gan, et al., 2015). The time step of the WRF model output is set to one hour. In order to seek an optimal estimate of the true atmospheric state, the WRF-3DVar data assimilation system is used in tandem with WRF for assimilating the radar data in real-time (Barker, Huang, Guo, Bourgeois, & Xiao, 2004). The default NCEP global statistical BE provided by the
WRF-3DVar system, CV3, is used in this study since it has a wide applicability and can be used for any regional domain (Meng & Zhang, 2008). The rainwater mixing ratio is chosen as the moisture control variable instead of the pseudo-relative humidity when assimilating the radar reflectivity. For the assimilation of the radial velocity, the preconditioned wind control variables were also combined with the rainwater mixing ratio (Sun & Crook, 1997).

2.2 Study area and storm event

The Zijingguan catchment is located in the Daqing river basin of Northern China. It has a drainage area of 1760 km², 54 km long from north to south and 61 km wide from east to west. The catchment has a temperate continental monsoon climate. The average annual rainfall is approximately 600 mm, and the majority of rain falls during the flood season from late May to early September. Its previous history of extreme storms and floods make the Zijingguan catchment a representative in the semi-humid and semi-arid area of Northern China that requires flood warnings. Figure 1 shows the relative positions of the Zijingguan catchment, the nested WRF domains, the radar scan area and the image of radar reflectivity. A 24 h storm event that occurred over the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region on 21 July 2012 is chosen to be reproduced in the Zijingguan catchment. Because of the high intensity rainfall, wide coverage and significant losses, the storm event has received widespread attention in China. The observed 24 h rainfall accumulation was 172 mm in the catchment, and the peak flow reached 2580 m³/s at the catchment outlet. Many studies have investigated the reasons that triggered the storm event (Zhong, Mu, Zhang, Zhao, Zhang, et al., 2015). The main reasons for the heavy storm are that the eastward-moving cold vortex in the mid-high troposphere met the northward-moving subtropical high over the region (Guo, Xiao, Yang, & Tang, 2015). Two phases can be found in the storm event: 1. the strong convective rain took place in warm sector, ahead of the cold front; 2. the frontal rain is dominated after the arriving of the cold front. The observed areal rainfall is calculated by the Thiessen polygon method with the observed data of 11 rain gauges. The areal rainfall forecast from the WRF is calculated by the grid cells which have more than 50% area located inside the Zijingguan catchment.
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**Figure 1:** Relative positions of the study area, the radar coverage and the WRF nested domains

The storm event began at 03:00 on 21 July 2012 and ended at 03:00 on 22 July 2012. Cycling WRF runs are adopted to continuously assimilate radar observations via 3-Dvar. To obtain the complete rainfall process using the WRF model, the running time is set to be 36 h, which is longer than the duration (24 h) of the storm event. As illustrated by Figure 2, run1 is the initial WRF run...
without data assimilation. The first 6 h, which is represented by a dashed line segment, is for the
model spin-up. Data assimilation starts on 21/07/2012 at 00:00 and occurs with an interval of 6 h.
Run2, run3, run4, run5 and run6 are executed every 6 h to show the rainfall improvement for the
entire storm duration by each time of radar data assimilation. The first guess file generated in
the previous run is used to provide the initial conditions for the following run (Liu, Bray, & Han, 2013).

2.3 Doppler radar data and assimilation scenario design

The Zijingguan catchment is completely covered by an S-band Doppler weather radar, which is
located approximately 100 km from the catchment and covers an area with a radius of 250 km. Radar
reflectivity and radial velocity from 9 scan elevations (0.5°, 1.5°, 2.4°, 3.4°, 4.3°, 6.0°, 9.9°, 14.6°,
and 19.5°) are obtained circularly every 0.1 h. The radar data are provided by the newest generation
weather radar network of China (CINRAD/SC). Before the radar data are assimilated in WRF-3DVar,
ground clutter, radial interference echo, speckles and other artefacts are removed through the
procedure of quality control, which is supported by China Integrated Meteorological Information
Service System (CIMISS) of China Meteorological Administration. Reflectivity and radial velocity
data are assimilated with observation error standard deviations specified as 2 dBZ and 1 m/s,
respectively. Radial velocity data are assimilated only when the observed reflectivity is larger than 10
dBZ (Tong & Xue, 2005).

To explore the most efficient assimilation of the radar data for rainfall forecasts, observations of
both radar reflectivity and radial velocity are grouped into 7 data sets according to their observation
heights: (1) <500 m, (2) <1000 m, (3) <2000 m, (4) 500–1000 m, (5) 1000–2000 m, (6) >2000 m, and
(7) all layers. Radar reflectivity and radial velocity from each data set are assimilated separately and
jointly, which results in 7×3 scenarios.

3 Results and discussion

The cumulative curves of the WRF forecasted areal rainfall in the Zijingguan catchment are
shown in Figure 3–Figure 9 for the 7×3 data assimilation scenarios. Each figure contains three data
assimilation scenarios for the assimilation of (a) reflectivity, (b) radial velocity and (c) both
reflectivity and radial velocity. In each single subfigure, the black solid curve represents the
observation of the rain gauges and the gray area indicates the duration of the 24 h storm event. The
accumulation of the forecasted rainfall from the six WRF runs is represented by colored curves, with
the first 6 h being solid, and the rest being dashed. The dashed curve segment means the forecasts are
no longer the latest because the next run with new data assimilation has been activated. The black arrows point out the starting times of the six runs. It is expected that the cumulative curve of the forecasted rainfall would gradually become closer to the ground truth after each time of data assimilation.

Figure 3: Assimilation results (<500m): (a) reflectivity; (b) velocity; (c) reflectivity and velocity

Figure 4: Assimilation results (<1000m): (a) reflectivity; (b) velocity; (c) reflectivity and velocity

Figure 5: Assimilation results (<2000m): (a) reflectivity; (b) velocity; (c) reflectivity and velocity

Figure 6: Assimilation results (500–1000m): (a) reflectivity; (b) velocity; (c) reflectivity and velocity
The performance of assimilating both types of radar data (reflectivity and radial velocity) are somewhere in between, better than radial velocity assimilation but worse than reflectivity assimilation. In assimilating radar reflectivity or both types of radar data, it is interesting to observe that the higher layers come from the assimilated radar reflectivity or both types of radar data, the less improvements are found with the rainfall forecasts. It indicates that the assimilation of data with a larger elevation range can not always guarantee better results. Radar reflectivity assimilation <500 m shows the best rainfall forecasts out of the 21 assimilation scenarios. In addition, the involvement of radar reflectivity or both types of radar data in higher layers may sometimes reduce the effect of rainfall improvements. For assimilating radial velocity, there is no clear conclusion. This might be related to the relatively large errors in the radial velocity observations and also the data assimilation frequency (Lin, Lin, Xiao, & Kuo, 2011). In all the 21 assimilation scenarios, most of the forecasted rainfall comes from run3 (starts at 21/07/2012 06:00 and ends at 21/07/2012 12:00) and run4 (starts at 21/07/2012 12:00 and ends at 21/07/2012 18:00). It can also be observed that the forecasted storms start and end earlier than the observations with shorter durations for the assimilation results of all the scenarios.
The accumulated areal rainfall for the 24 h storm duration and the relative errors are calculated for all the scenarios, shown in Table 1. The assimilation of radar reflectivity is the best choice for the forecast rainfall, which can be improved by 7.72–26.17% for different layers compared with the results without data assimilation. The assimilation of radial velocity with the relative errors of -68.49--43.35% always performs worse than the original run. Although the assimilation of both radar reflectivity and radial velocity can improve the rainfall forecasts, except when assimilation happens with data from all layers, the improvements are less obvious than assimilating only the radar reflectivity. Therefore, to obtain more accurate rainfall and to improve the assimilation efficiency of radar data, assimilating only radar reflectivity with heights <500 m is a good choice for operational rainfall forecasts. The reflectivity can represent the number of cloud water and rain drops per unit volume. During the storm event, more water vapor is distributed in lower layers, and the raindrops can also be easily captured by Doppler radar.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data set</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Original run</th>
<th>Data assimilation run</th>
<th>Reflectivity</th>
<th>Velocity</th>
<th>Reflectivity + velocity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;500 m</td>
<td>95.54 (-44.51)</td>
<td>140.60 (-18.34)</td>
<td>93.05 (-45.95)</td>
<td>135.60 (-21.24)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1000 m</td>
<td>95.54 (-44.51)</td>
<td>120.09 (-30.25)</td>
<td>97.54 (-43.35)</td>
<td>109.54 (-36.38)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;2000 m</td>
<td>95.54 (-44.51)</td>
<td>125.90 (-26.88)</td>
<td>81.80 (-52.49)</td>
<td>128.50 (-25.37)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>500–1000 m</td>
<td>95.54 (-44.51)</td>
<td>119.95 (-30.33)</td>
<td>54.26 (-68.49)</td>
<td>117.52 (-31.74)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1000–2000 m</td>
<td>95.54 (-44.51)</td>
<td>117.64 (-31.67)</td>
<td>87.74 (-49.04)</td>
<td>113.58 (-34.03)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>&gt;2000 m</td>
<td>95.54 (-44.51)</td>
<td>108.84 (-36.78)</td>
<td>60.85 (-64.66)</td>
<td>100.90 (-41.39)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>all layers</td>
<td>95.54 (-44.51)</td>
<td>118.92 (-30.93)</td>
<td>77.65 (-54.90)</td>
<td>79.76 (-53.67)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: 24h rainfall accumulations (mm) and relative errors (%) of the assimilation scenarios

4 Conclusion

This study explores the effect of radar data assimilation from different height layers using WRF-3DVar for rainfall forecast in the “Jing-Jin-Ji” Region of Northern China. Results show that the assimilation of radar reflectivity can help improve the forecasts of the accumulated rainfall, although the improvement effect decreases with the increase of the observation height. However, the assimilation of radar velocity always performs worse than the original rainfall forecasts without data assimilation. It can also be found that the starting and ending times of storm event are not accurately forecasted by all scenarios in this study, in which case it might lead to bad applications of the rainfall products, i.e., flood warnings may be issued at wrong time. The assimilation of traditional meteorological observations and satellite remote sensing data, together with the increase of the data assimilation frequency, may help further improve the NWP rainfall forecasts for hydrological use.
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